		F11 m.
1	UNITED STATES DIST	MINN, PISTRICT
2		ISION MAR 0 2 1998
3	ABU-ALI ABDUR' RAHMAN, .	1998
4	· ·	vil Case # 3-96-0380
5.		ginning February 6, 1998
6		
_	Defendant	
7	•••••	
8	G	LEKK'S COM
9	TRANSCRIPT OF	UPADING
10	1	
11		
12		
13		
14	APPEARANCES:	
15		adley A. MacLean & lliam P. Redick, Jr.
16	At	torneys at Law shville, TN
17		
18		nn H. Baker, III &
	Do	n Ungurait
19	Na	st. Attorneys General shville, TN
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	· •	hn W. Tummel, RPR l Broadway, Rm. A-839
25		shville, Tn. 37203

1	February 10, 1998.	
2	THE COURT: Ready to start?	
3	MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: Anything we need to take	
5	up?	
6	MR. MACLEAN: As a preliminary matter, I	
7	would like to introduce in evidence the deposition we	
8	took by telephone of Elmer M. Bishop and deposition by	
9	telephone of William Delagrange.	
10	I propose we make the Elmer Bishop	
11	deposition Exhibit 135 and the Delagrange deposition	
12	Exhibit Number 136.	
13	THE COURT: All right. I have copies	
14	here. Do you have copies?	
15	MR. MACLEAN: Yes. I have the uncondensed	
16	copies. I don't know which you would prefer to read.	
17	THE COURT: I prefer big type.	
18	MR. MACLEAN: That is what I just gave	
19	her.	
20	THE COURT: I am sorry. Those numbers are	
21	what?	
22	MR. MACLEAN: Bishop is 135 and the	
23	Delagrange deposition is 136.	
24	THE COURT: Those will be admitted.	
25	MR. MACLEAN: As a preliminary matter, the	

state or respondent has filed an objection to the use of expert reports and this is not a major issue one way or the other, but I think would be helpful to have a ruling at the beginning so we know whether we are going to use them or not, or how we might use them. I would request a ruling on those. THE COURT: Well, the Sixth Circuit case -- I will spell this -- E-n-g-e-b-r-e-t-s-e-n versus Fairchild. Mr. Baker have you read that case.

MR. BAKER: No, Your Honor, I have not.

THE COURT: Mr. MacLean, do you want to

12 | say anything about it?

MR. MACLEAN: Well, it is not a major issue one way or the other but I want a ruling to know how to proceed with the witness.

It is my understanding from that case -first of all it was a jury case. This is a bench trial.

The case generally stands for the proposition that in a
jury proceeding you do not show the expert report to the
jury unless on cross-examination or on rebuttal reference
is made to that report and then under the rule of
completeness you are entitled to put the report in to
evidence.

Their expert commented on our experts' reports. I am sure there will be some reference to the

reports. If there is not, that is fine. But I also
think in light of the fact this is a bench trial, not a
jury trial, Your Honor may want the benefit of the
reports. It just may be more discretionary.

It is discretionary with the Court and

what you would like to do.

THE COURT: Mr. Baker.

MR. BAKER: Our basic objection is we believe the best evidence of what the experts have to say should be subject to cross-examination on the stand and live for the judge to hear. That is the reason that I objected on the hearsay grounds, a hearsay document. We believe it is best to hear from the witnesses.

THE COURT: Are you planning on cross-examining this witness with his report?

MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor, I will make reference to the report. I realize that can open the door to an admission of the report.

At least as an initial matter, we would object on the hearsay.

THE COURT: The report itself is an out of court statement to be offered for the truth. That is hearsay. I am not aware of any exception that would allow the expert report to come in under those circumstances.

So, in terms of direct testimony the report itself is not to be admitted. The witness can testify about his opinion and the basis of his opinion and he is free to refresh his recollection with the report. If Mr. Baker cross-examines the witness about the report then if there is discussion about what is in the report and what is not in it, the report will be marked as an exhibit and admitted into evidence. MR. REDICK: Thank you, MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. this time I would like to the call to the stand Dr. Robert Sadoff. (Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)

1 EXAMINATION OF ROBERT SADOFF

2 BY MR. MACLEAN:

4 · 1 ·

- 3 Q. You are Robert Sadoff, Dr. Sadoff, is that right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. You are a medical doctor?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. A psychiatrist?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. You are from Jenkintown, Pennsylvania?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. I believe you are 62 years old as of Sunday?
- 12 A. As of Sunday, yes.
- 13 Q. And you have a family?
- 14 A. I do.
- 15 Q. You received your bachelor of arts degree at the
- 16 University of Minnesota in 1956?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Bachelor of Science Degree at University of
- 19 Minnesota in '57 and doctor medicine degree in Minnesota
- 20 | School of Medicine in '59?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And a master of science in psychiatry, University
- of Los Angeles in '63?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 Q. And also during the years 1963 to '66 you attended

- 1 | Temple University School of Law?
- 2 A. That's right.
- Q. And you received 33 credits in law during that
- 4 period of time?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. You did your internship at Wasworth Veterans
- 7 | Hospital in Los Angeles, California as a general rotating
- 8 internship in '59 and '60, is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. You did your residency in psychiatry at the
- 11 U.C.L.A. Neuropsychiatric Institute in Los Angeles from
- 12 '60 to '63?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Compared to other disciplines in medical science,
- 15 how long or how does the period for residency in
- 16 | psychiatry compare?
- 17 A. When I went it was comparable to most others.
- 18 Today residencies can go four or five years. In
- 19 Minnesota, I know they have Ph.Ds in surgery and they
- 20 | went seven or eight years.
- 21 It is a little shorter than most of the
- 22 other specialties.
- 23 Q. You served in the military as a captain in '63 to
- 24 '65?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. You were in the medical corps at that time in Fort
- 2 Dix, New Jersey.
- 3 You did your fellowship at Temple
- 4 University School of Law, '65 to '66?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Dr. Sadoff, you are board certified, you are
- 7 certified in psychiatry by the American Board of
- 8 Psychiatry and Neurology?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. Since 1966?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. You were certified in forensic psychiatry since
- 13 1979?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And you are certified in legal medicine by the
- 16 American Board of Law in Medicine and you have been
- 17 certified there since 1981?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And you are certified by the American Board of
- 20 Psychiatry and Neurology, added qualifications in
- 21 forensic psychiatry since October, '94?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. You are a member or were a member of the board of
- 24 directors of American Board of Forensic Psychiatry from
- 25 177 to '83?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. And you were president of the board of directors
- 3 or American Board of Forensic Psychiatry from 1982 to
- 4 1983?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And you were also a member of the board of
- 7 directors of the American Board of Law in Medicine from
- 8 | 1980 to 1985?
- 9 A. Yes, sir, is that right.
- 10 Q. And you are licensed to practice medicine in
- 11 | Minnesota, California, New York, Pennsylvania and New
- 12 Jersey?
- 13 A. That's correct, yes.
- 14 Q. And you are currently a clinical professor of
- 15 psychiatry at the University of Pennsylvania?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 Q. And you have a number of editorial appointments.
- 18 You were the associate editor of the bulletin of the
- 19 American Academy of Psychiatry and Law from '79 to '83?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Editorial Board, Journal of Psychiatric Education,
- 22 1980 to '88?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And you were an editorial consultant, Journal of
- 25 Law and Psychiatry and you were on the board of directors

- of the International Journal of Medicine and Law.
- 2 Do you currently hold those positions?
- 3 A. Some of them have ended. Right now I know I have
- 4 an editorial position with the Journal of Law and
- 5 Psychiatry and with the British journal called Journal of
- 6 Forensic Psychiatry.

•

- 7 Q. You were on the editorial board of the journal
- 8 | called Contemporary Psychiatry, '77 to '81 and member of
- 9 the Board of Editors of the Journal of Forensic
- 10 Psychiatry and you are a member of the American Medical
- 11 Association, correct?
- 12 A. I was. I am not sure I am going to continue.
- 13 Q. And you were also a member of the American
- 14 Psychiatric Association and you are a fellow with that
- 15 | association?
- 16 A. I am, that's correct.
- 17 | Q. What does it mean to be a fellow?
- 18 A. It means one has to be nominated by ones peers as
- 19 having an outstanding record in teaching, practice,
- 20 writing three or four different areas of the practice of
- 21 | psychiatry and then to be elected to that by the American
- 22 | Psychiatric Association through the state and local
- 23 chapters.
- 24 Q. You are also a fellow with the American College of
- 25 Legal Medicine?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Is that also an honorary position?
- 3 A. One has to be elected to that. Today one has to
- 4 be degreed in both law and medicine.
- 5 When I was given the fellowship status it
- 6 was grandfathered in because I was one of the early
- 7 members.

•

- 8 Q. You were also a fellow with the American Academy
- 9 of Forensic Sciences?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Is that elected?
- 12 A. Yes. You can become a member and after awhile you
- 13 become a fellow.
- 14 | Q. And you were the chairman of the psychiatry --
- 15 American Psychiatrists back in the '70s, is that
- 16 | correct?
- 17 A. Not the American Psychology of -- it is the
- 18 American Academy of Psychiatry.
- I was president of the American Academy of
- 20 | Psychiatry in '71 to '73. But I was also a chairman of
- 21 the section on psychiatry for the American Academy of
- 22 Forensic Sciences.
- 23 Q. Can you tell the Court what your current hospital
- 24 appointments are?
- 25 A. Yes. I am on the staff of the hospital of the

- 1 University of Pennsylvania, the Institute of the
- Pennsylvania Hospital and the Belmont Center in
- 3 Philadelphia.

- 4 | Q. What have been your past hospital appointments?
- 5 A. I was at Temple University Hospital. I was at
- 6 Norristown State Hospital, Harrisburg State Hospital as a
- 7 consultant, the Trenton Hospital, psychiatric hospital
- 8 called the New Jersey Forensic Psychiatric Hospital and
- 9 visiting professor at the University of South Florida in
- 10 Tampa and Hershey Medical Center.
- 11 Q. You also have been a lecturer of law of Villanova
- 12 | School of Law?
- 13 A. Yes. I did that from '72 to 1985.
- 14 Q. And you also had an appointment with Temple
- 15 University School of Medicine, department of psychiatry
- 16 back when you were at Temple?
- 17 A. Yes, '66 to '72.
- 18 | Q. And you received a number of awards. You received
- 19 | the Phillip Pinel award given by the International
- 20 Academy of Law and Mental Health in June of 1995 in
- 21 Tromso, Norway?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. What is that?
- 24 A. A honorary award that the international group
- 25 gives to people that they designate as having made major

- contributions to the field of law and mental health on an
- 2 international scale.
- 3 Q. And you received the Earl Bond award for
- 4 outstanding teaching in psychiatry at the University of
- 5 Pennsylvania?
- 6 A. Yes. That was in 1979.
- 7 Q. You were the recipient of the Nathaniel Winkelman
- 8 award in 1988, is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes. That is a national award given as they say
- 10 for outstanding contributions to the field of psychiatry.
- 11 Q. And in 1992 you were recipient of the -- with Dr.
- 12 | Simon -- of Manfred Guttmacher award for best publication
- in Forensic Psychiatry?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And you have published in a number of journals
- 16 during the course of your career?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I would like to
- 19 introduce in evidence Dr. Sadoff's curriculum vitae which
- 20 would be Exhibit Number 137.
- MR. BAKER: No objection.
- THE COURT: All right. It will be marked
- 23 | Exhibit 137.
- 24 Q. Dr. Sadoff, during the course of your career have
- 25 you treated patients?

1 A. Yes.

- 2 Q. Could you tell the Court during what period and
- 3 what extent?
- 4 A. Yes. I started treating patients in 1960 when I
- 5 started my residency and treated them right up until 1982
- 6 when I stopped treating patients because the pressure of
- 7 | combining forensic work with treatment that I had to
- 8 | reschedule too many patients. It was too hard on them
- 9 and me.
- I decided to stop treating patients and do
- 11 forensic work exclusively.
- 12 Q. You have given testimony in numerous cases
- 13 throughout the country?
- 14 A. About 20 states, in federal and state
- 15 jurisdictions. I average about two a month and have over
- 16 | the last 35 years.
- 17 Q. You testify in both civil and criminal matters?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. When you testify in criminal matters do you
- 20 | testify for both the prosecution and the defense?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. Roughly what percentage of the time do you testify
- 23 for one and what percentage of the time for the other?
- 24 A. Early in my career it was more for the defense
- 25 | because that is who would call you. In the last 20 years

- or so it has been fairly even between the prosecution and
- 2 defense.
- 3 | Q. Have you testified in murder cases before?
- 4 A. Many times, yes.
- 5 | Q. Have you testified in death penalty cases before?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Have you testified for both the prosecution and
- 8 the defense in death penalty cases?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. Do you have any opposition to the death penalty?
- 11 A. Only when it is not warranted. But not as a
- 12 general rule, no.
- 13 Q. Dr. Sadoff, we contacted you in this case to
- 14 | consult with us, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And we asked you to do some things.
- 17 | Could you tell the Court what you were
- 18 asked to do in this case?
- 19 A. Yes. I was asked to read a ton of material, just
- 20 | boxes of it and I was asked to examine Mr. Abu Ali
- 21 Rahman, formerly known as James Jones.
- 22 I was also asked to consult with Dr. McCoy
- 23 the psychologist that worked with us on the case. Those
- 24 | are the three major areas.
- 25 Q. What materials did you review?

1	A. Well, I could read them all or note they are on	
2	pages one through four of my report. They are numbers	
3	one through 35. It might be faster to note that than	
4	read them all.	
5	Q. I am afraid we can't get your report in evidence	
6	now.	
7	A. I will start reading. A copy of the amended	
8	petition for writ of habeas corpus. Mr. Rahman's	
9	sentencing hearing, deposition testimony.	
10	Number three. The records from Middle	
11	Tennessee Mental Health Institute, including the report	
12	of Dr. Marshal. Copy of the presentence report. Copy of	
13	the records of the Bureau of Prisons FCI Inglewood, 1982.	
14	Copy of the Bureau of Prisons reports for 1983.	
15	The copy of the affidavit of Nancy	
16	Lancaster dated May 5, 1993. Records of Metropolitan	
17	Courthouse, February 10, 1987. Transcript of the	
18	interview with Harold Devalle Miller dated April 23,	
19	1987.	
20	Examination of Harold Devalle Miller in	
21	court testimony.	
22	Letters of appreciation and other	
23	supportive materials about Mr. Abdur' Rahman.	
24	Transcript of the testimony of James Lee	

Jones. Handwritten letters to Mr. Barrett by Mr. Jones.

1	Legal documents regarding appeals in the death penalty.
2	A copy of the transcript of the testimony of Dr. Berry
3	Nuercomb (ph).
4	Copy of the letter from David Lowe, United
5	States Magistrate to John Zimmermann, Assistant Attorney
6	General dated April 15, 1987.
7	Copy of the neuropsychological exam
8	conducted which Dr. Pamela Auble dated April, 1993:
9	Affidavit of Mark Jones, dated May 11,
10	1993.
11	Copy of the record of Mr. Jones from
12	Western State Hospital for 1964 when he was 14 years of
13	age.
14	Next number, review of the cases of Graham
15	versus State; State v Clayton; State versus Sparks; State
16	v Brown; State v West and State v Phipps.
17	Next, a copy of the presentence report
18	dated July/August, 1987. Memorandum of order of Rahman
19	by Judge Kurtz dated August 26, 1993. Dr. Neurcomb's
20	file.
21	Then transcripts of the following
22	testimony given at James Jones' trial: The testimony of
23	Norma Norman; testimony of Devalle Miller; testimony of
24	Mr. Jones: testimony of Susi Jones

*: *:

Next is a transcript of the following

testimony given at James Jones' post conviction hearing:

Testimony of Devalle Miller; the testimony of Susi Jones;

testimony of Dr. Neurcomb; testimony of Gail Hughes.

Then transcripts of the following testify at Devalle Miller's sentencing hearing, that of Karen Miller and Devalle Miller. Next is a transcript of the state's interview of Devalle Miller, police reports in this case.

The military records of James Jones and transcript of James Jones' 1972 murder trial. The presentence report of James Jones. And various institutional records of Mr. Jones including records from Western State Hospital in Washington, from the Philadelphia School System, from the United States Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, from the Metropolitan Courthouse.

The affidavit of Mark Jones. The memorandum of opinion of Judge Kurtz and the briefs filed in the appeal on behalf of Abu-Ali and the state.

Those are the records that I reviewed.

- Q. Now, did you also consult with Dr. Diana McCoy?
- 22 A. Yes.

•

- Q. What was her role in the case?
- 24 A. Her role, as I understand, was to do two things.
- 25 | Conduct psychological testing to the extent necessary and

- 1 do a complete social history not only by talking with Mr.
- 2 Abdur' Rahman but also to talk to other people in the
- 3 | family of those connected with the case so we can get
- 4 corroborating information that would either support or
- 5 | deny what he may have told us.
- 6 Q. Did you conduct examinations of Abu-Ali?
- 7 A. Yes.

- 8 Q. When did you conduct those examinations?
- 9 A. I did four of them. First was on October 30,
- 10 | 1996. Second was October 31, 1996. Then April 24, 1997.
- 11 And most recent was June 3, 1997.
- 12 Q. Approximately how long were those examinations?
- 13 A. Most of them were several hours. I would say the
- 14 total for the four would be somewhere between 12 and 15
- 15 hours.
- 16 Q. Dr. Sadoff, what is the importance of a social
- 17 | history in a case of this sort?
- 18 A. The social history is important because you have
- 19 to know who you are dealing with, the kind of ideation,
- 20 the pressures on him, the positive things in life, how he
- 21 | acted to various areas of life, social history, his
- 22 | educational history, work history, family history,
- 23 | medical, psychiatric history.
- 24 All of that is very important to know what
- 25 kind of individual am I sitting in front of at the time I

- am and what made him in many ways or influenced him to be the way he is.
 - Q. What is the -- is a personal examination of the defendant in a case of this sort important?
 - A. In my opinion it is not only important but essential. I have written several times examination in forensic cases is necessary but not sufficient. Some of it is important but you need more than just the examination.
 - Q. Why is an examination important?
 - A. Well, the examination is important so you can observe the individual, see how he responds to various questions that you pose. Does he break down, does he show more emotion or passion for various areas you are talking about?

Does he hesitate? Is he resistant, is he cooperative? What is he saying about various things and how does it conform to statements made by others?

How consistent is he from one examination today to the next? How consistent is he within his own statements that he may have made to other people that he is making to me several years later or in many cases it is only several weeks or months after the event in question?

But it is for all these reasons that one

has to sit down with a person who is charged and talk to him, examination him.

In psychiatry they tell us we really should not make diagnosis of people without a direct examination unless it is impossible to get.

For example, in cases where the person is already dead or some state's -- notably in Pennsylvania in the past when I do work for the prosecution there was a prohibition that the defense attorney did not have to allow his client to be examined by the expert for the prosecution.

Many times I was just not able to, even though I asked to see the person. Sometimes a judge would allow it but would not force the defendant to even speak with me. He would just have to be present and I could observe him.

There are various level of examination that one can do. I want to at least try to see the individual if he or she is available.

- Q. Did you reach a diagnosis as a result of your examinations, your review of the documents and consultation with Dr. McCoy?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.

- 24 Q. What is your diagnosis of Abu-Ali?
- 25 A. Okay. We give diagnosis on different axis in

psychiatry one. One is the major diagnosis, and I called
him a post traumatic stress disorder which means that a

person has experienced tremendous stress in his life or
one acute episode of stress that resulted in various

For Mr. Abu-Ali Rahman, he has had tremendous stress as a youngster and we can go into what some of the traumatic experiences were and how it affected him throughout his life. That is his major diagnosis.

The personality diagnosis which is axis

two, the predominant one is borderline personality

disorder which encompasses a number of various traits and

features that are specific to this particular diagnosis,

but also overlap with other personality disorders or

traits, including antisocial, narcissistic, schizoid and

other features he may have.

I did not find anything on axis three which is the physical diagnosis.

THE COURT: What is axis one.

O. Explain the axis?

symptoms to the individual.

•

A. I thought I had. Axes one is the major diagnosis that a person has so that the particular diagnosis that one would have, for example depression, schizophrenia, the major illness.

In this case I called it post traumatic stress disorder.

Axes two is the personality axis if one has one. One always doesn't have a personality problem or disorder.

Mr. Rahman, he does have a borderline personality disorder. That means it started early in life. It is away of adapting lives stresses and the way he goes about it.

For example, personality trait many of us in this room, I suspect, have obsessive compulsive traits. It is not a disorder. It means we are neat, ordinarily, we are directed and we do things in a very structured fashion. Couldn't get through law school without it, couldn't handle a case like this without that. You couldn't get through medical school.

As long as it doesn't get in the way of our succeeding in what we are doing it is not a disorder.

So, we all have personality traits and sometimes they are diagnosable because they sabotage our efforts to succeed.

Somebody that is so excessive compulsive has to wash his hands or change his clothes three, four times a day. He can't get things done and needs help.

Most of us don't because it is in the
service of the ego that we are helping ourselves by using
these defense mechanisms or traits.

Axes three is the physical problems.

Somebody has an ulcer or brain tumor or something else that might be affecting his state of mind, he should include it because it is a significant diagnosis.

- Q. Is post traumatic stress disorder a mental illness in your opinion?
- A. Yes, it is.

- 11 Q. Is borderline personality disorder a mental disorder?
 - A. It is a mental disorder. I don't know that I would call it an illness. I think of all the personality disorder -- borderline personality disorder comes closest to being an illness because it has so many features including the fact that under stress the borderline can break down and become psychotic, which means not in touch with reality, and he would need medication for that.

I usually reserve the word mental illness for one that can be responded to or treated with some kind of medication.

Q. Now, let's first of all talk about borderline personality disorder.

THE COURT: Let me interrupt.

1	Are you saying this diagnosis is the	
2	current state of Mr. Rahman?	
3	Are you saying this was his state of	
4	affairs 11 years ago?	
5	A. Okay, Your Honor. I think the borderline	
6	personality disorder is something that stays with him for	
7	life. I think he has that today and I think he had it at	
8	the time of the event in question.	
9	The post traumatic stress disorder,	
10	because it came from traumatic experiences he had in	
11	early childhood, I think was with him when this all	
12	occurred.	
13	I think there is still evidence for traits	
14	of it even today. Some of the symptoms we see overlap	
15	between the post traumatic stress disorder and the	
16	borderline personality disorder.	
17	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.	
18	Q. Let's talk about borderline personality disorder.	
19	First of all, these disorders or illnesses	
20	are defined or set out in the DSM 4, correct?	
21	A. That's correct.	
22	Q. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental	
23	Disorder, fourth edition published by the American	
24	Psychiatric Association?	

25 A. That's correct.

¥1.

- Q. This is the official publication of the American
 Psychiatric Association setting forth the classifications
 of mental disorders and diseases and criteria that are to
 be used to diagnosis them, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct. It was done by a committee with a number of experts who put input into the committee based upon experiences in their clinical practice, research practice and this is the outcome of years of that and the revision that has occurred. This is the full fifth one because there was a DSM 3 revised. This is the fifth edition since about 1960.
 - Q. Back in 1986 or '87 was it the DSM 3 or DSM 3-R?

 A. No, it was DSM 3 came out in '80 and I believe DSM

 3-R came out in '87. But they had preliminary findings

 and sending stuff out to us about what they were going to

 publish in the DSM-R.

But it was official one through three.

- Q. The diagnosis you are giving to Mr. Rahman, sir, under DSM 4 or the diagnosis under 3 or 3-R?
- A. There certainly is in DSM 4. I haven't looked back to see if they are in in DSM 3. That was the plan, or change in our diagnostic manual adding the axis and all the various comments about the diagnoses. I suspect they were there.

Borderline personality disorder has a long

- and tortuous history and wasn't always what it is, I
 think in the DSM 3 or 3-R. It is well established today
 and clearly clear in DSM 4.
 - Q. Now, describe to the Court what a borderline personality disorder is.
 - A. It may take a few minutes, Your Honor.

Borderline personality disorder is more frequent in females than in males. It starts out early in life as a way of defending against the pressures and stresses that one faces as a youngster. The major concern for the borderline is the fear of abandonment.

So that the people that I have seen with this diagnosis, and there are many, have been abandoned when they were younger and they are reacting to that abandonment by trying to prevent other people from abandoning them. These abandonments might be real, fantasy or anticipated. They may not always be real in fact.

And what the person feels number one is an emptiness inside that he is not complete. There is something missing. And they are looking for the pieces to fit right.

Because of that, they have various symptoms such as an identity confusion. People don't

know who they are. It might be a sexual identity, gender identity. So you see a number of people with borderline personality disorders that might have homosexual as well as heterosexual or bisexual orientation.

They may be with various groups in the area because they don't know who they are or where they belong. There is a feeling of confusion.

Sometimes one sees this in people of mixed ethic background. For example, someone like Mr. Abu-Ali Rahman that has a Caucasian maternal grandfather, a Cherokee mother and African-American background as well.

There is a question am I African-American, am I native American, what is my orientation? What is my identity?

There are times when people will identify with different groups. Because of the concern about abandonment there is a feeling of lack of trust that is a major issue. So they have difficulty in interpersonal relationships and don't have stable relationships. They don't have them in work, in marriage, in partners, in friends and they are seeking the stability but because of their own problems they are never able to achieve it.

There is also what is called a boundary confusion. Where do I end and you begin.

So a number of borderlines are very

intrusive on other people. They are the most difficult patients to treat.

The damage in my office has all been caused by the borderline patients I treated, the scratches in my desk, the broken lamp or whatever, because of their impulsive behavior take the anger that comes up so quickly and the lack of stability and lack of trust.

The borderlines boundary violations cause a number of -- for example, attractive women patients to have sexual relations with their male therapist and cross the boundary and encourage the doctor.

It is always the doctor's responsibility not to do it but most of the cases I have been involved in where I have had to evaluate this kind of a claim it has been a borderline personality patient.

So the boundary violation, the confusion seeing things in shades of black and white and no shade of gray.

In a hospital ward they are very difficult to treat. What they will do is they will pit one therapist against the other. They will say you are the only one that can help me because I want to tell you a secret and you can't tell anybody else.

You can't do that because it is splitting

1 the staff.

13 .

They see it only as if you are not for me, you are against me, black or white, extremist.

These are some of the major characteristics of the borderline personality disorder and the difficulties that they have in living in our world because most of us don't perceive things the way they do.

There is a distortion of their perception.

When stress becomes excessive they breakdown to

psychosis, dissociate, which means their memory goes and

they don't remember some things that happened. They have

experiences of loss of time, they may not hear when

somebody is speaking to them.

Let me just clarify what dissociation is because it is relevant here with a borderline.

There are many forms of normal dissociation. When we are driving down the street and want to make a right turn, we go straight and miss the turn. That is normal. Sitting in church and listening to the pastor or minister speak at the sermon and you are thinking about a hundred other things and somebody says, what did he speak about today? I don't know. I was there and listened but I really didn't hear it so I can't remember it. That is a normal form of dissociation.

Sleep walking is, crystal ball gazing. We are getting down where is it not normal. You have things like hypnosis is a form of it. Multiple personality disorders. Now we call it dissociate identity disorder.

It is a form of pathological. The fugue state is way at the end where a person leaves town, changes his whole identity and doesn't have any memory at all as to what happened in his previous life.

The classic example is the sports

announcer in Cleveland that went to Des Moines, Iowa and
became a salesman in a sporting goods store and had
another wife and family and 20 years later discovered he
had a family back in Cleveland. He had no memory for it
at all.

That is a fugue that is a great extreme but it does happen. I see this on a continuum.

So dissociation is one of the things that can happen to a borderline under stress.

Psychosis is another. Just breaking down in an acute way, needing treatment with medication sometimes and then coming back to his usual or her usual state.

So it is a fragile adjustment at best but it is an attempt by the individual to deal with the pressures and stresses that were on his or her life.

This is why there are similar symptoms in borderlines to those with post traumatic stress disorders.

THE COURT: Let's adjust the microphone a

little bit. We can raise it up a little bit. I think that will be helpful. Thank you.

Q. Dr. Sadoff, let's move on to post traumatic stress disorder.

Explain to the Court what that is and how it is similar to borderline personality disorder.

A. Post traumatic stress disorder is a condition that is caused by a stress that would normally cause stress in the average individual. It has to be serious.

There are a number of people that have features or symptoms of let's call it PTSD. But the stress was not significant, was not great enough to warrant such a diagnosis.

I have seen a number of people like that fender benders and things like that. The Vietnam war is where this came out of. People went into serious shell shock seeing their buddies getting their heads blown off, et cetera. That is a serious threat.

A woman is threatened with a knife, and that can lead to it. If a man is mugged at gunpoint in New York and fears for his life, or pistol whipped by robbers can get PTDS.

There are a number of plane crash people I have seen that survived the plane crash that have developed it. I think it is the kind of thing that first of all you need that kind of severe or serious trauma or stress. It doesn't have to be one time. It can be also a series of traumatic events in a person's life such as incest for young girls who develop PTSD, it can be a series of brutality or brutal experiences at the hand of one parent or parent surrogate that takes care of the children.

The symptoms as are follows: First of all you have nightmares. The nightmares are either directly reflective of the trauma or they are disguised in a way but the analysis that takes the content of the nightmares can find some linkages to the trauma that the individual has experienced. These can be nightly, they can be several times a week.

But what happens is in legitimate cases is that the nightmares get less intense and less frequent.

When I see somebody five years after an accident and he says I still have terrible nightmares every single night, I am cynical about that. I question it. Because that is not the natural course of the disease.

The other thing they have are what are called flashbacks. Flashbacks are not just memories of

the traumatic events. They are reliving with the physiological concomitants, the goose bumps, sweating, emotional reaction that they will have telling these traumas or they tell it with such a bland affect that they are covering over and defending against the intensity of their emotional impact.

But when they actually experience it, they experience all the emotions with it.

Those are the two major symptoms. Then there are the hypervigilance or the startled reaction. Some people feel unless you get a positive startle reaction you don't have a true PTSD.

other researchers have found it is absent in up to 70 percent of the people. A way to try to elicit that if you are with a patient and without his knowing it or suspecting it, you get behind him and clap your hands very quickly into his ears. Most of us will jump a little but most will jump out of the chairs.

The people in Vietnam, when they hear a backfire of an automobile will hit the deck. They will get down on all fours and flat on the ground. Because their fear there is a shooting and they are going to be killed.

There is also the other extreme, is that they alienate themselves from other people, withdraw from

people and from interaction because they are so loath to 1 get involved about a relationship with others because 2 they can't trust. You have the overlap with the 3 4 borderline personality disorder. 5 So, these are the major symptoms of PTSD. Dr. Sadoff, did you consider antisocial 6 Q. personality disorder as a possible diagnosis? 7 8 Α. Yes. Did you reach that diagnosis? 9 Q. I did not reach the diagnosis because his major 10 personality disorder is borderline personality disorder. 11 It is not appropriate to have two dominant 12 personality disorders. You look at the one where the 13 classic symptoms are, more predominant ones are there. 14 Does he have antisocial traits? Sure he 15 does. He has narcissistic traits, schizoid traits. 16 17 Could I have called him a personality disorder not otherwise specified, which we used to call a 18 mixed personality disorder? Yes, I could have. 19 But I chose to call the dominant one 20 21 because clearly the borderline features are the strongest 22 and most predominant. He clearly has other traits and features 23

You mentioned narcissistic traits. What does that

as well that one can't ignore.

24

25

Q.

1 | mean?

A. Narcissistic traits is when a person overcompensates for feelings of inadequate situations and begins to look a little arrogant or some people call it self-interest.

The narcissis was in Greek mythology, that was this man that loved the reflection of his own image that he saw in the pond that he got so close he fell in.

That would make it a disorder because it would lead to damage.

But I see narcissism not as a positive thing that somebody really cares about themselves or vanity, it is more of a cover-up for feelings of inadequacy.

People might be short, they might be slight, way overweight, may not be good looking and put on airs that they are better than they appear in order to make themselves feel better.

So they overreach in a since and they look to be narcissistic.

- Q. You mentioned schizoid. There has been mention in these records as to schizoid characteristics. What is that?
- A. It means a person has usual relationships with people and objects that they are bizarre at times and say

1 unusual things and do unusual things.

· · · · · ·

With Abu-Ali, his relationship with the animals which goes way back and supported corroboratively by other statements in the records, that he would go off in the woods and he would talk to the animals and they would be his friends and he would look to them to protect him from the wrath of his father and other men that became like his father that would abuse him throughout his life.

Most people don't do that. It is an unusual kind of symptom.

He would howl like the wolf. Not believing he was a wolf. If he believed that he would go from schizoid to perhaps schizophrenia. He just wanted to communicate with them and maybe they would come help him, as the birds would help.

The robin and the sparrow, maybe the cardinal would carry messages to the other animals for them to help him.

That is an unusual symptom. That was part of his schizoid.

Q. You mentioned paranoid. Most people, laymen have different terms.

How is that used by a psychiatrist?

A. Paranoia has two meanings. It is a projection of

ones own feeling on to another.

Two guys walking down the street. One is paranoid, one is not. The one paranoid looks at the one that is not and says he doesn't like me. How does he know? Because I don't like him, I project my feelings on to him. But I can't own my own feeling. I can't take credit for them. That is what it means technically.

Generally people use it to mean overly suspicious, mistrusting, et cetera. People that project their own feelings on to others are mistrustful. They don't trust others.

So with Abu-Ali, he has had great difficulty trusting, especially Caucasians. And he has great difficulty trusting the kind of African-American male that represents the kind of person that he saw in his father and who have abused him all his life and so he has -- so he doesn't trust them for good reason.

Q. Dr. Sadoff, could you please explain to the

Court -- you have listed the things you have done. Can

you explain to the Court what you did, what you found and
then how you arrived at your diagnosis in this case?

A. Okay. Reading everything and talking with

Abu-Ali, I found he had all of these traits and symptoms

One of the major concerns I had as a

and diagnoses.

forensic psychiatrist was that he had no memory when I spoke to him on all four occasions, no memory of what happened after he was putting the duct tape on Norma Norman until he was about a half mile away from Devalle Miller's house. He was driving the car. That was consistent.

When I looked at the records, he didn't remember either. There were times when he would say things like, yes, I must have done it. They told me I had blood on my pants. They told me that Miller said I was the one that did the stabbing. I must have because I have no memory for it. I can't say I didn't. I must have.

But there was always this doubt. And in all the testimony I have read that he has given of, I don't remember, I don't remember, but, yes, I must have done it because of, quote, the evidence that people told me -- which we found out later, at least the blood on the pants was not so.

So, I was concerned about his lack of memory.

I then took a detailed history of other memory loss episodes in his life. He had a number of them. He had his hysterical blindness while in Petersburg just before the death of Michael Stein, the

1 homosexual who had attacked him and after he had been 2 raped and labeled as a homosexual and a target and victim 3 in the prison. 4 He had other times when his wife 5 Rosilie -- first wife -- would say to him things and he 6 had no memory what she said. 7 His second wife, Susi Bynum, said he would 8 stare off into space and wouldn't seem to be there. 9 There were other statements even by Miller 10 that said on this occasion he seemed to change abruptly, it was a side of him he never saw before. He may be the 11 12 only one that saw that. It frightened him when he saw it. 13 14 There are a number of these in the records and what he has told me about. I was concerned he may 15 have used dissociation as a defense mechanism, as a way 16 of handling overwhelming stress. 17 18 I asked him about the times he was raped in the prison. Each time he had been dissociated. 19 20 Women who have been raped will tell you they sometimes float above their body and look down on 21 22 the rapist and on themselves so they are not really there and it is a defense mechanism, a way of handling the 23

24

So he had the capability under stress to

stress and trauma. Exactly that happened to him as well.

1 disassociate.

I went on a premise of what happened at the time of the death of Patrick Daniel was that he had dissociated. But I didn't know for sure. I wanted to see if I could at least increase my degree of confidence in that opinion.

One of the ways to doing that that I have done in the past, I used what is called sodium amytal, which is a truth serum. It is not for truth it is just to help people with their altered state of consciousness, to bring back memories they had repressed. I don't use that anymore.

I used hypnosis. I don't do it. I have an expert that has been doing it probably for 40 years, a Dr. Lewis Dubin who has been president of the American Society for Clinical Hypnotists, teaches at Temple University and has done it at least a hundred hypnosis, evaluation of cases I referred to him.

And he came out and he did hypnosis on Abu-Ali. He wanted to see if he could recover memory that Abu-Ali said he didn't have.

Again, I don't know if he didn't remember. He said he didn't remember. It was consistent throughout the records. At least we have to try.

Q. Doctor, before we get to the hypnosis, let me ask

you about some of your examination of Mr. Rahman. I will go through and look at the borderline features.

You described to the Court some of the borderline features, things as frantic efforts to abandonment and unstable interpersonal relationships, et cetera.

Can you go through and describe to the Court what you observed of the your examination of Abu-Ali that would relate to those features that helped you reach the diagnosis?

A. When you start with the history, you get to his parents which I think is the the important primordial experience. His father would beat him. His father would do, I suppose, unspeakable things to him, things I never heard before.

For example, tying his penis to a clothes hook in the closet and locking him in the closet for hours at a time. He was terrified. He was five, six, seven years of age. This would be repeated.

The father would take the baseball bat and sting the end of his penis with it. He made sex seem dirty to him, further adding to is confusion.

He saw himself as a youngster as a female.

He saw himself more like a girl than a boy. And

identified more with females than males.

He was sexually abused in prison when he

was still young and impressionable.

His mother did not protect him from his father. In fact, his mother was also a source of aggravation to him because she would have men in the house having sex while his father was off in the military on a mission, and do it in front of him and the other children. He was discussed with that. And the smell of sex, as he said, was upsetting to him.

His mother also was not giving him the kind of nurturing to balance the lack from his father. So, he really had no nurturing from his parents.

There was some touching with his sister for which he was beaten. There are a number of events in his early life that led him to fear abandonment. He sought solace by himself from the age about nine to 17.

He ran away from home several times, four or five days at a time living in the woods, eating whatever he could find.

He was first involved in an antisocial act, I suppose that is what they called it, when he was ten. But his point was he was getting back at his father for what is father did to him.

Then when he was 12, he was sent to an institution because of getting back with his father.

These are the sources of some of the

symptoms. For him it was the identity confusion

throughout his life -- we latch on to dominant groups -
starting, I suppose, with gangs when he was younger in

Philadelphia. I come from there, and I know we have

gangs there and African-American youths protected

themselves by joining together in gangs.

He was identified then as a homosexual in the prison. He didn't like that and fought against it and as a result of the pressure on him, Michael Stein was killed as he protected himself from an assault.

When he was older, he identified with various groups including the Sioux Indians in South Dakota where he lived a few months, the Quaker movement. A woman befriended him in Chicago. SEGM, the Southeastern Gospel Ministry when he was in Nashville. Saw that as a chance for him to identify with the group he believed in, could do something for, and since he has been in prison he has been involved in Islam.

I think he has found his most stability and has become a stable force for people in prison because of that identification.

So his identification, his identity is one area we talked about. His boundary violations.

Sometimes he would see things in a

distorted way. The way other people go.

For example, if you look at SEGM, I don't know what they are all about. I don't know if they were a military group, if they espoused getting rid of the black drug dealers in Nashville to make the community a pure place and better place. I do know that is what Abu-Ali believed. That was his mission, his identification.

But he is borderline. He can distort things that people give him to meet his own needs at the time. That is what he believed in and that is what he acted upon.

As far as his fear of abandonment, he would have difficulty trusting relationships and people and whether they would stay with him and whether they would betray him. He has been betrayed so many times.

He was made to give sexual favors in one prison for protection against the rapes of others. He felt betrayed by his father who he described as a dark male with Jeri curls, and when we see other dark black males with Jeri curls he would immediately identify them with his father and say they must be bad, I have to stay away from them or they need to be eliminated, we shouldn't have them in our community because they are a negative force on the goodness in our community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

and white, dark males with Jeri curls was all bad.

didn't know these people. Some might be very nice people. Because they looked a certain way, he judged the

book by its cover. He shouldn't do that. That is the

He also, again, would see things in black

the way borderline does it.

13 14 15

borderline.

21 22

23 24

25

Children are all good. But his belief that children exposed to the men with Jeri curls would be abused as he was abused, and they would be around the age of 13 as I think he felt he was. He begins to identify not just with children but with young girls. That is what he felt he was when he was younger. His identification of the animal calls, they are not going to betray him. They are not humans.

So animals and children were good and dark males with the Jeri curls were all bad. Very little shade of gray in between.

These are some symptoms I found

When you examined him did you see signs of bizarre

- or idiosyncratic or delusional thinking on his part?
- Yes, there were signs. He felt he was like Gandhi Α. and Mohammed and Crazy Horse and others that stood up for their rights and led the troops. He saw himself as a leader, a good soldier doing what he felt was right

within the context of the situation that he found himself.

The other aspects on borderline -- I

didn't get into his trust. Not only did he not trust

people but he didn't trust them for different reasons.

For example, if I may say so, in my notes I remember

saying he didn't trust you because you were Scotch. Dr.

McCoy was all right because she had Spanish blood. What

the heck does that make any difference about it? That is

the way he saw people. That is the disorder and

borderline part of the mistrust issue.

Q. I am looking down at the diagnosis criteria for borderline and number five is recurrent suicidal behavior and threat of self-mutilating behavior.

Is that a characteristic aspect of a borderline?

- A. It is characteristic. He repeated suicide attempts in his life.
- 19 Q. What is the cause of that?
 - A. The cause is several fold. If you have one suicide attempt that is successful we know that a person was really suicidal and depressed. Borderlines will do it for other reasons. Primarily they will do it for attention and influence.

So that a borderline patient will call the

doctor and say if you don't come and see me, I am going to kill myself. They will do it as a threat.

Doctors are concerned about their patients so they give in sometimes to these threats. But the doctor that treats the borderline has to know it is not a serious suicidal threat. It is an attention seeker, a way of handling don't abandon me, doctor, stay with me when I need you.

The doctor has to set the limit at the beginning of the treatment and say, look, these are the rules I set, these are the boundaries you're not to cross them. If you do, I will maintain the boundaries.

A good therapist will. Most borderlines will not be successful in this because they are not interested in dying. They are interested in manipulating, getting attention and interested in don't abandon me.

Q. I notice there is another criteria; inappropriate intense anger or difficult controlling anger, frequent displays of temper, constant anger, recurrent physical fights.

A. It is. Because the issue of trust, issue of shades of gray and distortion and reality. So you see the frequent fights.

Are frequent fights part of the borderline or part of the antisocial personality disorder? You have them in both.

He has so much more in the borderline that is the dominant personality trait. Sure it is part of the antisocial, too. Unless he is defending himself against larger people that are teasing him and threatening him and bullying him.

For Abu-Ali grew up small and effeminate, as he says, he had to fight his way out and he was very angry and especially against the kind of black male that he believed was like his father, out to harm him. He would get very angry at and sometimes overreact.

Q. Another criteria is impulsivity in two areas that are potentially self-damaging that would not include suicidal behavior, spending, sex, substance abuse, reckless driving, binge eating. That is out of the DSM.

Did you find that sort of impulsivity in James Jones?

A. I found it in the use of drugs. But the drugs he could rationalize, he was using marijuana, LSD, peyote and other psychedelics primarily for medicinal and religious reasons. We don't use heroin on cocaine or speed or any of those. It is if good and bad.

He would show impulsive behavior that

1 | way.

Q. And then another characteristic or criteria is affective instability due to a marked reactivity of mood.

Can you explain that and explain why that is characteristic of borderline?

A. Yes. The borderline again has instability. It is very fragile in a sense in his defense mechanisms. The mood, if somebody says the wrong thing as the wrong time sets it off very quickly and they can get very angry, cry, go into a number of different moods.

Having treated a number of borderlines who are not violent, you see that mostly with tears with some, anger with others. The anger can be overwhelming because of the fear of inadequacy, you don't love me, you are going to abandon me and how dare you.

Even though it wasn't meant that way this is the perception they have through the distortion of their views, because they are borderline.

You see that kind of anger and abrupt mood changes. That was seen by Devalle Miller, by his statement at the time and I think recorded by Mark Jones in his affidavit.

Q. Dr. Sadoff, let's turn now to post traumatic stress disorder.

1	what specifically did you see in James
2	Jones that led you to that diagnosis?
3	A. Yes. He had nightmares of the traumatic
4	experiences he had as a youngster. He had sleep
5	difficulties, he had flashbacks of those experiences and
6	phenomenon that he relived, he had alienation from
7	others.
8	He would go off into the woods. He
9	couldn't stay with other people. There was an
10	instability of his relationships. He would also have
11	this hyper-vigilance where he was extremely aware what
12	was going on around him, very careful.
13	Again, is that paranoid or part of the
14	hyper-vigilance of the PTSD? You can't explain one
15	because it might belong to another.
16	Q. Dr. Sadoff, what kind of affect do drugs such as
17	marijuana or LSD have on someone with either one of these
18	problems?
19	A. Marijuana mostly on the borderline, marijuana
20	is really not a good thing to take. It confuses even
21	more.
22	LSD certainly does and can create
23	hallucinations and delusional thinking. That the
24	borderline is prone to anyway under stress.

The PTSD again is holding on gingerly, I

suppose, and if you lower the defenses by using various drugs that are psychedelic in nature and can cause confusion of the mind, you make the person worse.

There was a borderline case I had helping to defend in a civil action because a psychologist had given or smoked marijuana with her patient. They were both females. And the patient became psychotic. It was due to the marijuana or boundary violation.

There are a number of issues. From my experience and what I know, marijuana and LSD and those drugs are really poison for the PTSD and the borderline.

- Q. I want to turn now to your evaluation or determination of what Abu-Ali's mental state may have been at the time of the offense in this case.
- You mentioned before one of the things
 that caught your attention was a lack of memory?
- 17 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 18 Q. You have reviewed his testimony in his trial,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. Yes, I have.
- 21 Q. I want to show you that testimony.
- 22 Q. Doctor, do you need a break?
- 23 A. I am fine.
- MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I would like to
 make this Exhibit Number 138.

486 1 THE COURT: All right. 2 MR. MACLEAN: For the record it comes from the trial transcript, original trial transcript and 3 includes pages 1864 through 1867 and then 1893 through 1896. 5 6 THE COURT: Is this Mr. Jones' 7 testimony? MR. MACLEAN: This is his testimony in 8 9 the sentencing hearing. The first portion of 1864 through 1867 10 11 was on direct examination when Mr. Lionel Barrett, I 12 believe, was asking the questions and then the second 13 portion from pages 1893 through 1896 were on 14 cross-examination when Mr. Zimmermann was asking the 15 questions. 16 Now, first of all, doctor, early on in your work, did you have an opportunity to review this 17 18 testimony? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And in this testimony it appears that James Jones 21

- admitted killing or stabbing Patrick Daniels and stabbing

Α.

22

23

Norma Norman?

Yes.

- 24 In your opinion is this consistent or inconsistent
- 25 with your view he lacked memory of what happened at the

- 1 time?
- 2 A. It is consistent with my view he had memory
- 3 | impairment at the time because of two things. He keeps
- 4 saying in here --
- 5 Q. Wait.
- 6 A. It is consistent.
- 7 Q. What I would like you to do is read through this
- 8 and as you are reading through it explain to the Court
- 9 | why you have that view?
- 10 A. Should I read every word out loud?
- 11 Q. Yes, starting with line number 17.
- 12 A. Question. From that point in time, go ahead and
- 13 tell the jury what happened, specifically, what you know
- 14 as to how these individuals received stab wound resulting
- 15 in the death of Patrick Daniels.
- 16 Answer. At that particular time, after
- 17 Ms. Norma Jean Norman came out of her room, as I said, I
- 18 | had tied her up. At that particular time, after I tied
- 19 | her up and bound everything that she said that I had
- 20 | bound up on her, at that particular time, I can't give
- 21 | you -- to you detail to detail on how things transpired
- 22 insofar as Mr. Daniel Patrick's death and Ms. Norma Jean
- 23 Norman's assault.
- 24 He is saying at the very beginning, I
- 25 | can't tell you.

When I say that, I mean I am saying that
my mind -- not telling you I was crazy. I am not sailing
I am crazy. What I am saying is that I am going to
submit to the fact that I am the individual that
committed these particular felonies or assaults on these
two people. But I don't remember -- you know.

¥ 1 1

He is saying I have to admit I am the one who must have done it. I don't remember it. There is blood on my trousers and Devalle said I did it so it must have been me.

I don't remember too much of why that all of a sudden came to me. All I know is I am the man that stabbed Mr. Daniel Patricks and I am the man that assaulted Norma Jean Norman.

At that time he was already found guilty of the stabbing and killing by the jury.

- Q. What is he trying to do here at the sentencing hearing?
- 19 A. I think he is trying to save his life.

Question. What physical feelings, if any can, you recall, sir? Is there anything that before that happened -- is there anything that you --

Answer. Well, as I said before, the thought of wanting to kill just for the sake of killing was not in my mind. I am not a killer. I have been made

1 look bad, as being the worst man on earth. But I am not a bad man. I am a good man. But then, you know, since I 2 have been living, you know --3 4 Question. My specific question, Mr. 5 Jones, what thoughts do you recall, if any, that were 6 going through your mind at the time apparently they were 7 stabbed? His answer is not responsive to the 8 question and it is more a self-serving statement that I 9 10 am a good man. He is trying to convince the jury he is. 11 That is probably appropriate, but wasn't responsive. Answer. The only thoughts that I can 12 13 really actually remember was, you know, the children --14 other than that, you know. 15 What he is saying there, the only thing I 16 can remember was the children. That is pretty clear. 17 What about the children? 18 Answer. I mean, I got a little bit of 19 morals in me. I mean, how can you sit up in your house and let all kinds of people come up in your house around 20 little bitty people that grow into big people? By the 21 time they get into big people, they ain't no more good. 22 23 Again, reflecting what he was really

thinking at the time. This is right at the time of his trial, about the children.

24

1	Question. Do you recall picking up the
2	butcher knife, knife that resulted in their death?
3	I don't remember, you know, too much
4	about
5	What is the next thing you remember on
6	this situation, Mr. Jones?
7	Do you remember being pulled off Norma
8	Jean Norman by Harold Devalle Miller?
9	Answer. No.
10	Take your time and tell us what is the
11	next thing you have at this time a memory of, that
12	occurred over at Mr. Patrick Daniels' home.
13	Pause. The only thing I can recollect is
14	that when I was over there on this young lady in realized
15	that what I was doing I had stopped. The purpose to
16	me, you know, I didn't want go over there to hurt
17	nobody. But it was her I seen underneath me that made me
18	stop. And God spared her life. And after that I left.
19	Where did you go?
20	Went back to Mr. Miller's house.
21	Question. Did you realize at the time
22	someone was dead?
23	Answer. I did not know anybody was dead
24	in the the next following day when I seen it on the news.
25	The issue there is that he does remember

1	being on top of her. He does remember that he stopped.
2	That is consistent with everything he ever told me.
3	That is the last thing he remembers until
4	in the car half a mile away from Miller's house.
5	Q. Going over to page 1893.
6	A. Question. You heard Mr. Miller testify from the
7	very seat you are in right now that you were the man that
8	stabbed Mr. Daniels to death and you knew it when you
9	were sitting there it was true, didn't you?
10	Answer. That I was the man, yes.
11	Question. And you knew Mr. Miller was
12	telling the truth when he told this jury, even though Ms.
13	Norman was blindfolded and couldn't tell which one of you
14	stabbed her, you knew he was telling the truth, right?
15	Answer. That I was if man, yes.
16	Again he has been convicted, blood on the
17	pants and he believes because of what Miller said he must
18	have been the one that did it.
19	THE COURT: Where does it say anywhere in
20	here about blood on the pants?
21	A. That was in all the records. I was asked to
22	comment what I have known about the case and how this
23	fits into it.
24	THE COURT: I think it is very misleading
25	to keep talking about the transcript and blood on the

1 pants. I don't recall any trial testimony about 2 blood on the pants. Anybody recall any? 3 MR. BAKER: No, Your Honor. MR. MACLEAN: No, there wasn't any in the 5 trial. We never said there was. 6 THE COURT: Well, this doctor keeps saying 7 that is a big part of his analysis, and that is fine. 8 But, I mean, the way he is testifying about this is as if Mr. Jones had said Harold Devalle Miller said I did it 10 and I had blood on my pants and I must have done it. 11 That isn't what this says. 12 13 MR. MACLEAN: Right. THE COURT: I want to make the record 14 clear, that is not in the trial transcript. There may be 15 other inferences to be drawn from that. 16 Let's keep this straight about the sources 17 of information and the points and time. I just think it 18 makes the transcript look misleading. 19 Let's clarify that point. What gives you the 20 Q. 21 impression --22 THE COURT: In fact, there is no testimony Mr. Jones was told there was blood on the pants or

wasn't. There is nothing in the record on that point.

His lawyer testified he didn't know it.

23

24

1	MR. MACLEAN: There is a document in the
2	MTMHI file which they report a discussion about blood on
3	the pants. That was in January, February of 1987.
4	THE COURT: Report to who?
5	MR. MACLEAN: It was a report in the MTMHI
6	file.
7	THE COURT: All the testimony to this
8	point is that Mr. Barrett didn't know about it so Mr.
9	Barrett couldn't have told Mr. Jones, no testimony that
10	Mr. Jones knew about it and no testimony from Mr. McAlpin
11	he had it and gave it to Mr. Jones.
12	So, there is absolutely no proof in the
13	record that Mr. Jones was told that they found blood on
14	his pants.
15	This witness keeps saying that as if that
16	is a fact.
17	If you can establish that as a fact, let's
18	do it. But at this point it appears to be a non-fact.
19	MR. MACLEAN: Okay.
20	THE COURT: I think it is just misleading.
21	If you have it, let's put it in. If not,
22	he needs to back off that and quit saying that Mr. Jones
23	believed that he was found with blood on the pants.
24	MR. MACLEAN: Okay.
25	Q. Go ahead, Dr. Sadoff.

1 Α. I apologize, Your Honor. Question. And you knew he was also 2 telling the truth as you sat here and listened to him 3 4 testify, you knew he told this jury the truth when he 5 said you were the man that stabbed Norma Norman. that correct? 6 7 Answer. To that question, yes. 8 And you knew, even though your lawyer was 9 up here trying to make Mr. Miller look like a liar to this jury and while your lawyer was trying to make this 10 jury disbelieve him, that he was telling the truth, as to 11 the fact that you murdered Mr. Daniels, and you tried to 12 13 murder Ms. Norman. You knew that? Answer. You -- you're --14 15 Question. You knew he was telling the truth when he said you were the man that murdered --16 17 To -- telling the truth to the point of 18 what you asked me -- or telling the truth to the point of how he gave his statement? 19 20 Question. The way he testified that you 21 murdered Mr. Daniels? The answer, you know -- I am the 22 Answer. man that committed that particular incident. 23 Question. That is what this whole case is 24

about, were you the man that committed this incident?

1	Answer. But you keep saying he is telling
2	the truth, you know, and all the truth wasn't the truth.
3	That is the first hint I have got that he
4	isn't so certain.
5	Question. Now, you seem to still disagree
6	with what Mr. Miller says happened, though, don't you?
7	Answer. It should be answer. It is a Q.
8	To what respect?
9	You seem to disagree that Mr. Miller said
10	you all went over there on Sunday night to try to rob
11	this guy and steal his dope, and you were going to be
12	supposed victim of that fake arm robbery. You deny
13	that?
14	I totally disagree with that.
15	Yes, sir. I understand you disagree with
16	it. But you deny you ever went over there with Mr.
17	Miller?
18	Answer. Yes, I do.
19	You deny you gave Miller your shotgun
20	after you smoked a few joints, is that correct?
21	Answer. That is correct.
22	Question. That was just something, what,
23	that Mr. Miller just dreamed up?
24	Answer. That is something you really have
25	to ask him because I really don't know why he said

_	
1	that.
2	Again he is now disputing some of the
3	things that Miller had said.
4	Question. Now, when you stabbed Ms.
5	Norman, were you trying to kill her?
6	Answer. I don't know.
7	Again he is not saying he didn't stab her.
8	He doesn't know if he was trying to kill her.
9	Question. You don't know. You don't know
10	if you were trying to kill her or not?
11	Answer. I do not know.
12	Question. You took this knife and you
13	stabbed her, stabbed it in her all the way, that far,
14	isn't that true?
15	Answer. If I did then that is true.
16	Again the continued doubt in his mind that
17	that is what he did.
18	Question. Do you mean to tell us today,
19	right now, you're saying that you're not sure if you did
20	that?
21	Answer. I am not saying that.
22	Question. So you admit you stabbed her
23	and you know from all the proof that the knife went into
24	here all the way twice, and left in her just with the

handle sticking out, right?

1	Answer. As I learned from the information
2	you have given, yes.
3	Not admitting it himself but what he
4	learned.
5	Question. You want this jury to believe
6	you don't know if you were trying to kill her or not?
7	Mr. Camp. May it please the Court, I am
8	going to object to the question being improper, as to
9	what he wants this jury to believe. He can ask him
10	whether or not it happened. He can ask him so, but I
11	object to the form of that question.
12	The Court. I think it is appropriate.
13	Mr. Zimmermann. Thank you.
14	And you want this jury to believe, Mr.
15	Jones, that you don't know don't know, if you were
16	trying to kill her or not?
17	Answer. In my heart, I do not know.
18	So, I read that is consistent as to what I
19	testified to, that he had some doubt and question about
20	what happened. He had memory problems.
21	Q. You mentioned before that to try to learn more
22	about this, you conducted a hypnosis of Abu-Ali, is that
23	right?
24	A. Yes.

And was that a videotaped hypnosis?

A. Yes.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, we have the videotape hypnosis right here. Before I offer it into evidence, let me ask Dr. Sadoff a couple questions about that.

- Q. Explain to the Court again why you conducted the hypnosis.
 - A. Okay. Because of the history of Abu-Ali, because of the diagnosis, because of the repeated episodes of blackouts or dissociated events in his life, it was my hypothesis this could have been a dissociated event at the time because of the stress of where he was, what he was doing and his fears that went back to his childhood about the children and animals and so on as he told it.

I wanted to try to get a higher degree of confidence in that hypothesis. I wasn't there, I don't know what happened. Nobody knows what happened except those that were there.

So, in order to properly assess this whole situation, I have done this before. It is standard procedure to try to bring back lost memory that is not lost because of brain damage or intoxication but lost because of emotional difficulties.

Hypnosis is a way to do that.

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

says I was there and doesn't remember how you are

I must add that what you get is not necessarily fact. What you get is what the person brings you at the time, and he may believe that was true. But, you know, there is a difference between truth and fact.

I am not alleging what we got was based on any fact but only on what his memory gave to him under the circumstances and during the hypnosis.

Because between the time of the events in question and time of the hypnosis was many years and much information went into his mind that could have been distorted, could have created certain feelings or memories that he didn't have 12 years ago. So that is important to say.

- Dr. Sadoff, what is confabulation? Q.
- Confabulation, one sees with organic brain damage where a person will fill in gaps. People don't like to know that they are losing their memory and so somebody asks them a question they will give an answer even though it is not true but just to give an answer because they feel about it.
- Is there a phenomenon that a patient will Q. manufacture a memory they believe is true even though it may not be representing true objective feeling?

My wife tells me I rewrite history.

telling it. I think it is true exactly how I am telling

it. I don't remember. It may be false. I don't do it

to lie or to be a malingerer. I think this is what I

remember.

We all distort and time will distort memories very much.

- Q. Please describe to the Court what occurred during the hypnosis.
- A. Okay. We have to preface this with comments that were made to Dr. Dubin before he sat down with Abu-Ali.

 One is that Abu-Ali is resistant, he will be very difficult to put into a trance because he is borderline and because he doesn't trust, and for a lot of reasons.

and I have done this with Dr. Dubin, as I say, many, many times. What he has to do is try to establish instant power -- what we call in the trade transfer -- so there will be a good feeling and maybe the patient will open up to Dr. Dubin and trust him a bit. Because, after all, he is doing a procedure, a total stranger doesn't know him and hasn't seen him before. This is going to be especially difficult.

With that in mind, Dr. Dubin approached meeting Abu-Ali being very personable and trying to share certain feelings he has about children, animals and family, et cetera. That is shown on the tape.

I wanted to explain why it is there.

But what happened was that Dr. Dubin, who is very experienced with this and easy to talk to, sat down. I was in the room, Dr. McCoy was in the room and the videographer was in the room. You, Mr. MacLean, and Redick were there to introduce us to, give Abu-Ali confidence that Dr. Dubin was working with him and wanted this done. They left.

Dr. Dubin sits and talks with them and takes the history and establishes a rapport. What he is trying to do is, what do you remember? Let's have it on this unerasable tape.

What do you remember about the incident in question? Tell me everything you remember without hypnosis so we have it side by side with what you are going to tell me after I put you under hypnosis. He does that. And then he inducts him. I forget the technique but it took a little while.

As I recall Abu-Ali kept his eyes open fairly long. That was to be expected. You close your eyes, you are at someone else's mercy and more vulnerable.

Eventually he closed his eyes and went through the experiences. We tried to have a person relive those experiences to thereby -- and rather than

narrate what happens. Sometime they can do it and sometimes they can't.

I think he was able to be there for awhile and sometimes he narrated as you will see as you watch the tape.

Under the influence of the hypnosis -- and again it should be noted very clearly, we don't know that Abu-Ali was in trance. We don't know if he was hypnotized. We think he was in an altered state of consciousness but he was different than what he was before the induction of hypnosis.

And then he gave what he said under hypnosis most of which was identical to what he said without hypnosis. Except when he got to the part where we had this discreet time lapse for him putting the duck tape on Norman Norman and being in the car a half mile from Mr. Miller's home.

He was under hypnosis or whatever at the time to give us information he was not able to give before.

Was it memory, was it truth, fact? I don't know. I can't tell you. It was there and different. Something he never told anybody before.

Q. When he was in this altered state of consciousness, I believe you put it, what did you observe

- about his demeanor that led you to conclude he was in an altered state?
 - A. He spoke differently. You can tell from the reading of the transcript there was kind of a circumstance he doesn't get to the point and uses words sometimes not appropriate for the particular meaning he is trying to convey.

Under hypnosis he was more direct and relaxed and more freely and shorter sentences and simpler words. When he got to certain areas his affect was much more powerful.

He would show much greater feeling with respect to things he was talking about. Sometimes this is called aberration. That is what you are looking for when you are trying to capture lost memory.

- Q. Dr. Sadoff, in your opinion, your professional opinion during this process did Abu-Ali believe what he was saying was true?
- Did he believe this was a memory of
- 20 his?

- 21 A. Yes, in my opinion.
- 22 Q. What do you base that on?
- A. The way he told it and the affect and the way he responded afterwards that he was appalled and amazed he had been betrayed the way he was. It seemed to be a

1 reaction that would be difficult to fake.

- Q. Briefly describe to the Court what it was he related?
 - A. He related he recalled being in the room as he had before and that he was standing there and thinking about the children and then he saw out of his eye a kind of blur and he saw Miller and something in Miller's hand and realized it was a knife.

He positioned himself between Miller and the door where the children were behind to protect the children and saw Miller's hand go up and down.

He can't say for sure he saw the knife go into anybodies' body but he presumed when he saw that happen in his memory -- that is that is what happened -- and believed it was Miller that did the stabbing and not he.

- Q. How did this information from the hypnosis contribute to your view of the case, view of Abu-Ali?
- A. What it did was it told me there was a period of time for which Mr. Rahman did not remember, it was a dissociation we were able to break through with the hypnosis; without giving credence to the substance what he said under hypnosis but he was able to have some memory he had never told anybody before but with hypnosis he could then communicate it.

1	Whether it was remembered, whether it was
2	in the forefront of his mind or in the dark recesses of
3	his mind, I don't know.
4	But it told me that I was correct in my
5	presumption in was a dissociation at the time Mr. Daniels
6	was killed.
7	Q. We arranged for the videotape of this session and
8	I have in my hand a videotape of that session, is that
9	correct?
10	A. Yes, you must be.
11	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I move this into
12	evidence and ask the Court to look at the videotape, not
13	perhaps the whole thing but go through it and let Dr.
L4	Sadoff explain what he observed during that session.
15	THE COURT: For the record, I have viewed
16	the video tape because of the motion in limine. I have
17	seen the whole thing. Having said that
18	MR. BAKER: I want to clarify our position
19	on that. For a limited purpose of demonstrating what he
20	relied upon to form his opinion, no objection.
21	We object for its use for any other
22	purpose as hearsay.
23	THE COURT: Let me see if I understand
24	you. You are objecting to the introduction of the

videotape for purposes of asserting what Mr. Rahman says

in the videotape is true. But you don't have an 1 objection on what basis? 2 MR. BAKER: For demonstrating what this 3 4 doctor has relied upon in formulating an opinion, that limited purpose, no objection. 5 For any other purpose, for including this 6 7 as substantive truth of anything stated, we would object 8 on the grounds it is a hearsay statement. 9 THE COURT: You have no objection to it going into evidence as an item of which the witness has 10 11 relied upon for forming his opinion? 12 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, we have no objection to its admission as demonstrating what he has 13 relied upon in his opinion. We have no objection to 14 15 that. 16 We object to its use for any other purpose. There are some things I want to refer to in it 17 18 with regard to this expert. But for any other purpose, 19 my primary concern to use this as substantive proof of 20 what this witness would say in support of his claim, we 21 would object. 22 It is simply a piece of information that this doctor has relied upon and it is relevant to that 23 24 issue only.

THE COURT: What is it being offered

1 for?

MR. MACLEAN: Just for that purpose alone.

Just exactly for the purpose that the state has no
objection to, as something that Dr. Sadoff looked at and
used in forming his opinions, not to offer it to prove
the truth of the matters asserted in the videotape.

THE COURT: Let me ask the doctor a couple questions.

Has the theory or technique of hypnosis been tested in terms of its reliability for determining whether there can be recovery of lost memory as you seem to suggest?

A. It has been tested but never shown what the memory that comes up is factual or not. But it does bring back some memories that people have and can be used for that purpose.

THE COURT: You're saying it can bring back a memory of a fantasy someone may be having?

A. Yes, it could.

THE COURT: Or eventually bring back a thought memory?

A. Yes.

THE COURT: Has there been any kind of peer review or publications on this issue?

A. There have been. Especially Dr. Martin Horne who

1 is also teaching at the University of Pennsylvania and he was the person who wrote the specifics for the case of 2 3 State versus Hurd in New Jersey about 15 years ago. 4 Most of us follow those recommendations and guidelines that he set down. I think he was the 5 world's expert in this. 6 He has been very critical of the use of 7 8 hypnosis in cases of this type. But clearly one can bring back memory. The theory is state dependent 9 learning, if somebody has an experience in a particular 10 state of consciousness and then changes that state. 11 12 In the normal state it goes into a dissociation with something that happened, does not 13 remember when he returns to the normal state. Then by 14 putting that person in the altered state they can 15 16 remember what happened, when they can't remember when 17 they are awake. That has been shown to be fairly valid and 18 people can bring back memories from one state to another. 19 20 I don't know if they are fantasy, truth or lies. 21 22 THE COURT: What is the known or potential 23 rate of error? That I can't tell you. I don't know. 24 Α.

THE COURT: Has anybody studied that?

-1 - 1

1	A. They may have but I don't have that data.
2	THE COURT: What is your view of the
3	degree of acceptance in the relevant scientific
4	community?
5	A. It has its problems. I think most people that
6	don't have much experience with it have problems in
7	accepting what people say.
8	The use of hypnosis for other purposes, it
9	is much better accepted for anesthesia during operations,
10	getting rid of nausea when cancer therapy is used.
11	There are many purposes that hypnosis has
12	in medical areas that are used every day.
13	As far as bringing back memories in cases
14	like this where there is a criminal case and a person has
15	a particular agenda himself and there may be secondary
16	issues that have to be considered because he is involved
17	in a criminal case, I think people don't feel it is
18	reliable as it would be for other purposes.
19	THE COURT: At this point the tape is
20	being offered for the limited purpose of establishing
21	what the witness relied upon or not relied upon. The
22	state has not objected to that.
23	So it will be allowed into evidence for
24	that limited purpose.

It is not being offered or admissible for

the truth of what is on it. I decline to admit it for that purpose.

A couple cases that give me some concern

A couple cases that give me some concern in that regard, United States versus McCullum, 732 Fed Second, 1419. That is a Ninth Circuit, 1983 case. And United States versus Mest, 780 Fed Second, 1069, a Fourth Circuit case.

I think that these cases express a concern

I have which is back door testimony by someone who is not subject to cross-examination.

And since it is not being offered for that purpose, I don't have to make a ruling on that.

In any event, it is not admissible for that purpose.

The four sets of questions I just asked the witness, Dr. Sadoff, is the test understand Daubert. Based upon what he has just told me, it does not appear it would satisfy Daubert.

There may be a basis out there in the scientific community. It may ultimately become provable that hypnosis does satisfy Daubert. But it is not in the state of the record as we speak. I wanted to satisfy myself in that regard.

Of course, if the tape comes to be offered for other purposes, I would expect the parties to address

those issues.

I wanted to set out those thoughts so you knew what I was thinking.

At this point I don't think it is necessary to show the tape.

MR. MACLEAN: I don't intend to, if Your Honor already viewed it. I don't intend to use up the Court's time.

THE COURT: I have reviewed it from front to finish. I want the record to be clear on that.

I saw Dr. Dubin. He related that he had not attended some of his children's graduations to be there for that important event. He talked of his love of his domestic animals. I saw Mr. Jones' reluctance to close his eyes. I saw Mr. Jones repeatedly say blame it on me or words to that effect. I saw Mr. Jones come out of what has been described as his altered state of consciousness. I think I picked up all of what needs to be in it.

I am not trying to prevent you from examining the witness. If there is a particular important point, I am not necessarily going to exclude you from playing it. I don't think it is necessary to play -- if I recall it is around two hours.

MR. MACLEAN: Two hours, Your Honor.

it.

THE COURT: I didn't time it exactly.

There is frankly a fair amount of dead time on it.

so, I am not going to preclude any examination of it by either side of this case. Just like we don't need to read the entire transcript of the trial in the state court, we can take excerpts. If it comes to that, we can take excerpts from this. I have looked at

If I feel like I am having some memory loss or disassociating about it and I need my memory refreshed, I will tell you. I have a few virtues. I have a pretty good memory. I believe I can follow it. With that background, you may proceed.

MR. BAKER: May I make a point in regard to the Daubert matters you spoke to. This is a federal habeas proceeding and we are evaluating what happened in the state court proceedings and what would have perhaps of happened if this man testified in state court.

We have concerns about, of course, the use of hypnosis and I will discuss some of that with the doctor on cross-examination. But one of the reasons we wanted it entered with this expert for use is that we have to evaluate the case in what would have happened in the state court.

For purposes of the this hearing, we want

it to come in. We certainly have great concerns about the use of hypnosis in these matters.

It is that context we want the evidence in the record in this proceeding, not that it has any credibility or hypnosis is a proper --

THE COURT: I am confused by that.

Without regard to what happened in the state proceedings,

Federal Rules of Evidence apply here. My determination

about the admissibility of evidence is based upon the

Federal Rules of Evidence.

Frankly, it doesn't matter what the state rules are if it was admissible in state court. That is a whole different issue.

Now, once something is admitted in a federal habeas proceedings the weight to be given to it may depend on state rules. An example would be if the state court findings presumed to be correct -- I am not suggesting it in this particular instance -- but that can affect the evaluation or weight of the evidence.

Perhaps it is better to say evaluate the evidence rather than the weight of the evidence as it relates to the state proceedings.

But federal courts are the exclusive judges of matters in federal trials. I want it clear that my ruling is based on the Federal Rules of Evidence

and Daubert is fundamentally based upon that and the Frye test before it, et cetera.

I am not sure I understand that statement.

MR. BAKER: Maybe my position is in error. But if the Court believes the evidence is not admissible and doesn't meet the Federal Rules of Evidence, we object to the evidence.

THE COURT: You have objected to the evidence as substantive evidence and you have stated that you have no objection to it being in the record for the limited purpose of showing that Dr. Sadoff considered it along with scores of other things, I think it would be fair to say.

So it has been admitted for that purpose. I guess I am missing something.

MR. BAKER: I guess maybe I can clarify.

What I was trying to say, I am assuming what they are
trying to show here is what his defense attorneys should
have put on in state court. I assume what they are
saying they could have put on someone like Dr. Sadoff to
testify as he testified here.

If that helps the Court understand our position on that matter -- it may have some relevance, although the credibility and use of hypnosis, we think, is subject to question. It is in that context we believe

1 it should be admitted.

THE COURT: Now I follow you.

MR. BAKER: I am sorry if --

if Dr. Sadoff or someone in his shoes had been called at sentencing or at the trial of the guilt or innocence phase it is going to be your position that the state courts would not have admitted that evidence and, therefore, even if there had been a offer it would have been rejected. If it was rejected there is no error and Mr. MacLean is going to turn that upside-down and will say if it was offered it would have been admitted.

Because it wasn't admitting there is error --

MR. BAKER: Yes.

THE COURT: What Dr. Sadoff would say, that there is no gray area, it is either black or white, along those lines, the extremes.

I don't have the answer to that question.

I don't know the answer to that. I am sure both of you will enlighten me. I don't know what the state courts would do.

I am concerned about in a federal case the evidence being offered as perhaps a device to avoid cross-examination and it's inherent out-of-court nature and certainly if it was being offered for the truth

1 thereof -- and I have concerns about the Daubert test, and what is the current state of the record that it 2 3 doesn't meet Daubert. I am not saying it never would. I am basing it on what I currently know. 4 5 Neither of you really developed that. 6 I felt duty-bound to ask those questions. 7 It is sure frustrating for all of you that I keep asking 8 questions. That is my job. 9 MR. MACLEAN: If I could have this marked Exhibit 139. 10 THE COURT: We will mark it 139. 11 12 This is probably a good time to take a 13 brief comfort break and let the witness stretch his legs 14 for a minute. Let the lawyers stretch their legs. 15 We will take about a 10 minute break and we will come back and go at it. 16 17 (Whereupon, the hearing was in recess.) 18 THE COURT: Are we ready to resume? 19 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. 20 BY MR. MACLEAN: (Continuing) Dr. Sadoff, how long has the use of hypnosis been 21 Q. in place in your field and how long have you used 22 hypnosis for these purposes? 23 24 I think hypnosis has been in place many years, 25 couple decades and I have been using it 15 years or so.

- 1 Q. Before that you said you used the sodium --
- 2 A. Sodium amytal.
- Q. What role did the hypnosis and the tape play in
- 4 your opinion?
- 5 A. As I indicated, I asked for it to see if I could
- 6 raise my level of confidence in my opinion about the
- 7 dissociation.
- 8 So it was one test I used among many and
- 9 it was helpful.
- 10 Q. Dr. Sadoff, DSM 4 -- and I believe your testimony
- 11 is that dissocation can occur under stress.
- In the case of a borderline personality
- disorder person or a post traumatic stress disorder
- 14 person, what are we talking about when we talk about
- 15 stresses and how do they compare to the stresses you and
- 16 | I might experience?
- 17 A. Because the borderline personality disorder and
- 18 post traumatic stress disorder person are more vulnerable
- 19 and less strees than normal it does not need to be as
- 20 great to produce the dissociation.
- 21 Q. Have you reached an opinion about whether James
- 22 Jones was in a dissociative state at the time of the
- 23 offense in this case?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What is your opinion?

- A. My opinion he was dissociated at the time of the offense.
 - Q. Explain the reason for reaching that opinion.

- A. Because of his diagnosis, the kind of person he is, the history he gave and the information that I have about his state of mind at the particular time which indicated he had a discretionary memory loss for the time
- Q. And what would have been the stresses in your opinion that could have triggered those?

of the actual stabbings.

A. The stressers for him were that he was on a mission, it was war -- and he said this many times -- this was a war he is engaged in against the drug dealers.

boundary confusion in identifying with the two girls in the room in the house, that they were being victimized by this black man with Jeri curls and his wife. The king and queen he once referred to them, that they had responsibility for the two girls, and he had been abused when he was their age and younger and identified with them and came furious that they were going to be abused and had been and also the animals, two dogs there that he recalls they were in danger at the hands -- he believed -- of Miller who he said threatened to kill

1 them.

Those two items caused him to fear that something drastic was going to happen to the children and to the animals. He couldn't let that happen. It was in a state of anxiety because of it.

Q. All right. I want to ask you about some issues that have come up.

On the one hand James Jones claimed to have been on a mission to rid the community of drugs and other hand he took marijuana and possibly LSD.

How do you explain that in light of his problems?

A. Of course, I asked him about that, too. He makes a distinction between those medicines or drugs like marijuana, LSD, psychedelics, peyote, mushrooms that are used by the Indians for spiritual purposes and medicinal purposes and hard drugs like cocaine and heroin and speed that he has never used and won't use and that are more harmful.

He again, like a good borderline, will say to myself these are good and these are bad. No shade of gray.

Q. There are reports in the early prison records he may have taken some of these heavier drugs such as cocaine and heroin earlier on.

520 How do you explain that in comparison to 1 what he was telling you and what he told others? 2 He may have taken them. He may be lying about not 3 Α. taking them or having not taken them. That is before he had his conversion by the Sioux Indians. 5 His realization there were some good drugs 6 and bad and as a borderline, they abuse drugs. That is 7 part of what they do. 8 9 This business about the children, there was ٥. testimony in the trial by Norma Norman he threatened to 10 do harm to the children if they weren't put in the back 11 room, or something to that effect. How do you explain 12 13 that? He, of course, says that didn't happen. If it 14 Α. did, in my opinion it would have been part of his persona 15 that he was adopting, he was Scar Face from Chicago and 16 he was there to intimidate, and part of the comment he 17 could make is keep the kids quite or they will get it, 18 too. 19

I don't think he meant it if he said it.

Q. The persona, how does that relate to the borderline personality or post traumatic stress disorder?

21

22

23

A. That was the identity he was assuming to carry out his mission, that he had to intimidate, he had to be the

- gangster to these people to get them to submit so he --
- 2 his whole persona with the coat and gun and Scar Face
- 3 | from Chicago and threatening is all part of his
- 4 intimidation process.
- 5 Q. In the state court proceeding Judge Kurtz pointed
- 6 out that Devalle Miller testified at the trial that
- 7 before they went over there James Jones made some
- 8 | comments about how they wouldn't leave any witnesses or
- 9 | something to that effect, suggesting there was some idea
- 10 | ahead of time that life would be taken.
- How do you deal with that? How do you
- 12 | explain that?
- 13 A. I asked him about that. He said that is patently
- 14 | false, it never happened that way. It is not something
- 15 he ever has done or would have thought of doing.
- 16 Q. In reviewing the records, did you review any
- 17 records to try to look in to that?
- 18 A. I did. I think there was an initial statement by
- 19 | Miller that wasn't the case and later when he -- I
- 20 | guess -- wasn't granted immunity but made his agreement
- 21 | with the D.A.'s office, he made that statement.
- So it is not the same as his earlier
- 23 statement.
- 24 Q. The testimony in this case is when Mr. Jones
- 25 | talked to his lawyer and also when he talked to the

people at MTMHI, he explained what happened by using a person by the name of Blackstock and this was apparently not a true description of what occurred.

How do you explain that?

- A. He lied. I think he was being defensive. He wanted to tell them something that wasn't true so they wouldn't think it was he. I don't know if he believed that. I don't think he did.
- It was just his way of telling them it wasn't me, it was somebody else.
- Q. There was testimony by Mr. Devalle Miller that James Jones was cool and also there is some suggestion maybe there was a description of James Jones after the fact that he was cool.

What is your explanation to that?

- A. It depends on what he means by cool. It sounds like from what I read everything -- he was in control, he took over and in charge, Miller couldn't handle it.
- So that he assumed again that role that I am in charge, I am going to do it this way and I can't trust you, Miller, because you are too shaky.
- Q. Is that consistent with a dissociate state?
- A. Yes. It could be a transitional state during his dissociation, yes.
- 25 Q. Based upon your work in this case, your review of

- the records, your examinations of Mr. Jones and all of
- 2 the other things you have done in this case, do you have
- an opinion on whether James Jones was same or insame at
- 4 the time of the offense?
- 5 A. I do have an opinion.
- 6 Q. What is your opinion?
- 7 A. My opinion is that assuming he had a dissociation
- 8 at the time and for the reasons I gave in terms of his
- 9 anxiety about being victimized and identifying with the
- 10 two girls and with the animals who were being threatened,
- 11 | that he lacked substantial capacity to conform his
- 12 | conduct to the requirements of the law if, in fact, he
- was the one that did the stabbing.
- 14 Q. Have you reached an opinion based upon your review
- of Tennessee law and your work in the case on whether at
- 16 | the time of the offense James Jones could have acted with
- 17 | premeditation and deliberation?
- 18 A. I do have an opinion.
- 19 | Q. What is your opinion?
- 20 A. My opinion is if the incident is as he described
- 21 | it and as I have read all the records and there was not
- 22 | the statement made that we are not going to leave any
- witnesses, and knowing that they had guns but they were
- 24 not used in the killings but that a knife that was found
- in the house and not brought by them, that there never

- was one that was -- based upon my opinion there was a dissociation where he had difficulties controlling himself, that he could not at that time have deliberated or committed the killing of either of them.
 - Q. If in fact James Jones went to the kitchen as has been alleged and has been testified to by Mr. Miller, picked out a knife and then went back and conducted the stabbings, is that consistent or inconsistent with your opinion that he did not premeditate and deliberate?
- 10 A. It is consistent, yes.
- 11 Q. Explain that, please.
 - A. Because if he is in a dissociated state people who act purposefully and deliberately in their dissociated state, not a normal state, he is not in his regular state of mind and not acting in a deliberate conscious.

My understanding of the interpretation of the word purposeful or premeditated is consciousness of the person's wishes. He was not acting in a conscious, deliberate fashion but he was within his altered state, one with purposeful acts.

Q. Dr. Sadoff, there is information in the record that James Jones has a history of getting into trouble, of getting into fights, of sometimes engaging in violent activity.

How does that fit in with your diagnosis

and with your picture of James Jones and how it may relate to his childhood?

A. All that I have read indicates that his behavior was related to his relationship to his father. Early on at age 10 and 12 he was doing it to get back at his father, doing antisocial things.

His father was, I think, an MP in the military.

Then he would run away. Because he was feeling small feminine and vulnerable and picked on by older bigger guys. He fought back. He got into a lot of fights.

Even according to his brother Mark in his affidavit said that he would act out some of the TV shows he was watching with his sister and sometimes would go out and get violent with some of the neighbor kids. That was part of his, again, new identity.

It is a compensation for being small and vulnerable, now I am a tough guy, a gangster. I can do this and beat up on kids.

It fits his personality disorder and fits antisocial features. I can't deny that. But it fits the borderline problem.

Q. You testified to the information about childhood abuse and about the difficult life he led and lack of

1 | maternal care.

Based upon your review of this life, were you able to identify any positive factors in his life, positive influences from the outside that most people would have?

A. In his early life, no. The only positive thing was in his own fantasy, own imagination and animals he befriended. They were positive for him. That was a very important part of his adjustment, that he could find some solace in his solitude.

And maybe the first person that really befriended him and helped him were the Sioux Indians who he could identify with and the Quaker lady in Chicago, and then other groups, even the SEGM that offered him a place. They were positive things but came later.

- Q. Dr. Sadoff, these disorders you talk about borderline personality disorder and post traumatic stress disorder, are these problems that a patient has any control over?
- A. Well, I like to think we all can, I suppose, under certain conditions control our behavior. That is what the goal of therapy is all about.

But those that have not had therapy,
there is no awareness, no conscious awareness of what
they are doing, what their purposes are. They do

them because this is part of who they are and what they are.

So when you say control, I suppose

ultimately they would have some control. Until they get

therapy, they are the victims of their own illnesses.

This is how they adjust to society.

Q. Did they have control over the creation or anything of the illness in early life?

A. They did so only in the sense they were using these tenuous controls as a means of defending against the stresses of the environment.

When father comes down on me, I dissociate. When people are too nasty and mean, I go out in the woods and talk to my friends the animals. When people pick on me and I think they are evil and bad, I will fight back and hurt them before they hurt me.

- Q. When he was a child, other than creating some construct in his mind or other than escaping out in the woods, in his family environment did he have any refuge, place to seek comfort and safety?
- A. Outside of what you mentioned, I didn't find any, no.
- Q. At the closing argument the prosecution in this case argued to the jury that James Jones committed the offense for, quote, pure pleasure, end of quote.

Did you find any evidence based upon your evaluation that James Jones committed any offense at the

- 3 time of the offense in this case for pure pleasure?
- A. I didn't find that at all. I don't think he even
- 5 enjoyed it at all, even if he was aware of what he was
- 6 doing.
- 7 Q. The prosecution argued to the jury that James 8 Jones is wicked, evil, cold blooded, calculated.
- 9 Is that your view of James Jones based
- 10 upon your evaluation of him?
- 11 A. I don't see him that way at all. He may have
- 12 certainly demonstrated some of those qualities earlier in
- 13 his life but they have to be balanced by other qualities
- 14 as well.
- I didn't see those when I examined him.
- 16 Q. They said you are looking at a depraved man, not
- 17 | someone suffering from severe, extreme emotional
- 18 disturbance.
- 19 Is that your view of James Jones?
- 20 A. I would disagree with that. He was suffering from
- 21 a very seriously disturbed illness.
- 22 Q. And isn't emotional disturbance one of the
- 23 | characteristics of borderline personality disorder and
- 24 post traumatic stress disorder?
- 25 A. It is of both, yes.

1	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, if I could have
2	just a brief moment.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, that is all for
5	now.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Baker, your turn.
7	MR. BAKER: Your Honor, would this be a
8	good time to take a break or should we move forward?
9	THE COURT: All right. We can take a
10	lunch break.
11	Be back at one o'clock. Anything else?
12	(Whereupon, the Court was in recess.)
13	THE COURT: Ready, Mr. Baker?
14	MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Let's go.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

: 411

1 EXAMINATION OF ROBERT SADOFF

- 2 BY MR. BAKER:
- 3 Q. Doctor, when did you first become involved in this
- 4 case?
- 5 A. Probably in the fall of 1996.
- 6 Q. How did you become involved in this case?
- 7 A. I had a call from the attorney, Mr. MacLean, who
- 8 asked me if I would be interested in working with him in
- 9 the case.
- 10 Q. How often have you testified in courts in
- 11 Tennessee?
- 12 A. I am sorry?
- 13 Q. Testified or been hired to work on cases in
- 14 Tennessee?
- 15 A. I have been hired more times than I testified.
- 16 I think I have testified on two or three other cases.
- 17 I probably got another four or five besides that.
- 18 | These are still pending or I worked on that I didn't
- 19 testify.
- 20 Q. Are those criminal cases?
- 21 A. They are all criminal.
- 22 Q. Are they all murder cases?
- 23 A. I believe they were, yes.
- 24 Q. Are they all capital murder cases?
- 25 A. No, they were not all capital.

- 1 Q. How many are you working on, any, other capital
- cases in Tennessee?
- 3 A. I think I am on two.
- 4 Q. Who or which parties are you working for in those
- 5 | two cases?
- 6 A. For the defense.
- 7 Q. You testified that you had read the records and
- 8 reviewed the records of the petitioner's prior history,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. That would include his prison records and school
- 12 records?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And other records about his past?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- MR. BAKER: Your Honor, at this time, I
- 17 | would like to offer into evidence defendant's exhibit --
- 18 I believe we are up to six now.
- 19 THE COURT: What is it?
- MR. BAKER: This is a copy of portions
- 21 of the federal prison records which the doctor has
- 22 reviewed.
- THE COURT: All right.
- MR. MACLEAN: May I see a copy?
- 25 MR. BAKER: I have also made an additional

1	copy for the Court.
2	These are very voluminous records.
3	THE COURT: If you have a copy for me, I
4	would like to look at it. It increases my understanding
5	to look at things simultaneous with the testimony.
6	You are offering the entire binder as a
7	collective exhibit?
8	MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: All right. Hearing no
10	objection, it will be admitted.
11	Q. Before I get to the exhibit, I want to review
12	matters that I have found contained in petitioner's
13	records prior to entering federal prison.
14	You have indicated you have seen these?
15	A. I am having trouble hearing you.
16	Q. You indicated other than the federal prison
17	records you have also reviewed other records about his
18	past, school records and what not?
19	A. Right.
20	MR. BAKER: At this time, I would like
21	to offer into evidence admission of Defendant's Exhibit
22	7. This is Defendant's Exhibit 7. These are school
23	records.
24	T have an extra conv

THE COURT: All right.

1	MR. BAKER: I have an extra copy for the
2	Court.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. That will be
4	admitted as number seven.
5	MR. BAKER: I would also like to
6	THE COURT: Do we have exhibit stickers,
7	and, if so, who has the copy?
8	MR. BAKER: I have made them.
9	THE COURT: The witness has them?
10	MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor. I am
11	sorry.
12	THE COURT: That is fine. I wanted to
13	make sure I understood which one had it.
14	MR. BAKER: The next exhibit will be
15	Defendant's Exhibit 8. These are more records.
16	I offer it as Defendant's Exhibit 8, as
17	more records of defendant's, or petitioner's past.
18	THE COURT: What are the nature of those
19	records?
20	MR. BAKER: State of Washington,
21	Department of Social and Health Services records, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: That will be Exhibit 8.
24	MR. BAKER: Exhibit 9 we offer into
25	evidence the New Jersey State Hospital at Trenton

1 records. That is Exhibit Number 9. 2 3 THE COURT: That will be Exhibit 9. 4 MR. BAKER: I have a copy for the Court as well. 5 We will offer Defendant's Exhibit 10. 6 7 These are a portion of petitioner's military records. 8 THE COURT: All right. That will be 10. MR. BAKER: Defendant's Exhibit 11 is 9 10 records from the Department of Human Services, District of Columbia. 11 12 Q. Doctor, what I would like to do is review your memory of what is in some of these records and ask if you 13 14 recall about these documents. 15 Start with his school records that I have marked Defendant's Exhibit 7. They are records 16 indicating that he had attended a Sayer Junior High 17 School and Shaw Junior High School. 18 Do you recall that, doctor? 19 20 This is in Philadelphia, right? Α. I believe these -- Sayer was in Washington 21 Q. State? 22 I know I have the school records for Philadelphia. 23 I don't know that I have school records for other 24

jurisdictions.

- 1 Q. Do you see the -- do you see the document, I
- 2 believe the second document in that exhibit, a request
- 3 for psychological services?
- 4 A. Are you talking about Exhibit Number 7?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. Second page?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. I indicated I had seen the school records from
- 9 Philadelphia. If these are not Philadelphia school
- 10 records, I probably have not seen them before. I can
- 11 look at them if you want.
- 12 Q. Have you seen the reports indicating reference to
- petitioner, he was found in the girls' rest room by girl
- 14 students who reported it to a teacher?
- 15 Have you seen that?
- 16 A. I knew about that from reading Dr. McCoy's report.
- 17 | I don't believe I have seen these particular records. I
- 18 knew about it.
- 19 Q. So you were not aware of the statements in this
- 20 document that state that student fled the building,
- 21 junior high school principal pursued the student who had
- 22 a knife in hand; after a long consultation with the
- 23 | student the principal persuaded the student to drop the
- 24 knife?
- 25 A. I knew about that from Dr. McCoy's but I didn't

- have these in my records.
- 2 Q. You were aware of that incident when you
- 3 formulated your opinion?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You are aware of the incident where he threatened
- 6 the boy with a knife?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. A knife he had stolen from the principal?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Now, were you aware of the instances of fighting
- 11 contained in these school records?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. He had a history of fighting?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Were you aware of the record indicating that the
- 16 | petitioner had walked into a classroom, picked up a trash
- 17 can and threatened other people?
- 18 A. That doesn't come to my memory. If it is in the
- 19 records I reviewed of Dr. McCoy, I must have seen it.
- 20 Q. Are you aware of the memorandum -- this is
- 21 | referring to Philadelphia and Shaw, Shaw Junior High
- 22 School?
- 23 A. Page number?
- 24 Q. Titled Shaw Junior High School, memorandum or
- 25 | letter from the principal?

- 1 THE COURT: How many pages back, Mr.
- 2 Baker?
- MR. BAKER: I believe it is 13. Page
- 4 13.
- 5 A. I have it.
- 6 Q. It says that for the safety of James and other
- 7 pupils, I believe it is advisable to suspend the above
- 8 pupil in the custody of his parents?
- 9 A. That is not on page 13 I have. I have Sayer
- 10 Junior High School.
- 11 Q. Do you have Shaw?
- 12 A. Sayer.
- 13 Q. Were you aware he was suspended from Shaw Junior
- 14 High School, if the record reflects that?
- 15 A. I can't recall seeing that. It is probably in Dr.
- 16 McCoy's report.
- 17 Q. You mentioned you were aware he threatened other
- 18 | students while he was in school?
- 19 A. I am aware of that history, yes.
- 20 Q. Aware of reports indicating that they described
- 21 him as a person who hated humans and their social
- 22 | structure?
- Do you recall that statement?
- 24 A. What page are you reading from? I have a page
- 25 number on the bottom of my pages.

- 1 Q. Let me take you to page 25.
- 2 A. Is that a handwritten thing?
- 3 Q. Right.
- 4 A. Shaw Junior High?
- 5 Q. Right.
- 6 A. 3-25-66?
- 7 Q. Right.

Did you see the portions of that statement
that describe him as unruly, with no respect with the
teachers and students and he was loud and boisterous?

11 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Are we still back on the page that says he hated humans and social structure or have you moved on to something else?

MR. BAKER: I didn't have that page in front of me so I went on to number 25.

THE COURT: Well, I think we need to make the record clear here. I have on page 11 the suspension letter everybody was looking for.

I have got on page 16 the reference to humans and social structure.

Now, you are going to the one that has date stamped 25?

MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I am there.

- 1 Q. Do you see the date stamp 25?
- 2 A. I have that and I saw what you are reading and I
- 3 read the same thing.
- 4 Q. Had you reviewed that report prior to coming here
- 5 today?
- 6 A. Yes, I had.
- 7 Q. I will refer you to Defendant's Exhibit 8, the
- 8 state of Washington records.
- 9 Do you see those records?
- 10 A. State of Washington records?
- 11 Q. Yes, on Social Health and Human Services?
- 12 A. Exhibit Number 7?
- 13 Q. Eight.
- 14 A. Okay. What page is that?
- 15 Q. If you look at date stamp 38?
- 16 A. Okay. I looked at my list on my report and I
- 17 | don't have this -- yes, I do. I have the Washington
- 18 | State Hospital but not the records of the school.
- 19 Q. So, you did review --
- 20 A. This is page 38. Yes, I have this.
- 21 Q. It has date stamp 38, personality pattern
- 22 disturbance, paranoid personality?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Turn over to the next page date stamped 39.
- 25 Patient has just had a series of antisocial behavior. He

- 1 has gone to girls' bathroom, broke in a girls' bathroom,
- 2 and stole from soldiers, et cetera, and states he wants
- 3 | to be more like animals, act on impulse without
- 4 | consequences, knows they are wrong but can't help
- 5 | himself. He had incest with his only sister.
- 6 Have you reviewed that document?
- 7 A. I did, yes.
- 8 Q. The impression was sociopathic personality?
- 9 A. I see that.
- 10 Q. What is a sociopathic personality?
- 11 A. That is an old name for antipersonality disorder.
- 12 He was 14 years of age then. We don't diagnosis people
- with having antisocial at personality disorders below the
- 14 | age of 16.
- They may have some early symptoms but we
- don't give them that diagnosis. It is too young.
- 17 Q. Today would you call that a behavior disorder?
- 18 A. Probably borderline personality disorder by the
- 19 | symptoms being more like animals and having problems with
- 20 | identity and breaking into a girls' bathroom.
- 21 These are all the same symptoms and
- 22 experiences I am aware of that led into my diagnosis of
- 23 borderline personality disorder.
- 24 I think that is what he had then and I
- 25 think they just misdiagnosed it.

- Let's look and talk about antisocial personality 1 Q. disorder for a moment. 2
- THE COURT: I am lost. What document are 3 4 you looking at? What packet of documents?
- 5 MR. BAKER: This is Defendant's Exhibit 8 6 and date stamp 39.
- 7 THE COURT: All right. Exhibit 8 is the Washington --8
- MR. BAKER: -- State records, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- Doctor, I am referring to the DSM 4 you talked 11 Q. 12 about earlier that is used in the psychological and psychiatric field to diagnosis mental conditions. 13
 - Would you agree that the essential feature of antisocial personality disorders is a pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others that begins in childhood or early adolescence and continues into adulthood?
- 19 Α. Yes.

10

14

15

16

17

18

- Deceit and manipulation are central features of 20 Q. that disorder? 21
 - Ii is what it says. I would agree.
- 23 Q. Persons with this disorder may repeatedly perform 24 acts that are grounds for arrest?
- 25 Α. Yes.

- 1 Q. Such as destroying property, harassing others,
- 2 stealing, pursuing illegal occupations?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. They are frequently deceptive and manipulative in
- 5 order to gain personal profit or pleasure?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. There might be a pattern of absences from work
- 8 that are not explained by illness?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Financial irresponsibility?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. They may also be irresponsible and explode in
- 13 their sexual relationship?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. History of numerous sexual partners?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. They may be dishonorably discharged from the armed
- 18 services?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. They often spend years in penal institutions?
- 21 A. Many of them do.
- 22 Q. It is a disorder that is much more common in the
- 23 prison population than the general population?
- 24 A. I think so, yes.
- Q. Refer to that same exhibit, Defendant's Exhibit 8

- 1 at page 41.
- 2 A. All right.
- Q. Do you see in the summary section they were
- 4 family interviews with both parents the patient and the
- 5 | siblings?
- 6 A. I am sorry. Which one?
- 7 Q. Page 41 in the section that says summary at the
- 8 last sentence, indicating that the hospital had
- 9 interviewed the family, the parents, the patient and
- 10 siblings.
- 11 Do you recall that?
- 12 A. I see all that, yes.
- 13 Q. We do know that James Jones had parents,
- 14 correct?
- 15 He was not from a broken home?
- 16 A. No, he had parents.
- 17 Q. And his dad was in the military, a career military
- 18 | man?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Which would be characterized as a stable job?
- 21 A. His father had a stable job, yes.
- 22 Q. And here it indicates that at least when he was in
- 23 | trouble or had to be referred to this hospital that his
- 24 family was there?
- 25 A. Well, it said the interview. I don't know that

- 1 they were there. They interviewed them.
- Q. With both parents and siblings. It would seem to
- 3 indicate that?
- 4 A. It seems to indicate they had interviews with both
- 5 parents and siblings, yes.
- 6 Q. And they described him as not a psychopathic
- 7 person but probably has a personality disorder described
- 8 as paranoid personality?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 | Q. And this was in 1964?
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Yes?
- 13 A. At 14.
- 14 Q. Turn to the next page, page 42.
- 15 It says he could be classed as a severe
- 16 adjustment reaction of adolescents without psychosis but
- 17 behavior disorder?
- 18 A. I see that.
- 19 Q. Is that something you reviewed?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. If you will look date stamp 43.
- Do you see that?
- 23 A. I have it.
- 24 Q. And says social work?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Go to the second sentence. Very gradually Mrs. J.
- 2 acknowledged her overprotection and overconcern for Jim
- and how she had even distorted facts of his difficulties
- 4 to school authorities and to the police in the past in
- 5 order to protect her own son?
- 6 A. I see that.
- 7 | Q. At least it seems like he had a caring mother?
- 8 A. He had what?
- 9 Q. At least from that report it seems that he would
- 10 have had a caring mother?
- 11 | A. Caring mother? I don't know if caring -- it was
- 12 | somebody who was protective of him.
- 13 Q. He had a mother that was obviously concerned for
- 14 | him?

- 15 A. She obviously had some concerns about him, yes.
- 16 Q. If you will turn to date stamp 46 heading clinical
- 17 record.
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you see the reference to Mr. Jones that he had
- 20 been getting into fights, putting his hands on girls and
- 21 stealing underpants of good looking women for use with
- 22 masturbation?
- 23 A. What paragraph?
- 24 Q. Statement of the problem?
- 25 A. Right. Okay.

- 1 Q. He had entered several private homes on the
- 2 military reservation?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. Turn to date stamp 53.
- 5 Do you have that in front of you?
- 6 A. I have it.
- 7 | Q. This refers to an incident where Mr. Jones had
- 8 broken into some people's home and stole some underwear
- 9 out of a girl's bedroom.
- Do you recall those reports?
- 11 | A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Do you recall it indicating that James had a
- 13 | sexual problem as early as five years old and would do
- 14 things on impulse?
- Do you recall those types of statements?
- 16 A. Is that that same paragraph?
- 17 Here it is. Yes, I see it.
- 18 Q. If I could refer you to Defendant's Exhibit 9.
- 19 THE COURT: That is the New Jersey
- 20 records?
- MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 Q. If you will turn to the second page of that
- 23 document.
- 24 A. All right.
- THE COURT: I have one question. It says

- names James Lee Collins. Who is that?
- MR. BAKER: James Lee Collins in another
- 3 report says aka, James Lee Jones, Junior. The sixth
- 4 page.
- 5 Medical, social history. James Lee
- 6 | Collins, aka, James Lee Jones, Junior.
- 7 THE COURT: I see that.
- 8 Q. Have you reviewed that record, doctor? You have
- 9 seen this, I believe?
- 10 A. I don't have it listed in my report. I may not
- 11 have seen this before.
- 12 Q. If you will look at the second page titled New
- 13 | Jersey State Hospital at Trenton.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. The report says, 16 year old charged with juvenile
- delinquency, atrocious assault and battery and creating a
- 17 disturbance?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. If you will turn to the next page.
- Do you see Dr. Feniczy, M.D.?
- 21 A. Where are you reading from?
- 22 | Q. Do you see the statement, the entry by Dr.
- 23 Feniczy, M.D.?
- 24 A. I just turned one page. I am on the third page.
- Document that starts with this is the a 16 year old.

- 1 Q. The next page.
- 2 A. Okay. I don't see Dr. Feniczy. I see Dr. Buford.
- 3 Q. Above that.
- 4 A. It is the opinion --
- 5 Q. Do you see admission note staff at the top?
- 6 A. Summary of hospitalization. There is Feniczy on
- 7 | the next page. The staff agrees with the impression.
- 8 Q. Do you see that staff report?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. He diagnosed here with sociopathic personality
- 11 | disturbance, correct?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Apparently, according to the psychiatrist, he
- 14 experienced a homosexual panic, no psychosis was evident.
- Do you see that, doctor?
- 16 A. Yes. My opinions are not the same as yours. This
- is the second page of the whole document you are reading
- 18 from.
- 19 Q. Did you see Dr. Feniczys' entry?
- 20 A. He signed it on top and Buford signed it on
- 21 bottom.
- 22 Q. He says no psychosis was evident?
- 23 A. No psychosis was in evidence, yes.
- 24 Q. Apparently, according to the psychiatrist he
- 25 experienced a homosexual panic?

- 1 A. Homosexual panic can be a psychotic reaction.
- 2 Q. If you turn to that summary of hospitalization?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. It says that he was received at this institution
- on August 18, 1966. According to information received
- 6 from the patient he had been in and out of trouble since
- 7 | the age of five, breaking and entering resulting in
- 8 displacement in a youth center in Philadelphia?
- 9 A. Okay. That is in the first paragraph.
- 10 Q. Right. He goes on and I believe is discussing a
- 11 homosexual relationship he had with an older man?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. If you will look at the bottom of the page he
- 14 repeatedly denied hallucinatory experiences and no
- 15 delusional material was elicited?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. He had no time -- during this hospitalization he
- 18 had any psychotic manifestations?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. If you will turn to the document that is titled
- 21 | medical social history?
- 22 A. I have it.
- 23 Q. Do you see the bottom paragraph, May, 1966?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. It indicates the patient was determined to run

- 1 away from home?
- 2 A. Right.
- Q. And it goes on to say he participated with two
- 4 accomplices in an atrocious assault and battery on a
- 5 taxicab driver inflicting injury on the victim severe
- 6 | enough to cause hospitalization?
- 7 A. Yes, I see that.
- 8 | Q. Turn over to the next page where it says personal
- 9 history.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. It says, school history. He was expelled for
- 12 threatening a teacher with a knife -- where it says
- 13 school history?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. Do you recall that?
- 16 A. I do.
- 17 Q. Now, if I could turn your attention to prison
- 18 records.
- Do you have it in front of you?
- 20 A. That is black one, the number six?
- 21 | Q. The military records?
- 22 A. I have it.
- 23 Q. I believe it is number 10.
- 24 A. Number 10.
- 25 Q. Have you reviewed his military records?

- 1 A. I was looking at my list I prepared when I made up
- 2 my report. I don't know whether I have.
- Yes, I do. Number 29, military records.
- 4 Q. Do you recall reviewing them?
- 5 A. Do I recall what?
- 6 Q. Reviewing them?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Do you recall what those documents reflect, that
- 9 he had on several instances been absent without leave
- 10 | from military duty?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. He had been disciplined, court marshalled in the
- 13 | military for that.
- 14 Do you recall that?
- 15 A. Yes, I remember that.
- 16 Q. Ultimately he was discharged under conditions
- 17 other than honorable?
- 18 A. Yes, I knew that.
- 19 Q. If I could refer you to Defendant's Exhibit 6
- 20 which is the prison records. It might be easier if I
- 21 give you some information about what is in these records,
- 22 and if you recall that or don't recall -- if not, we will
- 23 | take it up. Maybe that will happen.
- 24 A. There are tabs. Do you want to name the tab?
- 25 Q. I am going to kind of go in order with you to see

- and we will discuss basically what they report.
- 2 Do you recall -- looking at tab 1 -- let's
- go to tab 2. There is a tab 2 that indicates report of
- 4 psychiatric evaluation by William N. Fitzpatrick,
- 5 | Baltimore, Maryland?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Indicating the petitioner was arrested in April,
- 8 '69 for robbery of a soldier at Fort Meade, Maryland.
- 9 Do you recall that?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. And the conclusion of the report indicated that
- 12 | the defendant was competent to face trial. Do you recall
- 13 that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. There was no finding of a mental illness. Do you
- 16 recall that?
- 17 A. I am sorry. I didn't hear that.
- 18 Q. There was no finding of a mental illness. Do you
- 19 remember that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. If we do look at that same document and referring
- 22 to the robbery, if you will look down at the bottom of
- 23 the page.
- 24 His reasons for participating in the
- 25 yoking of a solder was money to go to his 16 year old

- wife that was five months pregnant?
- 2 A. I see that.
- Q. He was surprised they found so much money in the
- 4 man's wallet as they did?
- 5 A. I saw that.
- 6 Q. When he was apprehended he banged his head on the
- 7 | window. They had to tie him down in the police car with
- 8 the seat belt?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. It was later he ignited a sheet to try to
- 11 suffocate myself, there just ain't no reason to live
- 12 | anymore.
- Do you recall that?
- 14 A. I saw that.
- 15 Q. Dr. Fitzpatrick goes on and describes the
- 16 petitioner as this young man is immature and dedicated to
- 17 the notion that since his father did not love him the
- 18 world owes him unlimited indulgence and support. The
- 19 | violence, the head banging and wrist slashing have come
- 20 at times of impotent rage at the discovery that the world
- 21 expects him to behave responsibly?
- 22 A. I see that.
- 23 Q. So at least it appears that according to that
- 24 statement that the petitioner did not want to behave
- 25 responsibly?

- 1 A. He was showing very clear signs of borderline
- 2 personality disorder. He has it written all over here.
- 3 Q. Lack of respect for authority correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. That is the consistent?
- 6 MR. MACLEAN: If he could finish answering 7 the question.
- THE COURT: He finished.
- 9 Q. And consistent with antisocial personality, isn't
- 10 | it, doctor?
- 11 A. It could be. At least those symptoms. There are
 12 much more than antisocial personality disorder.
- When you make a diagnosis, you have to look at a whole picture.
- 15 Q. There is more, but it looks antisocial?
- 16 A. It looks antisocial but part of his borderline
- personality disorder. He says nobody cares about me
- anymore. They abandoned me. That is way he looks at
- 19 life.
- 20 Q. He looks at life through lack of authority?
- 21 A. His father had brutalized him all his life and
- 22 other figures became like his father. He said clearly he
- 23 was getting back with his father from these antisocial
- 24 acts.
- 25 Q. Let's move on and look at some other prison

- 1 records.
- 2 If you will go to Exhibit 9 or number
- 3 nine -- excuse me -- in Exhibit 6.
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 A. Is that 9, New Jersey Hospital?
- 6 Q. Right.
- 7 A. What page.
- 8 Q. The first page of number 9.
- 9 THE COURT: Are you talking about tab 9?
- 10 MR. BAKER: Tab 9. Excuse me. I am
- 11 | sorry.
- 12 A. I have the first page.
- 13 Q. Yes. You will see the entry about five lines
- 14 down, jailed repeatedly since age eight. Do you see
- 15 that?
- 16 A. I see that.
- 17 Q. Quote, I don't feel guilty about my crimes?
- 18 A. I see that.
- 19 Q. And if you go a little further down, I hate the
- 20 law?
- 21 A. I see that.
- 22 Q. Move to Exhibit 10.
- 23 A. You mean tab?
- 24 Q. Tab 10. I am sorry. This is a federal
- 25 | reformatory at Petersburg, Virginia?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. And he is written up for contraband?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Two watches and some drugs?
- 5 A. Some black and red capsules, yes. Librium.
- 6 Q. Move on to tab 11. It indicates that sharpened
- 7 table knife was concealed in the small of his back under
- 8 his clothing?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Go to number 13. Tab 13. Do you see the fourth
- 11 | paragraph down, during the psychiatric examination he was
- 12 in excellent contact with his surroundings, he was
- 13 responsive, friendly and cooperative. He admitted to
- 14 | short lived hysterical outburst, temper tantrums and all
- 15 kinds of destructive behavior as a reaction to
- 16 | frustration?
- 17 A. I see that.
- 18 Q. And he seemed to have a morbid pathological
- 19 resentment of his father and father figures?
- 20 A. Very clear, yes.
- 21 Q. Tab 16, federal reformatory?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. Misconduct report?
- 24 A. Got it.
- 25 | Q. Concealing contraband, ring; lying to an officer;

- 1 refuse to go remove his clothing for shakedown,
- 2 disobeying a direct order, using foul and abusive
- 3 language.
- 4 Do you see that?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 Q. You have reviewed these records, correct?
- 7 A. I did.
- 8 Q. Another police conduct report, 17, when refusing a
- 9 cell assignment at Petersburg?
- 10 A. I see that.
- 11 Q. Tab 18, entry by psychologist Robert Resnick?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. The middle paragraph, apparently he has difficulty
- with violent outbursts if he was pressured or angered?
- 15 A. I see that.
- 16 Q. Exhibit 19. It refers to the -- paragraph down
- 17 | talks about drugs, his opinion about the use of drugs has
- 18 | changed.
- He feels he was immature when he was on
- 20 drugs?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 | Q. There is no indication there he was taking drugs
- 23 for a spiritual purpose?
- 24 A. No. This was earlier. That's correct.
- 25 Q. Tab 20, another misconduct report at the

- 1 reformatory. Contraband, knife with sharpened blade,
- 2 taped handle?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. He stated reason for having it was he found it and
- 5 | he was going to turn it in.
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. The investigating officer's statement says that
- 9 statement is in question because Jones has been working
- 10 these quarters for some time and could have turned it in
- 11 | earlier?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. At least with that document it appears that he may
- 14 have lied, correct, lied about that to officials?
- 15 A. Lead investigator had some question about it,
- 16 yes.
- 17 Q. Exhibit 21, another misconduct report at
- 18 | Petersburg?
- 19 A. Rights.
- 20 Q. Throwing a tray of food barricading himself in the
- 21 | cell and destroying government property, refusing a
- 22 direct order, threatening bodily harm to officers?
- 23 A. Right.
- 24 Q. If you will look at tab 22.
- Do you see that?

- 1 A. I do.
- 2 Q. You had talked earlier about his concerns about
- 3 homosexual pressure or fears of being homosexually
- 4 raped?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. As this report indicates -- and I believe others
- 7 do, too -- there is also evidence that he was involved in
- 8 consensual homosexual acts, correct?
- 9 A. He apparently had been and he was also raped and
- 10 he was also coerced, all three types.
- 11 Q. Tab 22. Excuse me. Tab 23. Do you see that
- 12 one?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Misconduct report, Petersburg, out of bounds and
- 15 | lying to an officer?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Tab 24. Misconduct report, Petersburg, Virginia?
- 18 | A. Right.
- 19 Q. This refers, as we have heard testimony earlier,
- 20 to the 1972 stabbing that you are familiar with?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And this document reflects that this officer
- 23 | approached inmate Jones and asked him to submit to a
- 24 | search, correct?
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 | Q. And that Jones replied to that officer, don't put
- 2 your hands on me?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. And this officer was suspicious of Jones as he had
- 5 observed Jones in the vicinity of Stein's room?
- 6 A. Yes, that is what he says.
- 7 Q. Turn to memo 25. Another memorandum concerning
- 8 | that same stabbing in 1972?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 | Q. Will you refer down to the last paragraph. Senior
- 11 Officer Specialist William E. Daniel was the officer in
- 12 | charge and he observed inmate Jimmy Jones near Stein's
- 13 room. Just before Stein staggered into the hall and
- 14 | collapsed on the floor he felt it significant that when
- 15 | most of the other inmates rushed toward the incident
- 16 | Jones went in the other direction.
- 17 | A. I see that.
- 18 Q. Tab 26. Jones is a known homosexual, the type
- 19 | that plays both the passive and aggressive role. Third
- 20 paragraph.

- Do you see that?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. Refer you to tab 29. A memo reflecting that Jones
- 24 was captured by prison guards, after apprehension he
- 25 refused to cooperate with the guards?

- 1 A. This is tab 29?
- 2 Q. Uh-huh. Two pages.
- 3 | A. All right.
- 4 Q. Tab 30, another memorandum. Last paragraph, Jones
- 5 admits to getting into a fight. Extremely poor attitude
- 6 during the investigation of the disturbance.
- 7 A. All right.
- 8 Q. Tab 31. This is at Fort Leavenworth. This is an
- 9 incident report of unexcused absence from work?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Tab 32. Do you see the entry, Jones is a super
- 12 | militant who in my judgment is looking for trouble all
- 13 | the time?
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that something that you considered?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. Doctor, what I would like to do, you reviewed his
- 19 records, correct?
- 20 A. I did.
- 21 Q. You have seen reports where he has engaged in
- 22 repeated misconduct, infractions while in prison,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And that includes lying to staff?

- 1 A. Lying.
- 2 Q. Lying to prison officials?
- 3 A. Sure, yes.
- 4 Q. Includes possession of contraband which included
- 5 knives?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Unexcused absences from work?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Refusing to obey orders of prison officials?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Tab 49, I refer you to. Do you see that one?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Possession of narcotic paraphernalia?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. This is dated 1976?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 | Q. Jones was noticed acting suspicious. I shook him
- 18 down and found syringe and needle in his rear pocket?
- 19 A. Right.
- 20 Q. Do you recall that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. REDICK: Your Honor, I am going to
- 23 interpose an objection.
- 24 THE COURT: What is the basis of the
- 25 | objection?

1 MR. REDICK: Your Honor, what is going on 2 here is he is going through these records and he is asking him if he read the records, and he already 3 testified the records he reviewed. 5 He is not asking him any questions about 6 the record. Basically he is just reading the record. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Baker. MR. BAKER: Well, Your Honor, he has 8 9 testified about various things. I want to see what 10 knowledge he has relied upon. The way to do that is go 11 through the record with him. 12 MR. REDICK: He already testified he reviewed the record. Let him establish what record he 13 14 didn't review. 15 MR. BAKER: That is my point, what he reviewed --16 17 MR. REDICK: He testified he read these. 18 MR. BAKER: -- the substance of what he reviewed. 19 THE COURT: I think Mr. Redick has a 20 21 pretty good objection. If you want to ask him questions about these records, if he disagrees or agrees with the 22 conclusions, whether in fact he considered it, you are 23 free to do that. 24

MR. BAKER: Yes, sir.

• . .

- THE COURT: But this is not an opportunity
- 2 simply to do an outline for your proposed findings of
- 3 facts and conclusions of law.
- MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor. I will move
- 5 on.
- 6 Q. Doctor, you have indicated you discussed about a
- 7 dissociate state concerning this crime?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. That is essentially the basis of your opinion,
- 10 | that he would be insane at the time of the offense?
- 11 A. Under the old Tennessee law, yes.
- 12 Q. Or would not have been able to premeditate or
- 13 deliberate?
- 14 A. Because of his dissociation, correct.
- 15 Q. Doctor, of course there have been a number of
- 16 inconsistencies in his statements throughout the course
- of his criminal proceedings in Tennessee, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you agree with that?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And are you aware that he told Middle Tennessee
- 22 Mental Health Institute he remembered very well what he
- 23 | did?
- 24 A. I am aware he said that, yes.
- 25 Q. Of course that is directly contradictory to what

- 1 he said and he told you?
- 2 A. That is not only what he told me but a number of
- other people, and what he testified to in his case.
- 4 Q. Of course he is facing a potential death penalty
- 5 | case?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. He is in jail?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Convicted of murder?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Can you think of anyone more than the petitioner
- 12 | that would have a motive to lie or fabricate a story?
- 13 A. I think all people in that situation have a motive
- 14 to put their best foot forward and get the best result
- 15 they can get, yes.
- 16 Q. Are you aware that he told his first attorney,
- 17 | Neal McAlpin, about a Sam Blackstock?
- Does that name ring a bell?
- 19 A. That lie he told about somebody else being
- 20 | there?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. Yes, I am aware of that.
- 23 Q. When you do a forensic evaluation it is different
- 24 | than a clinical evaluation, correct?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- 1 Q. In a clinical setting you really don't have reason
- 2 to question the voracity of the patient, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Because the patient is there for treatment?
- 5 A. Right. I wrote that somewhere.
- 6 Q. But in a forensic setting it is quite the
- 7 opposite?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Today we are here in a forensic setting?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And you admit that you were aware of the lies that
- 12 he has told about what happened?
- 13 A. Yes, I am.
- 14 Q. Let's look at what he has stated to you.
- Now, you stated that he had told you he
- 16 | lacked a memory up until he was about a half mile home
- 17 | with Mr. Miller, correct?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. Do you recall in his hypnosis there was a
- 20 | pre-hypnosis review or interview?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Do you recall in that interview, he stated that he
- 23 | couldn't remember anything until the next morning when he
- 24 | was at Miller's house?
- Do you recall that statement?

1 A. I do.

.

- Q. Of course that is inconsistent, isn't it?
- 3 A. That is inconsistent.
- 4 | Q. Let's look at some other circumstances, doctor.
- You said that the dissociate state
- essentially arose due to the concern for the children and the dog, correct?
- 8 A. Not just him. It was his putting himself in the
- 9 | place of those because of his own prior experiences and
- 10 his mental condition, PTSD and borderline personality
- 11 disorder.
- That was a stress on him. He identified
- 13 with them and worried about them.
- 14 Q. It was his concern for the dog and children which
- 15 he expressed to you led -- is expressed to you?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. That is what you used to base your opinion on,
- 18 that he suffered a dissociate episode?
- 19 A. That is one area. If you or I were in that same
- 20 area with our own and borderline condition and didn't
- 21 have this same kind of history and background he had, I
- 22 doubt we would have dissociated.
- But we are talking about Mr. Rahman that
- 24 does have all that and under those conditions at that
- 25 | time it is my opinion he did dissociate.

- 1 Q. Doctor, of course you say he expressed concern for
- 2 the safety of the children, right?
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. Of course, there is the other testimony in this
- 5 case he threatened to snap their heads off?
- 6 A. Yes. We went through that this morning. I
- 7 remember that somebody said that.
- 8 Q. That is certainly inconsistent with that?
- 9 A. It certainly is.
- 10 Q. Does that concern you about the truth of this
- 11 | statement?
- 12 A. Yes, it is. That is why I gave the opinion that
- 13 | was early in the encounter, when he was still in his
- 14 gangster persona, where he was Scar Face in Chicago and
- 15 | that was part of the glib threats he was making.
- But during his later time when he was
- 17 | there, his concern for the children was clearly expressed
- 18 both consistently with me and on the videotape.
- 19 Q. But his actions and testimony indicated that he
- 20 | made this threat though, correct, to other witnesses?
- 21 A. There was one witness that said that and I
- 22 remember reading it. I was concerned about it.
- 23 Q. Now, another possible reason he might have been
- 24 concerned for the children is that they were making noise
- or he didn't want to alert attention to other people,

- 1 | right?
- 2 That certainly is plausible?
- 3 A. That is an option you can consider.
- 4 Q. This is an apartment complex. It wasn't a
- 5 detached home?
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And the same thing about the dogs?
- 8 A. Same thing. That is certainly a reasonable option
- 9 to consider.
- 10 Q. And if in fact he had lied and in fact had
- 11 | stabbed these people and recalled it, that would
- 12 discredit your opinion, correct?
- 13 A. I am sorry. He what?
- 14 | Q. Had he actually committed the stabbing, knew he
- committed the stabbing but lied to you or to others about
- 16 that, that would discredit your theory, is that correct,
- 17 | that he dissociated?
- 18 A. If he was aware he did the stabbings, always aware
- of it and lied to me about his memory loss and lied to me
- 20 about a lot of other things, then certainly that would
- 21 | put a lot of holes in my opinion, yes.
- 22 Q. Doctor, there is no method recognized method to
- 23 determine whether he was telling you the truth or not the
- 24 truth, is there?
- 25 A. There is no fail-safe method, no. There is no

- 1 guarantee. It is a clinical experience.
- 2 You do this for 35 years, and you have
- 3 seen -- I have seen 8,000 people charged with crimes,
- 4 over 300 charged with murder. You get to know after
- 5 awhile what you need to look for, and it is another
- 6 reason why we did the hypnosis. Not for the truth of it
- 7 but as another test to check on the consistency of what
- 8 he is telling us.
- 9 Q. Doctor, with regard to the hypnosis, let's talk
- 10 for a minute about that.
- 11 A. All right.
- 12 Q. You would agree there is no consensus that exists
- regarding the use of hypnosis as a means to refresh
- 14 memory?
- 15 A. There is, no.
- 16 Q. Consensus in the medical profession that exists
- 17 | regarding the use of hypnosis as a means to refresh
- 18 | memory?
- 19 A. Refresh memory? I think there is some controversy
- 20 there.
- 21 It is not a hundred percent consensus,
- 22 that is correct.
- 23 Q. In fact, there has been considerable controversy
- 24 in this area?
- 25 A. There are two camps. There are those that say it

- 1 can and those that say it can't.
- Q. There is no method to determine whether a person
- 3 | was in fact hypnotized?
- 4 A. That is absolutely correct. I so testified not
- 5 only today but many times before.
- 6 Q. With regard to hypnotizability, no objective tests
- 7 | were conducted in this case to determine the ability of
- 8 him to be hypnotized?
- 9 A. Even if there were, you couldn't guarantee he was
- 10 | hypnotized if even he showed high hypnotizability.
- 11 Q. You would agree the literature with hypnosis
- 12 | recommended the type of subjective tests?
- 13 A. It does but it still doesn't guarantee anything.
- 14 Q. None of those tests were done in this case?
- 15 A. None of them were done.
- 16 Q. Do you agree that scientific literature indicates
- 17 | that hypnosis can increase the inaccurate response to
- 18 | leading questions?
- 19 A. You bet. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. It can increase the subjects confidence in his
- 21 | memories?
- 22 | A. As though it was truth, yes. I would agree with
- 23 that.
- 24 | Q. It can increase errors while also falsely
- 25 | increasing confidence in the memory?

- 1 A. It could do that if the interview is not properly
- 2 conducted, that is correct.
- Q. Would you agree that untrained individuals with no
- 4 | special knowledge of hypnosis are capable of simulating
- or faking hypnosis sufficiently well to deceive even
- 6 experienced hypnotists?
- 7 A. We have seen that happen in celebrated cases.
- 8 That is certainly true.
- 9 Q. And also you have seen and read that individuals
- in deep hypnosis are able to excerpt considerable control
- 11 | over their statements and may willfully lie? That is
- 12 true, isn't it?
- 13 A. That is true.
- 14 Q. And statements by criminal defendants under
- 15 | hypnosis, therefore, can be self-serving and purposefully
- 16 | deceptive, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct, it could be.
- 18 Q. You have no way of determining whether this
- 19 | petitioner was deceptive, do you?
- 20 A. I have no way of, no test to tell me that.
- 21 | Q. And as you just talked about there were many
- 22 contradictions about his lack of memory regarding this?
- 23 A. There are some inconsistent statements, yes.
- MR. BAKER: Just a minute.
- 25 Q. Doctor, do you recall in the pre-hypnotic

- 1 interviews with the petitioner where he indicated that
- 2 Devalle Miller was acting like he was getting hyped
- 3 and dogs started barking, they were barking at the
- 4 Devalle?
- 5 A. I remember him saying that, yes.
- 6 Q. That would be consistent with him wanting to keep
- 7 | the dogs quite, correct?
- 8 A. Consistent with that or protecting the dogs as
- 9 well.
- 10 Q. If you are committing a crime with weapons in an
- 11 | apartment building, you don't want a lot of noise do
- 12 you?
- 13 A. You sure don't.
- 14 Q. You don't want kids yelling or dogs barking?
- 15 A. That's correct, you sure don't.
- 16 Q. Now, he also told you he had taken marijuana and
- 17 | LSD prior to committing these offenses?
- 18 A. Yes. The marijuana, maybe one micro dot of LSD,
- 19 that is correct.
- 20 | Q. Doctor, the DSM 4 states with regard to mental
- 21 diagnosis such as the ones you have made here today that
- 22 malingering should be ruled out, correct?
- 23 A. Malingering should always be ruled out, especially
- 24 on criminal case.
- 25 Q. You cannot rule that out in this case, can you?

- 1 A. You mean generally or specifically about
- 2 particular issues?
- Q. Whether or not he lied to you about his memory?
- 4 A. That is not malingering. Malingering is faking an
- 5 illness. I don't think he is faking an illness.
- 6 He has the illness and well documented and
- 7 | agreed by everybody that he has such a diagnosis.
- 8 Does he lie? Yes. Does he lie about
- 9 certain things? Yes.
- 10 That is not malingering.
- 11 Q. He could have lied about his lack of memory?
- 12 A. He sure could.
- 13 Q. If he did remember it and the facts were
- 14 | consistent with the testimony of the co-defendant then in
- 15 | fact he would have been same?
- 16 He would have known what he did,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. If he remembered and he did it, had no amnesia,
- 19 no dissociation and did it the way his co-defendant said
- 20 he did it then I wouldn't be here testifying he was
- 21 insane, that's correct.
- 22 Q. Now, you had testified also that you believe the
- 23 hypnosis indicated not necessarily fact but his belief of
- 24 | what happened?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. But you don't have any way to verify that belief
- 2 either, do you?
- 3 A. I don't.
- 4 | Q. Doctor, I would like to review some of the
- 5 | testimony, his testimony at trial with you, okay.
- 6 There is no question here that he had a
- 7 plan, correct?
- 8 A. I am sorry?
- 9 Q. There is no question that this petitioner had a
- 10 | plan, correct?
- 11 A. He had a plan to intimidate the drug dealers,
- 12 yes.
- 13 | Q. He talked about that. He in fact had been to this
- 14 | victim's house prior?
- 15 A. Yes, he had.
- 16 Q. According to his statements?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And he had a plan when he went over there that
- 19 | night, according to him?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And, of course, as we already mentioned, according
- 22 to the co-defendant, he had indicated that they would
- 23 have to kill the victims, correct?
- 24 A. That is what Mr. Miller said, yes.
- 25 Q. Of course the defendant denied that, didn't he?

- 1 A. He did deny it.
- Q. He also denied the crime plan they had the night
- 3 before as described by Miller.
- 4 He and Miller had a different account of
- 5 that, correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. He testified about that at trial?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. He wasn't conceding to everything that Miller
- 10 testified to?
- 11 A. No, he was not.
- 12 Q. He was clarifying areas where he disagreed,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 A. That is how I read it, yes.
- 15 Q. In that testimony he admitted the stabbings?
- 16 A. He admitted at the time he was testifying? He
- 17 did make such admissions, or submissions, the way he put
- 18 it.
- 19 Q. Now, if in his testimony he testified that, quote,
- 20 during the time he asked the man to lay down there was a
- 21 little black dog and big brown dog in there, so they had
- 22 | begun to bark?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. He is indicating they are making noise, right?
- 25 A. Right.

- Q. So he goes, oh. So as Mr. Miller went to take the tape out of the bag to tie the legs, I guess because of the confusion that was transpiring throughout the dwelling, he couldn't get a train of thought of what he was doing.
 - Now, that statement indicates that there is confusion, the dogs barking and that because of the co-defendant couldn't get things going that Jones would get things going.
- That is what he is essentially saying there?
- 12 A. Right.

6

7

8

9

20

21

- 13 Q. That is how you read it?
- 14 A. I read it.
- Q. This is on 1859 of his testimony. Now, he goes and states that Norma Jean Norman locked the little -says back but it should be black -- dog up into her bedroom and I let the big brown dog out of the front door.
 - Now, of course, Norma Norman testified in this case that she had put the dogs in the back bedroom.
- She never made mention of Jones letting the dogs out of the house?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. If testimony were that when the police arrived the
- 2 dogs would be in the house, that would be inconsistent
- 3 with that statement, too?
- 4 A. It depends on one of the dogs that was out might
- 5 | have gotten in. I can't answer that.
- 6 Q. Of course you are familiar with the Scar Face
- 7 | references?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Of course there was evidence in this case that
- 10 | Scar Face was a movie about an ex-convict who sought to
- 11 | infiltrate the drug business at the low level and work
- 12 his way up through violent means?
- 13 A. I saw the movie.
- 14 Q. There are interesting parallels between that case
- 15 and this?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. This was a low level drug deal, Patrick Daniels?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. The petitioner is ex-convict, correct?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. He went in there and called himself Scar Face?
- 22 A. He did. From Chicago.
- 23 Q. Of course there is evidence that they had gloves,
- 24 they wore gloves?
- 25 A. They wore gloves.

- 1 Q. That, of course, also involved some planning?
- 2 A. It does.

*: · ·

- 3 Q. If you can commit a crime you don't want to leave
- 4 | fingerprints, do you?
- 5 A. Sure don't.
- 6 Q. He knew he was going over there to commit a crime,
- 7 | didn't he?
- 8 A. He knew what he was going to do. I don't know if
- 9 he considered it a crime. He knew it to be a positive
- 10 thing for his community.
- 11 Q. He knew enough to be fearful enough of leaving
- 12 | fingerprints, didn't he?
- 13 A. Well, he wore gloves.
- 14 Q. Now, the testimony also reflects that he had seen
- 15 | these girls early on when he got to Patrick Daniels'
- 16 house, correct? He noticed them there?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, his defense counsel, Mr. Barrett, indicated
- 19 | yesterday and I believe at the post conviction as well
- 20 that his notices reflected that Jones prior to going to
- 21 Daniels' house had told Miller that there may be children
- 22 there?
- 23 A. Right.
- Q. So there it indicated he knew there might be
- 25 children there when he got there?

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 | Q. I will refer you to I believe petitioner's Exhibit
- 3 | 138, some excerpts from his testimony.
- Do you have that in front of you?
- 5 A. I don't have it in front of me.
- 6 MR. MACLEAN: I think it is on the
- 7 chair.
- 8 THE COURT: Is that part of the folders?
- 9 MR. MACLEAN: It was the testimony, the
- 10 | admission.
- May I approach the witness?
- THE COURT: Yes. Let's describe what it
- 13 | is so we know.
- MR. BAKER: They are excerpts. If it is
- not handy, that is okay. We can do without it.
- 16 THE COURT: Well, if the judge would wake
- 17 | up -- I say that tongue in cheek. Here it is, sitting on
- 18 | the desk. I have got it.
- 19 Q. Turn to page 1865 of that exhibit.
- 20 A. All right.
- 21 Q. Line six on direct examination. Quote. I am
- 22 going to submit to the fact that I am the individual that
- 23 | committed these particular felonies or assaults among
- these people but I don't remember, you know, I don't
- remember too much and why that all of a sudden came to

- 1 | me?
- 2 A. I read that this morning, yes.
- 3 Q. So, he is saying in that statement it appears that
- 4 he has the memory of committing that stabbing?
- 5 A. Not saying that at all. He is saying I am going
- 6 | to submit to the fact that I am the individual and there
- 7 | are a lot of reasons why he is going to do that. He
- 8 | never says he remembers.
- 9 Q. He says I don't remember too much of why that all
- 10 of a sudden came to me. He is having a real -- saying
- 11 | according to him --
- 12 A. I don't know what he means by that.
- 13 Q. Okay. Of course, doctor, outside of the stabbing,
- 14 he remembers a lot of other details of what is going on
- 15 | in that house, correct?
- 16 A. As far as I know, what he told me and what I have
- 17 | seen, he remembers a lot of things up to the point of
- 18 duct tape and kind of standing over Norma Norman and has
- 19 no memory after that until he is about a half mile from
- 20 Mr. Miller's house.
- 21 That has been pretty consistent.
- 22 Q. Doctor, he remembered going to the house?
- 23 A. Going into the house.
- 24 Q. Into the Daniels' house?
- 25 A. I think he does.

- 1 | Q. He remembers Patrick Daniels setting the marijuana
- 2 | in the kitchen?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 Q. He remembers where everyone is standing?
- 5 A. He remembers weighing the marijuana, yes.
- 6 Q. He remembers when they pulled the guns?
- 7 A. I think he does, yes.
- 8 Q. Remembers tying up the victims?
- 9 A. He does tying up Patrick Daniels and partly tying
- 10 up Norma Jean Norman.
- 11 Q. He tied up their eyes as well?
- 12 A. The eyes and maybe the mouth.
- 13 Q. And if you are tying someone's eyes up, you would
- 14 do that because you didn't want them to see something,
- 15 | wouldn't you, doctor?
- 16 A. Intimidating makes them more vulnerable and
- 17 you can infer from that you didn't want them to see
- 18 anything.
- 19 Q. Doctor, the only way your opinion, in your mind,
- 20 | could be valid is if, in fact, the facts were the way
- 21 | as James Jones stated them, correct, his facts and
- 22 memory?
- 23 A. Well, the way he stated it and some of which is
- 24 | corroborated by other evidence, other statements I
- 25 have.

- 1 Q. But in particular with regard to his memory or
- 2 lack of memory, you rely upon his representation that he
- 3 doesn't remember?
- 4 A. Consistency of it, I do.
- 5 Q. Or inconsistency of that?
- 6 A. Or inconsistency.
- 7 Q. Doctor, you have talked a bit about the borderline
- 8 personality and also antisocial personality disorder.
- 9 Isn't it common to have features of more
- 10 | than one personality disorder?
- 11 A. Very common.
- 12 Q. And the diagnoses are not exclusive of the
- 13 evidence?
- 14 A. They are not.
- 15 Q. The DSM 4 indicates that with regard to antisocial
- 16 | personality disorder that persons with antisocial
- 17 personality disorder often have personality features that
- 18 | meet criteria for other personality disorders?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Particularly borderline?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Narcissistic?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, you cannot rule out the fact that he may
- 25 | have had an antisocial personality as well, correct?

- A. I think he has antisocial features and may rise to the level of antisocial personality disorder. I won't
- 3 dispute that. That wasn't my major diagnosis.

100 m

- I think the major diagnosis is borderline personality disorder.
- Q. But you do not dispute he has had a history of antisocial behavior?
- A. Behavior is antisocial. To call somebody an
 antisocial personality disorder you need more than
 behavior. If the behavior is reflective of borderline
 personality disorder it can be as well.
- There can be overlapping symptoms. One

 has to look at the overall picture and one has to do a

 very careful examination of the individual before jumping

 to the conclusion of a diagnosis.
- Q. Of course you are coming into this over 10 years from the date of this offense?
- 18 A. Unfortunately that is true.
- Q. And it is much harder to make a diagnosis when there is a large time gap like that, correct?
- 21 A. Unless the symptoms are still observable, that is 22 true.
- Q. And it is even harder to make a sanity determination?
- 25 A. It is more difficult to make that because you have

- to make the jump from the diagnosis to the legal test
- with the data you have, that is correct.
- Q. Doctor, are you familiar with the American
- 4 Psychiatric Association report on sanity regarding the
- 5 | John Hinkley case?
- 6 A. I may have read it. It is not at the top of my
- 7 tongue, no.

- 8 Q. Would you agree with their statement -- this is
- 9 | with regard to the American Psychiatric Association -- we
- 10 adopt this position because it is clear that
- 11 psychiatrists are experts in medicine, not the law.
- When, however, ultimate issue questions
- are formulated by the law and put to the expert witness,
- 14 | they must say yea or nay, then the expert witness is
- 15 required to make a leap in logic.
- 16 It is a leap in logic when you try to make
- 17 | the sanity determination, isn't it, doctor?
- 18 A. When you say leap in logic, you have to understand
- 19 what that means. It goes beyond the training that
- 20 | psychiatrists and physicians have had in to the legal
- 21 area.
- 22 But we do that by extrapolation, by
- judgment, I suppose, and give our opinion to the best of
- 24 our ability.
- I would agree that can it be a leap, that

- not everybody agrees with it.
- Q. Sir, would you agree with this statement, that
- 3 with regard to the psychiatric profession that it has
- 4 been the experience that sociopathics or other
- 5 personality disorders do not impair behavior control?
- 6 A. Do not do what?
- 7 Q. Impair behavior control?
- 8 A. I suppose with antisocial or sociopathic, as you
- 9 call it, personality disorder that is probably true. I
- 10 | think with some paranoid personality disorders that are
- 11 severe and borderline personality disorder are severe, I
- 12 think there can be an impairment of behavior control,
- 13 yes.
- 14 Q. Doctor, it is true that in most cases where there
- is insanity a patient has some form of psychosis? You
- 16 agree with that statement, wouldn't you?
- 17 A. I would certainly agree with that in the
- 18 | McNaulton (ph) jurisdictions where the issue is a
- 19 | cognitive one, did he know what he was doing wrong.
- 20 When it comes to the volition issue you
- 21 | have here in Tennessee at the time of the crime, I would
- 22 | say that one doesn't necessarily have to be psychotic in
- order to lack substantial capacity to control himself or
- 24 | conform his conduct to the requirements of the law.
- 25 | Certainly for the cognitive issues you do. That has been

- 1 | my experience as well.
- Q. And your opinion here is limited just to that one issue, isn't it?

Your opinion is that he was unable to control his conduct?

- A. Not unable. He lacked substantial capacity which is not total inability to control. Lacked substantial capacity to conform his conduct to the requirements of the law because of his mental condition he had at the time of this act.
- 11 Yes, that is my opinion.
- 12 Q. That ties directly into this dissociate episode?
- 13 A. Yes.

- Q. And if in fact he had not suffered a dissociate episode, he would have been same?
 - A. If he did not have a dissociate episode and severe personality disorders post traumatic stress disorders and wasn't responding to the pressures on him at that time to the point he acted the way he did, I would say that he would not have the insanity defense, that is correct.
 - Q. You're not saying that even without dissociate episodes that he is insane at the time of the events?
 - A. I think the dissociation has to go with the total person, the two diagnoses I gave, the strees he was under and kind of individual we are talking about.

1 I just want it clear for the record, we can't take all that out of context and say, well, if you 2 don't have the dissociation then we don't have insanity. 3 4 Dissociation is an integral part of this person's life and total picture. 5 6 You're saying even if he in fact knew what he was 7 doing and had memory of it that he was still insane? 8 A. No. You are adding two things. If he knew what he was doing, that is McNaulton. I am not saying he 9 didn't know what he was doing. 10 If he remembered, you asked this before 11 and I think I gave the same answer twice. If he 12 13 didn't remember and was lying to me about not remembering 14 and did not of a dissociation then I would change my 15 opinion. 16 MR. BAKER: That is all, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. BAKER: I did have one other thing I wanted to ask. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead. 20 21 Q. Doctor, are you aware -- you talked about the 22 petitioner's relationship or his kinship with native 23 Americans and you were aware he escaped from prison and

25 A. Yes.

had been in South Dakota?

- Q. Are you aware of the murder that occurred in South Dakota?
- A. I am aware of some things about it. I don't know very much. I know there was one.
- 5 MR. PRUDEN: I move for Defendant's
- 6 Exhibit 12.

- 7 THE COURT: All right. What is it?
- 8 MR. PRUDEN: Your Honor, this is records
- 9 from post conviction counselor's file regarding the
- 10 | incident that occurred while he was on escape in South
- 11 Dakota.
- 12 We move for admission of that document.
- 13 THE COURT: All right. That will be
- 14 | number 12.
- Do you have a question?
- MR. MACLEAN: No objection.
- 17 Q. Were you aware, doctor, that James Jones had been
- 18 | present when a murder occurred in South Dakota?
- 19 A. Had been present.
- 20 Q. Yes. Was present?
- 21 A. I don't know the details. I know what he told me,
- 22 because I never have seen this document before.
- He told me something about one of his
- 24 | colleagues was implicated and he had to go down and
- 25 | testify to help him and he did so and then his

- 1 fingerprints showed he was a fugitive and they arrested That is what I knew about it. 2 Q. If the records reflect that with regard to that murder in South Dakota that petitioner initially lied to 4 authorities about that, that would further in your mind 5 cause concern about his voracity, wouldn't it? 6 It is depending what he is lying about, under what 7 A. 8 circumstances and what the lies were. Certainly if it was just a lie --9 If he was lying about the murder and saying it 10 didn't happen, that the victim committed suicide --11 12 If he lied, did it, and really believed it 13 wasn't true and knew it wasn't true, just lied blatantly then that would be a question about his 14 credibility. 15 That would be something that is important to 16 Q. 17 you? That is something I would like to know. 18 Α. MR. BAKER: That is all, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker, do you
- 20
- 21 have an out of town witness today?

• . . .

- MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor, we do.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay.

- 24 MR. BAKER: I might need --
- THE COURT: You might need what? 25

	231
1	MR. BAKER: I might need a moment to
2	consult before putting him on.
3	THE COURT: I am trying to accommodate
4	travel schedules.
5	Go ahead, Mr. MacLean.
6	MR. MACLEAN: I will be very brief.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

•

EXAMINATION OF ROBERT SADOFF

BY MR. MACLEAN:

- Q. Dr. Sadoff, I would like for you to, based upon the cross-examination that has been given, summarize again based upon your experience and your review of the records the reasons why you have come to the conclusion that the defendant, or James Jones was insane at the time.
- A. Very briefly. It is his total history, his personality, the disorder, the illness he had, the history of his dissociations which are replete in the records and not because he killed anybody, just because he dissociated.

He has that kind of history and has evidence that under stress the kind of illness that he has could dissociate, could become psychotic and he could be rendered to act in an uncontrolled fashion.

The discreteness of his memory impairment that has been consistent over time and had different records indicated to me and confirmed by the hypnosis he did have a dissociated disorder at that time, that in my opinion it would have rendered him unable to have the state of mind necessary, that is he would have lacked substantial capacity to conform his conduct to the requirements of law because he was so upset by what was

- 1 going on around him that he lost, in a sense.
- That is the vernacular quotes. He lost.
- That is my opinion why I think he was
- 4 insane.
- 5 Q. Will you please look at Exhibit 8, Defendant's
- 6 Exhibit 8 shown to you.
- 7 A. I have it.
- 8 Q. Exhibit 8 are the records from the period James
- 9 Jones was 14 years old and was sent to Western State
- 10 | Hospital in Washington?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Would you look at the third page which was one of
- 13 | the pages that Mr. Baker showed you?
- 14 A. That the one with the page number 39?
- 15 | Q. Yes. It says diagnosis impression, on December
- 16 | 11, 1964, sociopathic personality.
- Do you see that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. What is a diagnosis impression? Is that a final
- 20 diagnosis?
- 21 A. No. It is what people say when they are having
- 22 | their first impression about what his diagnosis could
- 23 be.
- 24 Q. Would you look at the page immediately before
- 25 | that?

- 1 A. All right.
- Q. Do you see it says down near the bottom final
- 3 personality pattern disturbance, paranoid personality?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is that the same as sociopathic personality?
- 6 A. Not at all.
- 7 Q. What is the difference?
- A. The difference is paranoid personality is one who acts out of suspiciousness, mistrust and always kind of
- 10 hyper vigilant about what is going on.
- The sociopathic is another name for

 antisocial, which means they have no regard for authority

 and that they commit a number of antisocial behaviors
- 14 among other things.
- Q. Would you look now at the page that is date stamped number 41?
- 17 A. I have it.
- Q. And do you see in the middle the impression which
- reads as follows; the senior committee met on Friday,
- December 18, 1964, reviewed the information and
- 21 impressions and agreed that James was not psychotic,
- 22 | not a psychopathic delinquent person but most probably
- 23 had a personality disorder described as paranoid
- 24 personality.
- Do you see that?

- 1 | A. I do.
- Q. What does that tell you about what the people at
- 3 Washington State -- Western State Hospital did after they
- 4 | formed their initial impression?
- 5 A. They examined him and evaluated him and decided he
- 6 was not an antisocial personality disorder or sociopathic
- 7 but paranoid.
- 8 Q. I will refer you to a page that is little hard to
- 9 read, date stamp number 62, I believe.
- 10 A. All right.
- 11 Q. That is an order from the juvenile court?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. And would you just follow with me starting in
- 14 | the middle paragraph. Appearing to the court that J. W.
- 15 Rose, M.D., clinical director at Western State Hospital
- 16 reports that the senior staff had considered all
- 17 | reports, psychological tests, interviews, et cetera,
- 18 | with James Jones, Junior and his family and he was
- 19 | found not -- he was not found to be a psychopathic
- 20 delinquent child, it is therefore adjudged and ordered
- 21 by the Court that the order of the 11th day of December,
- 22 | 1964, shall be rescinded pertaining to the said James
- Jones, Junior and he shall remain at the Western State
- 24 Hospital as a voluntary patient on written application
- 25 by his parents.

Do you see that?

- 2 | A. I do.
- 3 Q. Now, I am going to ask you finally to go to page
- 44.

5 | A. I have it.

you remember that?

- Q. Do you remember when Mr. Baker asked you on cross
 about the mother and fact the parents were present at the
 time during his period when he was at the hospital? Do
- 10 A. Yes.

change.

Q. All right. The paragraph, in the main paragraph there under social work note. Jim was home overnight. He stayed close to home but maintained he felt comfortable, especially toward father. It was not possible to use family therapy as an aid to Jim in problem solving between the parents partly because Sergeant Jones maintains that Jim is the one who needs to

This attitude on his part is reinforced about his own perception of himself in his job as a Military Policeman. He said in the family session how much juvenile difficulty he sees at Fort Lewis and expressed his concern for Jim's adjustment in a way that gave Jim confidence in himself and gave the message that father was boss.

1 Do you see that?

- 2 A. Right, I do.
- Q. And so based upon your experience as a
- 4 psychiatrist and your years of experience in forensic
- 5 | psychiatry and also therapy as a therapist, does appear
- 6 to you the parents were having -- were not submitting to
- 7 | therapy as would have been helpful to James Jones?
- 8 A. That is what it appears to be, yes.
- 9 Q. Now, would you please look at Exhibit 7.
- 10 A. I have it.
- 11 | Q. Will you look -- these are not date stamped?
- 12 A. Mine are.
- 13 Q. Page sort of in the middle. I will count the
- 14 pages. Page 16.
- 15 A. Request for psychological services.
- 16 Q. Right. This is from the records in 1965 when
- 17 James was 15 years old, I believe, and in Philadelphia.
- 18 These are from the Sayer Junior High School. Do you see
- 19 that?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 Q. Do you see the first sentence there, James has
- 22 | little control. His behavior is symptomatic of deep
- 23 disturbance. The counselors referred the mother to the
- 24 childrens' and adolescents' clinic at PGH and to the
- 25 EPPI?

- 1 A. That is Philadelphia Hospital in the Eastern Institution.
- In the mother's remarks it says there is evidence 3 Q. that the father is unable to accept the fact that his son

5 is in serious need of therapy.

Do you see that?

I do. A.

je - 2-11 j

2

6

7

15

24

25

Do you see the last paragraph, James is a 8 Q. frightened child who attempts to cover his fears and to 9 10 hide his low opinion of himself. From the personality assessment, quote, the student identified himself with 11 wild animals. They were his friend, his only friends. 12 They were free and uncaged. He felt he was caged and 13 hated humans and their social structure. 14

Do you see that?

- I do. 16 Α.
- How does that fit in with your assessments of 17 ο. James and his mental condition? 18
- Well, that clearly supports the opinion that I 19 have given about his behavior and his personality 20 disorder and the fact that he had these affinities for 21 22 wild animals while he was very young and frightened and low esteem and difficulty in adjusting with no control. 23 No control of his behavior.
 - Is this consistent with a borderline and post Q.

- 1 traumatic stress disorder?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. Reference was made to the New Jersey State
- 4 | Hospital in Trenton in '67 when James was -- he would
- 5 have been 16 years old and there was a reference in there
- 6 that Mr. Baker asked you about or mentioned during the
- 7 | cross-examination where it was reported that James Jones
- 8 suffered a homosexual panic?
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. Can you explain to the Court what a homosexual
- 11 | panic is?
- 12 A. Yes. A home homosexual panic isn't exactly what
- 13 | it says.
- 14 It is a condition that we see in
- 15 | schizophrenic patients that believe they are being
- 16 | homosexually attacked when in fact they may not and they
- 17 | go into a panic and become psychotic. They are not
- 18 | really in touch with reality.
- 19 It is a very serious illness and needs
- 20 | treatment, usually hospitalization.
- 21 Q. Dr. Sadoff, would the experience of a homosexual
- 22 panic at the age of 16 be indicative of a mental illness
- 23 or serious mental problem?
- 24 A. Yes, it would be, very serious.
- 25 Q. Dr. Sadoff, based upon your hours of meeting with

1 James Jones, based upon your review of all the records, 2 based upon your many years of experience as a 3 psychiatrist, is there any question in your mind that 4 James Jones suffers from extreme mental or emotional disturbance? 5 No question in my mind about that, no. 6 7 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you. Hold on. 8 Your Honor, that is all. Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker, 9 anything? 10 11 MR. BAKER: That is all. THE COURT: You may step down, Dr. Sadoff. 12 Thank you. 13 14 Mr. Baker, are you going to call a 15 witness? Is that how we were going to proceed. I know we were going to accommodate --16 17 MR. BAKER: If I could have a five-minute break? 18 19 THE COURT: Yes. I wanted to see if it was your turn. Have you all agreed about that Mr. 20 21 MacLean? MR. MACLEAN: Yes. We also have Dr. Diana 22 McCoy, mitigation expert, and will testify to the social 23 24 history. She did follow Dr. Martell. We have discussed 25 this before.

1	I would like Dr. Sadoff to sit through Dr.
2	Martell's testimony and he may wish to call him in
3	surrebuttal. Dr. Sadoff can stay this evening and he can
4	be back in court tomorrow.
5	I want to, for scheduling purposes, bring
6	one matter to your attention. We have flying in tomorrow
7	morning and I can't remember when she arrives 8:15
8	at the airport Nancy Lancaster, half sister of James
9	Jones, as a witness.
10	Tomorrow is the only day she can come into
11	Nashville for this hearing.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. MACLEAN: She is here for most of the
14	day. I don't know how long her testimony will take.
15	Probably about two hours, I am guessing.
16	I wanted Your Honor to be aware of that.
17	She is an important witness for us.
18	THE COURT: All right. Let's take a 10
19	minute break and then we will proceed from there.
20	(Whereupon, the hearing was in recess.)
21	THE COURT: What is the the plan for the
22	witnesses we have talked about?
23	MR. BAKER: Your Honor, at this time we do
24	not anticipate calling Dr. Martell. We just made the
25	decision. I think we will proceed with Dr. McCov. Dr.

Martell is going to remain and listen to Dr. McCoy. 1 2 There might be a chance to recall him after her. 3 That is not the plan at this time. THE COURT: All right. If he is called --5 if he is not called, Dr. Sadoff doesn't need to be called. 6 7 MR. MACLEAN: We may end up saving some time here. 8 9 May I ask how late we are going to proceed 10 today? THE COURT: We are going to go until we 11 finish all the witnesses. We will be here until there 12 13 are none. If not, we will just have -- Dr. McCoy is the only witness? That one is within your control. I don't 14 know the answer to that. 15 16 MR. MACLEAN: The reason I asked, this was -- we thought we were going to be taking up a good 17 18 part of tomorrow on the psychiatric proof and mitigation expert testimony. With this development -- I am trying 19 20 to find my list here -- we may need to get in touch with 21 some witnesses to rearrange sequence. THE COURT: We will call Mr. Camp. 22 know where he is. 23 24 MR. MACLEAN: That's right.

MR. REDICK: Your Honor, we were just

debating how well we will be able to -- we can take it up later or now -- how we can juggle our proof.

We were anticipating most of the day tomorrow being taken up with psychological proof. It appears now it won't be. We have one witness from Atlanta coming in and that was all we had planned for. We could get Mr. Camp over here. Mr. Dinkins is a witness we could call. He is in trial but thought he might be able to be released by Judge Gayden in circuit court. We will have to get word on that.

It might be, with the Court's permission, that we would have a short day tomorrow.

THE COURT: Well, obviously I would prefer not to run out of witnesses. If we run out of witnesses, we run out of witnesses. I think some of the testimony has been longer than expected. For instance last Friday, we expected two hours and I think we went six or so. Some things are unpredictable. We can just play it by ear.

I will tell you, I am not going to yell and scream and pound the desk if we have to finish early. I understand things are a little unpredictable. But if we have witnesses, I want to move forward with them and I want everyone to use good faith to try to get witnesses.

1 I understand if Mr. Dinkins is in closing 2 argument before a jury, hypothetically it would be hard 3 for him to get here. If he is third lawyer on a motion 4 to get permanent/partial disability on a Workers' Comp. 5 case in state court, I would have a different view. MR. REDICK: It is a medical malpractice 6 7 lawsuit. It started last Monday and they hoped to 8 complete the proof at the end of this week. He told me he put Judge Gayden on notice he might have to leave to 9 come over here. 10 THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed with 11 12 Dr. McCoy. We can have Mr. Camp called to be 13 available tomorrow if that is acceptable. I don't want 14 to put on your case for you. He seems to be more easily 15 16 available, from what has been represented to me. And we have the half sister who will be here mid-morning. It 17 strikes me we would be able to put Mr. Camp on while 18 waiting for her. 19 20 Does that make sense? 21 MR. MACLEAN: That probably would be our 22 preference. Logically he should have testified yesterday. But it really depends on his schedule. 23 24 THE COURT: From what I have heard so far,

I don't anticipate he would be an extremely lengthy

1 witness. Part of the testimony was, he was a late assistant to Mr. Barrett as opposed to on the job for a 2 3 long period of time, but he was, of course, through the 4 entire trial. 5 So, I would guess he would last a couple 6 hours rather than all day. Is that right? MR. REDICK: I think that is right, Your 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: You know better than me. I 9 also didn't think some other things would go as they 10 did. 11 MR. REDICK: Nor did I. 12 THE COURT: Well, I won't say anymore 13 about that. I recognize everyone is trying to work in 14 good faith here. As long as I continue to pick up on 15 that spirit, I will work with you. I appreciate that. 16 If that evaporates, I will have a different attitude. 17 While we have witnesses let's put them on. 18 19 I mean, something may pop out of Dr. McCoy's mouth to get Mr. Baker excited and we will be here until midnight. I 20 don't know. We will just see. 21 MR. REDICK: I will put the Court on 22 notice in regard to witnesses. We have two witnesses 23 coming from out of town Friday. Sarah Walton from Maine 24

and Susi Bynum from Memphis.

Ms. Walton's flights arrangements have already been set. Ms. Bynum has a daughter. She is a single parent, basically, and she made special arrangements to get off work that day.

Based upon our schedule, that is what we thought would be the best time.

THE COURT: Let me be real direct about my concern. To the extent this appears like it is not going to be concluded by Friday, we are all going to be working really late hours. To the extent that it will be completed by five o'clock on Friday, I don't mind if there are some gaps. I have lots of other things I can be doing. I am not going to go out of business for lack of work. I am obviously not going to hold any trials in the middle of this trial.

So, if anybody gets the opinion as we march forward that we are not going to be able to finish before Friday then we need to have a discussion about how we can try to achieve that goal.

That is the only thing that will give me some concern. If we wind up everything in a few short days, that is okay. I am up to my eyeballs in motions for summary judgment, motions to dismiss and motions to quash and motions to have motions, motions not to have motions. And I have plenty to do.

1	If this creeps over to next week then I
2	have got some serious difficulties. I have got a six
3	week criminal trial that is supposed to start on Tuesday.
4	It is my firm determination that we will conclude the
5	proof in this case before that begins.
6	Now, between now and then I am happy to be
7	flexible how we are going to accomplish that. From what
8	I heard so far that shouldn't be a problem. Nobody told
9	me it will be. If I am wrong, speak up.
10	MR. REDICK: Perhaps I should bring this
11	to the Court's attention at this time.
12	We do have one witness that was identified
13	last week that we will take out of order.
14	THE COURT: Right.
15	MR. REDICK: Your Honor, we had on our
16	witness list Dr. Michael Blankenship. The state objected
17	to his testimony.
18	I would like to offer to the Court that we
19	could take his testimony by deposition. I don't know
20	whether the Court wants to hear us further on that or I
21	need to say more.
22	THE COURT: Let's put Dr. McCoy on and
23	then after we take her testimony we can I will

entertain that discussion, and it may be more appropriate

to have that discussion while we have some down time.

24

In terms of the witness that would be out 1 2 of order, that is possible we may be able to do that tomorrow as well. I had not forgotten we were going to 3 do him out of order. That may be possible to do tomorrow as well. So that might be able to fill the day. 5 In terms of testimony by deposition, we 6 7 will just have to hear from Mr. Baker about that. I don't recall. I remember the objection. I don't recall 8 the substance of it. 9 Tell me, as long as we are talking about 10 11 it, give me the brief version of it. 12 MR. BAKER: I believe this witness is being called with regard to a jury instruction issue that 13 14 the Court has determined in motion for summary judgment. I do not believe --15 16 THE COURT: This is dealing with the unanimous jury instruction? 17 MR. BAKER: Yes, sir. We don't believe 18 19 that is at issue at this proceeding and therefore --THE COURT: It appears to me Mr. Baker is 20 right, it is no longer an issue. You are entitled to an 21 offer of proof. I will give you that opportunity to make 22 an offer of proof. 23 Now, I would like to do that this week. 24

If we run out of time this week, we can do it potentially

by deposition. But, frankly, I would rather hear the offer of proof live and see it unfold if possible.

MR. REDICK: Of course if the Court wants to consider an offer of proof, that is what we will do.

Our position is that the Court's ruling on summary motion was not a final order, not appealable order and we can file a motion to reconsider and the Court can reconsider it.

I don't know that there is any reason to present proof for the Court to consider. That is a decision for you to make.

I will say this, Your Honor. Because as I recall the events that transpired unexpectedly last week that took up a lot of counsel time and some considerable court time, we have not had an opportunity to prepare the witness that was going to be taken out of order. We just put him on hold. I haven't even talked to him about his testimony.

That is part of the problem with Mr.

Blankenship, part of the reason -- I will be more than happy to call him as a witness. I am not sure we can get those two witnesses ready to testify this week because of the problems we have had over the last two weeks of trying to get ready.

I was under the impression coming in to

1 court that the Court made observations that we will do what we can do about getting the proof in this week, 2 which I assure the Court we have done. 3 THE COURT: Well, in terms of offer of 5 proof, that can be done any number of ways. One way is to summarize what you think the proof would be and that 6 is an offer of proof. 7 8 We -- let me think about all that. 9 In terms of the witness out of order, if 10 we have a half day off tomorrow that will give you a half day to prepare for it. That will solve that problem. 11 But in terms of the unanimous jury 12 13 instruction issue, the state of the record now is a motion for summary judgment was granted. If you want me 14 to hear testimony by way of an offer of proof, I will 15 hear it. 16 17 If you just want to make an offer of proof 18 in so other fashion, I will consider that. One way to make that offer of proof is by deposition. 19 That is the state of the record now. 20 motion somebody may make and how I might rule on it is 21 22 premature for me to form an opinion about it.

MR. REDICK: Judge, about the witnesses out of order. I know we are jumping back and forth. I was under the impression we wouldn't take this testimony

23

24

during this hearing because of the nature of the testimony that he offered last week.

As I understand the situation right now,

Mr. Boyd and Mr. Beard are on the state's witness list.

For all I know they will be here at the courthouse on the end of the week or --

THE COURT: Let's have that discussion later in camera. I think instead of all this trying to talk in code --

MR. REDICK: I don't want this witness here at the courthouse if they are going to be around.

THE COURT: I agree. That is a legitimate concern and we will take appropriate action.

MR. REDICK: As long as the Court was aware.

THE COURT: There are ways to deal with those issues and I have got some thoughts on it. I want to have a discussion in camera about how to do that and if anything else has occurred in the interim that may need to be brought to my attention.

But we will find an appropriate way to take that testimony, if you still intend to put it on, and put it on in a fashion that is consistent with all our prior discussions without any risk for the evidence being compromised in any way. I understand that concern

	612
1	and I intend to accommodate it. I don't know how we will
2	do it yet. I want to have that discussion later.
3	MR. REDICK: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: Mr. MacLean.
5	MR. MACLEAN: Yes, I would like to call
6	Dr. Diana McCoy.
7	THE COURT: Welcome.
8	(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

EXAMINATION OF DIANA MCCOY

2 BY MR. MACLEAN:

- 3 Q. Dr. McCoy, because it is getting late in the
- 4 | afternoon, I am going to go through your background
- 5 | fairly quickly. My only concern is I might push the
- 6 | court reporter hard. I will try to avoid that.
- 7 You are Dr. Diana McCoy. You live in
- 8 | Knoxville, Tennessee, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And you have a Ph.D. in clinical psychology?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You received your degree at State University of
- 13 New York at Potsdam in 1972 and received your Ph.D. in
- 14 | clinical psychology from the University of Tennessee in
- 15 | 1977?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You are licensed psychologist with the State of
- 18 | Tennessee and you have board certification in assessment
- 19 psychology.
- 20 | Can you explain that?
- 21 A. It's a organization that overseas people who do
- 22 assessment of psychology, and I have been board certified
- 23 | in that.
- 24 Q. You taught introductory psychology at the
- 25 University of Tennessee, Knoxville in the fall/winter of

'72, '73 and the spring of '73. 1 2 You have done clinical work at Lakeshore 3 Mental Health Institute in Knoxville. 4 You are a graduate assistant, multimodal 5 psychotherapy and psychological assessment in '73-74. You did clinical work at the University of 6 7 Tennessee Psychological Clinic in Knoxville where you were a graduate assistant from June, '74 to June, 1975. 8 And you did work -- counseling work at the 9 University of Tennessee Counseling Center in Knoxville as 10 11 a graduate assistant from September, '74 to June, 1975. You did an internship at Western Reserve 12 Mental Health Center in Kansas City where you did 13 rotation on adult inpatient, crisis and adolescent 14 inpatient units from September, '75 to August, '76. 15 16 You were a graduate assistant 17 psychological assessment of infants, children and adults as well as educational and remedial services, Birth 18 Defect Center of Knoxville, September, '76 to June, 1977. 19 20 And since then you have been in private practice as a psychologist and you have specialized in 21 22 child and family services in Knoxville, is that 23 correct? I did that for a period of time. My specialty at 24

this point is assessments in forensic psychology.

- 1 that almost exclusive. I stopped seeing patients in
- 2 therapy about five years ago.

- 3 Q. How long did you see patients?
 - A. Until I got my degree in '77 until last year.
- 5 | Q. What kind of forensic psychology work do you do?
- 6 What kind of cases do you work on?
- 7 A. I do pretty much the gambit of cases, civil cases,
- 8 personal injury cases, employment discrimination cases,
- 9 | custody work. I do work in the criminal law as well.
- 10 Q. Have you worked on capital cases before?
- 11 A. Yes. I have worked on about 20 cases.
- 12 Q. What capacity have you worked on capital cases?
- 13 A. I have worked at the trial level as an expert
- 14 witness, I have worked at the trial level as psychologist
- 15 doing mitigation work. I was court appointed in one case
- 16 to do the work.
- I have several post conviction cases at
- 18 both the state and federal level. It is 20 to 22 cases.
- 19 Q. You are a member of the American Academy of
- 20 Forensic Sciences and the International Society for the
- 21 Study of Dissociation, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You have been a consultant for the Knoxville
- 24 Police Department where you did psychological testing
- 25 | for the Knox County Juvenile Court from '83 to 89; with

the Department of Human Services, 1983 to 1984, enhanced
911.
What is that?

- A. We in Knoxville were starting 911 and I did all the assessments of the people that they hired for those positions.
- 7 Q. That was from 1986 to 1990. And you did work for
 8 the -- consulting work for Florence Crieghton Agency from
 9 '88 to 1990 where you performed services in
 10 psychotherapy and psychological assessments for
 11 residents, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.

4

5

- Q. And then you worked at Overlook Center, Diagnoses
 and Evaluation Center from '92 to '93 where you did
 psychological assessments of juvenile status offenders,
 correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. And you have hospital privileges at East Tennessee
 Children's Hospital, Fort Sanders Regional Medical
 Center, Saint Mary's Medical Center, Fort Sanders Park
 West Medical Center, is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I would like to
 24 make Dr. McCoy's curriculum vitae Exhibit Number 140,
 25 please.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. That will be
- 2 | marked number 140. I will ask Dr. McCoy to pull the
- 3 microphone down a little bit.
- 4 A. I need something to sit on. This is not made for
- 5 | little people.
- 6 Is that better?
- 7 THE COURT: Yes.
- 8 | Q. Dr. McCoy, how did you get involved in this case?
- 9 A. You called me and asked to discuss this case with
- 10 me.
- 11 Q. Do you recall about when that was?
- 12 A. I believe it was the fall of '96.
- 13 Q. How would you define your job in this case?
- 14 A. My job as I understand it was to collect records
- 15 of Abu-Ali, to interview people who are familiar with him
- 16 and his background, of course to talk with him and
- 17 | collect a social history and then to put the documents
- 18 together in some sort of integrated manner that reflect a
- 19 picture of this individual, who he is, how he got to be
- 20 where he is now.
- 21 Q. Did you prepare a social history of Abu-Ali?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23 | Q. What is the role of a social history in a case of
- 24 this sort?
- 25 A. Role of the social history is to present a picture

- of this individual, who this person is, hopefully, to the
- 2 jury so that they have a since of this person's humanity,
- 3 this person as an individual, how he got to be who he is,
- 4 how he got to be in the situation he was in.
- 5 Q. Is your role also to consult with Dr. Sadoff the
- 6 psychiatrist in this case?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And was your social history useful in connection
- 9 with his evaluation of Abu-Ali?
- 10 A. I would like to think so. He probably could
- 11 answer that better than I could.
- 12 | Q. In preparing the social history, could you tell
- 13 | the Court what you did?
- 14 A. I collected a number of documents, and they are
- 15 | listed at the end of this called guide to index. There
- 16 | are 35 documents or 35 sections. Within some of the
- 17 sections there is a large number of documents, for
- 18 example, number 24 is State of Tennessee versus James Lee
- 19 Jones and lots of different documents pertinent to that
- 20 particular category.
- I have a time line at the end where I
- 22 | tried to summarize some of the most important points. I
- 23 | have made some little charts and tables because it is a
- 24 | fairly complex record, and I tried to simplify it.
- For example, one I have institutions from

birth, '85 so you can see at a glance the different kinds of institutions where Abu-Ali has been. I have a little summary of the psychiatric evaluation and treatment that he has received over the years starting from when he was 14 and when he was in the Army and after he got in trouble in the Army and the different people that saw him when he was in federal prison.

Again, although it is included in the main text, I have tried to summarize it so someone could look at it and try to get an idea of some of the places he has been.

I have a time line of his criminal convictions. Same purpose, for the purpose of simplifying it so it is easy to understand.

I have a page on his educational history.

Then the main text is divided into several categories. I tried to group this together in terms of what his family history is, his extended family history, his brothers and sisters and half brothers and sisters, I have his section on his developmental history, section on the military history, prison history, parol history, a section that deals with the homicide of Patrick Daniels and a section on the prison adjustment.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I would like to offer into evidence the social history prepared by Dr.

1 McCoy in this case. 2 THE COURT: All right. That would be 3 exhibit what? MR. BAKER: No objection. 5 MR. MACLEAN: Exhibit 141. 6 THE COURT: All right. 7 Dr. McCoy, before we get into the social history, Q. did you also perform psychological tests on Abu-Ali? 8 9 A. Yes, I did some testing. Can you tell the Court about the testing you did? 10 11 Yes. Over a course of six different interviews totaling about 19 hours, I interviewed him and did 12 psychological testing. There has been quite a bit of 13 testing done on him in the past as recently as '93 that 14 15 I didn't want to duplicate, and no reason to. 16 I gave him the Wechsler Adult Intelligence 17 Scale and he scored in the average range; academic 18 achievement test; also a recognitions skill test, high school level; spelling, eight grade level; arithmetic, 19 fifth grade level. 20 21 I gave him an MMPI. I was very interested

in the fact he had the same elevated F scale that he has 22 23 had in the past whenever he has been given the MMPI beginning when he was 14. He had this when he went in to 24 25 federal prison, the same test with Dr. Craddock at Middle Tennessee Mental Health Institution he had the test with
Pam Auble as well. I must be the fifth or sixth one.

He seems to have the same profile that is borderline invalid. The F scale is a scale that measures odd or unusual kinds of circumstances and a high F scale can mean malingering or a cry for help. He consistently has this same pattern in his MMPI.

I also gave him another personality test
that suggested again a tendency to perhaps magnify the
level of experience, also could reflect extreme
vulnerability. It shows he had a history of
disappointments in his life, that he failed in his
efforts to find a niche in life.

He is conflicted between the desire to withdraw from relationships and desire to be independent. He has a lot of emotional turmoil, bizarre behavior, magical thinking, very wary regarding social encounters, poor self-worth, tendency to withdraw from protecting himself from loss. He is discontent.

He is subject to impulsive outbursts. He is feels persecuted, looks down on others. Very sensitive to being disrespected by others, always on guard somebody might ridicule him. There was some delusional disorder. Very quick to be on guard as to whether others might betray him.

The thinking shows a bizarre, disorganized quality at time. He is resentful and what is known as a hostile dependency. In short, he showed the kind of characteristics that I think Dr. Sadoff described this morning of the borderline personality who is paranoid and narcissism, schizoid.

I was shocked how this particular test reflected the Abu-Ali I came to know and also through the reading of the records.

- Q. Are those reports reflective of mental pathology, psychological problems?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. How would you scale those? Are they moderate problems, severe problems?
 - A. I believe they are very severe problems.

 Certainly I think as we get into the social history and explore some of the etiology of this it can become more clear how he developed -- through no fault of his own -- how he developed these characteristics over the years.
 - Q. Why don't you go through and explain to the Court the social history. It is in written form.

If you could explain it in your words to the Court, I think that would be very helpful?

A. This is, of course, a lengthy report. I don't want to just read it. I do want to summarize it. I will

start with the family history. Because I think that is important.

I think one of the most striking characteristics to me when I first met him is how little he knew about his family. He didn't know is parents' birth dates. He didn't really know where they came from, where they were -- he knew a little bit.

He knew his father had joined the service when he was young, kicked out and joined again.

I was really struck by the fact he didn't seem to know basic knowledge and just didn't seem to be a family that either communicated with each other very much about these kinds of things. Somehow he didn't get this knowledge.

Lancaster who is his half sister. I met Nancy in Atlanta and had extended conversations with her. And it was a very interesting story she had to tell about her mother Jessie who was Abu-Ali's mother.

Nancy and her two brothers are the product of her mother's first marriage. Apparently by the story Nancy tells, she was an infant and hears this from other family members. Mother Jessie took the children one day in a taxicab, took them to the woods and basically left them in the woods; and went off and left her husband and

left the family. And lucky the taxi driver, as the story goes, came back and got the kids and the children went into foster care. They went with family members.

Nancy says mother was located at some point in her infancy and while living with her mother and boyfriend at the time that the boyfriend was abusive of Nancy and threw her downstairs and she broke both her legs and was in a cast from the neck down, wasn't supposed to walk again and she still has a steel plate in her head from this.

She did not have very much contact with Jessie, Abu's mother while growing up and really didn't meet her again until she was 12. The other two children, her brothers, also had very little contact with their mother.

Apparently Nancy went for years of not being able to deal with the issue of her mother because of this abandonment and went into therapy to deal with it and really had a difficult struggle dealing with her mother's treatment for herself and her siblings, and has been able to establish a relationship with her in the recent years.

I have been struck by the fact she treats her mother with kid gloves, very careful not to offend her and say anything that might rile her up because

Jessie has a reputation of being quite touchy. If you say anything to her, she cuts you off.

One of the sons from the first family, the first three kids, died. She refused to to go his funeral. The other brother finally established a relationship with his mother in the recent years as well.

Nancy had contact with her stepfather,

Abu-Ali's father. He died in '92 of a heart attack. She

was aware of the relationship between her mother and her

stepfather as she got to know them more and more. They

were heavy drinkers. Both of them were known to have

affairs.

It was well-known in the family that

James, Senior Abu-Ali's father was quite abusive of his

wife.

Abu-Ali also talks about the abuse he witnessed between the parents, his father's brutal beatings of his mother and Abu-Ali trying to intervene.

He has a brother Mark, one of the three from the second family, which is Mark -- first Sylvia, three years younger than Abu-Ali and Mark, four years younger.

Mark wrote an affidavit. He is dead now. He wrote an affidavit and corroborated there was a good

deal of physical abuse between the parties and both parents were heavy drinkers.

It is interesting, too, that the two siblings, Abu-Ali from the second family also have had really serious problems.

So, this is not a family where just one of the kids was a bad apple or the black sheep. This is a dysfunctional family where all three children who were raised by the mother as opposed from the first family that fared somewhat better not being with Jessie and James, Senior.

But Sylvia, the one younger, has had severe problems. She has been in and out of mental hospitals, she is violent and has a diagnosis, I believe, of bi-polar disorder. She is supposed to be on Prozac and Librium.

Nancy believe she is dying from leukemia now. She is troubled and has had a troubled life.

Mark is four years --

THE COURT: He is the half brother?

A. Full brother. Second family, yes, sir. She is the mother and James, Senior is the one who was so abusive. He is the father of Abu-Ali, Sylvia and Mark.

Q. Just to be clear, Nancy is one of three siblings

by the mother from a prior marriage and then you have the

second family, three children by the same mother and a second marriage and James is the oldest of the three children, right?

A. Yes. Mark became a military career man like his father. He had 10 children. A few years ago, I think in '96, '95 or '96 after his wife died, he was accused of sexually and physically abusing his children. He was held in jail for three days for this.

When he was released, he went home and within a couple days he shot himself and killed himself. So he is, unfortunately, not available to testify directly as to the abuse in the home.

He did write an affidavit a few years ago where he described the abuse that both he and Abu-Ali received at his father's hands.

According to Nancy Lancaster her half brother Mark also had a terrible temper. He would explode into rages.

He had a lot to say about his parents.

One of the things he said to Nancy is, quote, you don't know what kind of hell we lived in. He described his parents as, quote, mean as hell.

I actually was able to establish contact with Jessie. I knew she wanted nothing to do with Abu-Ali. That was made clear to me.

Nancy was reluctant to introduce me to her
mother because she was so afraid she would break her very
tenuous relationship with her mother, this relationship
that she only formed within recent years.

But finally I did get her phone number and I did call her. It was -- she was very angry. Jessie was quite disturbed. I didn't get much information from her.

Her basic approach was that the three children from the second family and all their problems, that that was their own fault, they had a wonderful life; she said that Abu-Ali never had any kind of treatment which, of course, I know is not true. I know he was in Western State Hospital initially.

I asked her why the first three children from the first family were raised in foster care. Her response before hanging up the phone, that is none of your damn business.

Basically she didn't have a lot of information that she was willing to contribute, telling me about her son Abu-Ali and other children as well.

- Q. Could you now go into Abu-Ali's early life as far as you could tell from your work in this case?
- 25 | A. Abu-Ali, because his father was in the military,

was born on a military base and the family moved around some. He lived in Fort Bragg, North Carolina and then the family moved to Fort Leonard Wood Missouri where his sister was born in '53. James, Senior, the father, was stationed in Korea so Jessie and the three children moved to Philadelphia for awhile.

When he was six or seven the family moved to Fort Bragg. Then at some point they all moved to Hawaii. He went to Hawaii in '62 when he was 12. He recollects the abuse starting at a pretty early age, starting when he was, I believe he said, five or six.

Before I get into the abuse, I want to talk a little more about the family setting. He said that they didn't celebrate birthdays. No birthday cake, birthday card or presents. Christmas wasn't what he called a T.V. Christmas. Parents would typically leave on Christmas Eve and come home drunk.

He said his parents didn't go to things at school like other parents did with other kids.

He doesn't remember getting any kind of dental care. He doesn't remember much in the way of doctor care but apparently he had a car accident and hit his head when he was five and he might have gotten stitches for this.

He remembers being bitten by a dog and

given shots. He thinks that his childhood was different from that of his brother and sister because he thinks that maybe as the brother and sister got older things might have improved a little bit.

Mark actually said in his affidavit that he was physically abused as well and he said that Abu-Ali got the worst of it, he got more severe abuse than did Mark.

Apparently the home life was very militaristic. They called a bathroom a latrine, beds were bunks. They have used military time such as 1200 hours. He would stand inspection when he was nine for his father.

Abu-Ali started running away from home when he was eight or nine. He said this was after a particular severe beating. This is a pattern that continued up until he ran away for the last time when he was about 15 or 16.

He ran away several times when he lived at Fort Bragg, several times in Hawaii, several times when they lived in Washington. It usually followed particularly severe beatings on the part of his father.

I had mentioned earlier that both the parents had affairs and he remembers his parents fighting about this a lot. He remembers his mother putting all

the children in the car because father disappeared for days, going out looking for him. He remembers that when his father was out on maneuvers that his mother would have strange men in the house.

I think one of the saddest images he described, really I think the point when he started to learn to dissociate when he was very young and hog tied and put in a closet with the head of his penis tied to a piece of leather and other end tied to a clothing 2hook in the closet.

He really doesn't remember why it was done but it was very uncomfortable and he couldn't scratch or do anything. He was very scared.

That is when he started to dissociate. He would imagine himself somewhere else to get thoughts off this disturbing situation he was in, this scary position he was in and discomfort of having to scratch and not be able to.

That is how people learn to dissociate.

That is how children, whoever, have been sexually abused, they teach themselves to dissociate. They imagine themselves -- while a picture is on a wall, they imagine themselves in the picture.

So, dissociation is usually something that comes from abusive situations, that people teach

themselves to get out of the situation.

That is when he started getting interested in nature and going out in the woods and howling like a wolf, something documented in his school records and that Mr. Stiles that did one of the first psychologicals on him when he was 14.

He said when he was in prison he was being raped, this dissociation was something he continued to do because he said I am a man and this was shameful. I had to do something with my mind.

It has been mentioned earlier he remembers the father stinging the end of his penis with a baseball bat. He said this is because -- at the time he understood it, he was touching himself a lot.

Rather than his parents talking to him about that it, is not a nice thing to do, his father just got real angry. This is how he trained him not to do this anymore.

Abu-Ali has very strong feelings about his parents and kind of parenting he received. He talked a lot to me about his father not really being a father. He is just a man. His mother wasn't just a mother, she was just a woman.

This is a real predominant theme with Abu-Ali. When I would talk with him, he would get worked

up about it quite a bit. It was a theme I see that runs throughout the records far predating this particular incident that he is before the Court with now.

*****:..

Apparently the MPs did come to the house periodically because his father would get in trouble for abusing alcohol and fighting. The MPs -- he was an MP. When they showed up, they didn't do anything except talk to him. There was never anything that came of it.

When they moved to Hawaii, they moved there in '59 when he was nine. He said his father got into the habit to have him take off his clothes when we beat him.

Apparently it was during this time his father went to Vietnam, and this was probably one -- if we can talk about positives in the childhood -- this is a positive time in his childhood.

His father went to Vietnam for a period of time and his mother was involved with her boyfriends and so he was pretty well free to come and go as he pleased. He enjoyed that period of time.

He ran away, I believe, twice in Hawaii, once following a severe beating. He and Sylvia, the sister, I have stated, the parents found out were having sexual relations. The father found out about this when Sylvia told him.

He was beaten very severely by his father, by a Military Police strap and club. He said when his father would beat him, he would say, get out, mom. His mother would say, don't kill my child before she would leave the room.

He said that he was never comforted by his mother after the beatings and his brother Mark said this in his affidavit as well, that when these beatings would happen that Abu-Ali got no attention for it.

His father came home from Vietnam and the family moved to Fort Lewis, Washington in '93. He was 13 then.

He started a pattern of running away again. The beatings continued. There was an incident where when his mother and Sylvia were gone and Abu-Ali was kissing some girls that lived down the street and his brother told on him, his father made him eat a pack of Lucky Strikes and a cigar. When he vomited, he was told to eat the vomit.

After this, he left home and was gone for three weeks. He would sneak into peoples' kitchens to get food. He said nobody would look for him when he ran away because he was never reported as a runaway. The MPs would bring him back.

At school he got into trouble because he

slipped into the girls' rest room. He was curious what the female anatomy looked like. A girl saw him and screamed and he ran out. Dad was called. As he came back to his home, Abu-Ali ran away again. He knew what the outcome was going to be.

There is also a clear indication of pretty early sexual problems that started. Really when he was in Hawaii, he started the stealing the dirty panties of the next-door neighbor woman. That is something that continued when he moved to Washington.

He would go to the lake and steal the underwear from women after they changed their clothes and left their clothes in the car. He would look up dresses of women.

He said that after he moved to Philadelphia and became sexually active when he was 15 that this behavior dropped out.

But clearly from an early age he doesn't recall, distinctly recall any sexual abuse. There certainly seems to be sexual overtones from having his penis tied on a hook in a closet. Certainly seems to be the beginnings of sexual problems in early childhood.

I said earlier that the records talk a lot about his feelings toward his father. I believe Mr. Baker brought that out this morning as well, or this

afternoon, rather, about when he was seen in Baltimore that he complained about this cruel, tyrannical, career Army man, that he felt him at every turn. And there are many people that commented.

Dr. Bogan described Abu-Ali as disturbed and high anger toward authority due to have a very strict and punishing father.

He discussed his father with the defense psychiatrist in 1972. He testified that Abu-Ali always rebelled to authority because in his mind authority has not been benevolent as symbolized by his father who is in military police. He said that any sign of pressure he will submit to harm himself or harm somebody else.

There was another -- this one undated -evaluation done by the United States Department of

Justice, Dr. Angus and Einig who commented on Abu-Ali's
feeling being harshly treated by his father who was
unjust and unreasonable.

Dr. Resnick did a consultation in '70 or '71 in Lewisbury. He was asked to evaluate him because of repeated suicide attempts. Being yelled at, according to the defendant, reminded him of his abusive father and caused him to feel suicidal.

What finally got him into Western State

Hospital was after he was picked up by the MPs the second

time he ran away he was caught going into a female's bedroom at three a.m. looking through her dresser drawer and then turning the light on and somebody commented later he seemed to do these things with the idea of getting caught.

This is when he came to the attention of the school psychologist at Dupont Junior High School, Richard Stiles that did a psychological on him.

He saw him as very sick and need of immediate commitment. This is my first report that I have seen of Abu-Ali making sounds like an animal.

Mr. Stiles said the student's reactions tend to be psychotic and paranoia trend and said early rejection experiences appear to have warped the student's personality development.

I think the testimony has already shown he was initially committed and declared to be psychopathic delinquent and that was changed to personality pattern disturbance and paranoid personality.

When he was discharged in January of 1965, he wasn't given any medication and there wasn't any referral for follow-up because the family was moving to Philadelphia. The father, James Jones, Senior had retired.

This is when we come to the Philadelphia

part of his life. They moved to a rough part of the town. There was discussion of gang warfare. Abu-Ali, a little person, started carrying a knife. He said he carried a machete Washington. I don't know how he carried it.

He felt since being hurt at home, he needed to carry something to protect himself. He joined the police athletic league in Philadelphia so he could defend himself. He did not go to school regularly. I think here in Philadelphia his behavior really deteriorated.

He not only was suspended from school or expelled but put on home bound. The people at Sayers School described him as a very disturbed boy that needed treatment and markedly disturbed boy that has a stormy career wherever he has lived. His behavior was very disruptive.

He was found in the girls' rest room as well. He threatened a student with a knife. He disobeyed teachers.

Request for psychological services was made and comment was that James has little control of his behavior, is symptomatic of a deep disturbance of a frightened child who attempts to cover his feelings and hide his low opinion of himself.

He talks about his difficulties adjusting, he always lived on military bases. This is just the difference between day and night to him. He had a lot of trouble accepting authority in school because of his attitude toward his father.

Again there was quite a few -- he remembers five beatings from his father and finally running away when he was 15. He slept in basements of school friends that would feed him and slip in and out of basements at night.

He met up with a black man in the 30s named Luther Collins who turned out was a homosexual and Abu-Ali lived with him for eight months.

Abu-Ali minimizes the sexual contact between himself and Mr. Collins but the hospital records actually suggest otherwise. This was the time about when he was arrested and charged with atrocious assault and battery following an incident with a cab driver. When he assaulted this man with the knife, the cab driver was hospitalized.

Abu-Ali was initially put into a honor camp and when they tried to find a record of Abu-Ali, they couldn't and confronted him with this he broke down in tears and is placed in Annandale in '66.

This was a very frightening experience for

him. This is the first time he was ever in an institution where he experienced pretty wide scale homosexuality.

He would stand in showers and feel finger running down his buttocks. He would turn around and they openly asked him for sexual favors. This is where he had the homosexual panic. He was put on suicide watch. He made a suicide attempt via hanging.

He told me he half meant it and half meant to the get out of this place. He got transferred to the Trenton State Hospital in 1967, in February.

He found this to be even worse than

Annandale because there were so many homosexual favors

being asked of him. He said at the time he was very a

feminine looking male. His father ridiculed him a lot

about looking like a girl. This was a very difficult

situation for him. He had some therapy there.

MR. BAKER: Your Honor, I would like to object. There is a question but we are just getting a narrative of what the records say.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, the question was give us the story of his life, which I think mitigation is all about.

MR. BAKER: I think if she is called and she has an expert opinion, I think we need to get to

1 | that.

THE COURT: I think, Mr. MacLean, if you want to ask her her opinion and you want her to target some of this to that, that would be helpful. This is in evidence.

As she is talking, I have been through 27 pages of it. I don't mind a summary but I do think Mr. Baker's point about let's get a question on the table is a reasonable one.

- Q. Dr. McCoy, we were at -- you got up to the point in Annandale. What was the result of his experience in Annandale?
- A. The ultimate result is that eventually he was discharged from here. He was diagnosed sociopathic personality disturbance and antisocial reaction. That is Trenton Hospital.

He was discharged from Annandale in May,
'67 and returned to live with his parents in Philadelphia
for a period of time.

Things were bad at home. He ended up living on the streets until being arrested at age 17 and being charged with being drunk and disorderly.

- Q. What happened in his life after that?
- 24 A. After that he joined the Army.
 - Q. What was the nature of his experience in the

1 Army?

A. He had a very difficult time adjusting to the Army, not surprisingly. He reports while in the stockade he reports making suicide attempts. He was eventually discharged. He was diagnosed antisocial personality. It was concluded he was unsuitable for military duty because his problem -- there was not a medical treatment.

The day after this discharge, he was arrested along with three others and charged with armed robbery of a soldier and another series of evaluations followed, one by Dr. Fitzpatrick who determined, I believe, he was sane and competent.

The court wasn't satisfied with that and had him evaluated again. Actually the United States
Attorney's Office who had him evaluated again because he slashed his wrist with a razor. And then tore the bandages off the wound and attempted to hang himself with the bandages.

MR. BAKER: I object again. It seems like we are doing the same thing.

MR. MACLEAN: I am sorry.

- Q. It was while he was at Petersburg that the Stein incident occurred in 1972, is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

25 MR. MACLEAN: All right. This is somewhat

important. I would like at this point -- I know these 1 exhibits are already included in the documents that the 2 state produced. But I wanted to separate these, and 3 there are some additional exhibits in this group. 4 These are the records pertaining to the 5 6 period of time from December of 1970 through the 7 investigation of the Stein killing plus a letter written in February of 1974. 8 9 I would like to introduce this as a collective exhibit at this time. 10 THE COURT: What is your best guess as to 11 the number? 12 13 MR. MACLEAN: I can tell you exactly. THE COURT: 142? 14 MR. MACLEAN: 142. 15 THE COURT: Any objection? 16 17 MR. BAKER: No objection. THE COURT: All right. 18 Dr. McCoy, let me ask you to look at those. 19 Q. the Court whether those are most, if not all, of the 20 21 records from the federal prison records relating to the events leading up to the Stein incident not including the 22 23 court proceedings which are already in evidence? 24 Okay. Yes, these are the events leading up and

then there is one, a letter regarding Ramilla Stein's

1 claim.

Q. Now, based upon your review of the records, what were the circumstances surrounding the Stein killing?

MR. BAKER: I object to the that. The report will speak for itself. If she is going to give psychological testimony, we can hear it.

THE COURT: Let's have her give an opinion, if that is the what she is going to do.

Otherwise she wasn't a witness.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, we have got two pressures going on here. Number one, we want to demonstrate for the Court and the record what the mitigation case would be at trial in the sentencing hearing. We also are very sensitive to the Court's time.

I think in a real sentencing hearing before a jury, we would take the time to go through all this and we would have someone relate all this to the jury which I believe his permitted under the statute.

So, we want to make a record and make sure that the record shows what the proof would be at a sentencing hearing. It will probably take longer than we will take here. I just want to make that statement for the record.

THE COURT: Are you suggesting at a

sentencing hearing you would call witnesses to summarize 1 documents that they have no personal knowledge about? 2 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. I think 3 4 the statute specifically provides for that. MR. BAKER: We would disagree with that. 5 I mean, that is what attorneys do in argument in front of 6 the jury. I think arguing from the facts and evidence 7 presented -- in other words, with the rules of 8 9 evidence -- what we are asking is that let's get on with that here. 10 The Court has the records and the 11 12 attorneys can make their arguments and the Court can draw 13 its conclusion from those records. I would like to move on. I think we have 14 talked enough about the background. She has given the 15 16 background. Let's get onto the psychological issues. 17 THE COURT: I think a brief summary of these -- particularly since she has prepared this is 18 constructive for her opinion. 19 MR. REDICK: Judge, if I could be heard? 20 THE COURT: Underline brief. Otherwise 21 let's just of her start at tab one and read until we get 22 to the end. That is certainly not a good use of time. 23 Mr. Redick. 24

MR. REDICK: Your Honor, I think there is

1 a misunderstanding here about why this witness is being 2 offered. 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. REDICK: She formed some opinions and 4 5 she is qualified to offer opinions but also a social historian. 6 7 There is no question about the fact that this type testimony can be offered in a sentencing 8 hearing in Tennessee state courts and would have been offered and would have been admissible in 1987. 10 11 THE COURT: Can you cite me some authority that the rule of evidence of personal knowledge doesn't 12 apply in a death penalty case? 13 14 MR. REDICK: Your Honor, the rules of 15 evidence do not apply in a capital sentencing. As a 16 matter of fact, hearsay is admissible in capital sentencing hearings. A capital sentencing hearing --17 THE COURT: That's right, Mr. Baker? 18 19 MR. BAKER: My understanding is that the rules can be relaxed but the Court still has the 20 21 discretion, and when you talk about witnesses testifying 22 not from personal knowledge but to review a document, 23 essentially that is like the attorneys sitting in the

I don't think the courts allow that.

24

25

chair and giving arguments.

MR. REDICK: Could I read the statute? 1 THE COURT: Sure. It will be helpful to 2 3 me. You say the rules don't apply. Mr. Baker says they do. That's a pretty dramatic disagreement. 5 Educate me. 6 MR. REDICK: This is the TCA section 3913 7 In the sentencing proceeding evidence may be 8 presented as any matter that the court deems relevant to 9 the --10 11 THE COURT: Slow down. You are ruining your own record. 12 MR. REDICK: In sentencing proceedings 13 evidence may be presented as any matter that the court 14 15 deems relevant to the punishment, may include but not be 16 limited to the nature and circumstances of the crime, the defendant's character, background history, and physical 17 condition. 18 19 Any evidence tending to establish or rebut the aggravating circumstances are enumerated in 20 21 subsection I, and any evidence attempting to establish or rebut mitigating factors. Any such evidence that the 22 court deems to have probative value on punishment may be 23 received regardless, providing that the defendant is 24

accorded a fair opportunity to rebut any hearsay

1 statements so admitted.

THE COURT: That says it all. Rules of evidence don't apply. I mean, in the federal sentencing the rules of evidence don't apply.

Mr. Baker.

MR. BAKER: Your Honor, I don't believe when it says the rules are relaxed, the Court still has, as it says, as to be probative value. There is no probative value to simply having the witness read documents and interpret the document.

MR. REDICK: This is exactly what defense attorneys are obligated to do in sentencing hearings, is tell the story of the defendant's life.

THE COURT: I understand that. Mr. Baker is not disputing that. The dispute is whether you can call one witness and just wind her up and read everything or whether you have to call people with personal knowledge.

MR. BAKER: Can the state call witnesses that -- the courts don't do that, Your Honor. You can call witnesses and they may give history but we don't go with a long narrative.

THE COURT: Mr. Redick.

MR. REDICK: I agree with that. Witnesses are to respond to questions. But there is nothing wrong

with a social historian describing the history of the defendant.

In a capital sentencing hearing there would be many witnesses that would be called to testify and in a properly presented defense in mitigation and sentencing, there would be a social historian that wraps it up and summarizes and brings whole to the parts that have been presented by various witnesses.

This in itself is a truncated version of what a capital sentencing hearing is. This is abbreviated and the state is now trying to get us to abbreviate this.

We don't want to bore the Court or unnecessarily take up the Court's time.

I think it is important to establish -this is a very, very significant factor in terms of how
capital sentencing hearings are presented. United States
Supreme Court has been clear time after time after time
in cases like Locky (ph) versus Ohio and Skipper versus
South Carolina and Hushion (ph) versus Florida, and case
after case that the jury can't be precluded from
considering anything in medication.

This would be lay testimony -- expert testimony and is not limited by the rules of evidence.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, if I may add --

and I don't want to double team Mr. Baker -- but I want to make a point, two related points.

The first point is that the statute does not say that the rule shall be relaxed. The statute I believe says that --

THE COURT: It says it doesn't apply. Here is my ruling.

without regard to what may be admissible in state court, under Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 1006, contents of voluminous writings, recordings, or photographs which can't conveniently be examined in court may be presented in the form of a chart, summary or calculation. Originals, or duplicates, shall be made available for examination or copying, or both, at reasonable time and place. The Court may order that they be produced in court.

So, under Rule 1006, I am going to allow this witness to present a summary.

MR. BAKER: She hasn't done that in writing. I don't know if we simply need to -- it seems like it could be abbreviated.

THE COURT: A summary is a summary and I will ask you to have this witness briefly go into each of these categories and all the documents that are itemized there are in the record. I think a brief summary is

1 helpful. 2 I will allow this particular document and her testimony to be admitted under 1006. 3 I think a brief recitation of the summary is helpful. 5 If you want her to form an opinion you, 6 7 will have to ask her a question. MR. MACLEAN: I understand that. 8 THE COURT: Let's get on with it. 9 MR. MACLEAN: I do appreciate the Court's 10 concern about time. We simply want to make our position 11 12 clear on what we consider --13 THE COURT: I know what you are doing. You are trying it to the Sixth Circuit. 14 15 Frankly, I am offended by. I get the 16 first shot at it. Otherwise why would you want to read 17 all this in the record. It is going to be in the record. 18 19 I will let you build your record. 20 Let's get on with it. It is 4:30. 21 BY MR. MACLEAN: (Continuing) Dr. McCoy, very briefly go through the rest of the 22 23 highlights of the social history and try to be as brief 24 as you can while hitting the main points.

Okay. The '72 incident involving Stein,

25

Α.

apparently this had to do with a homosexual issue of the victim and several others in which is called the D.C.
group spreading rumors about Abu-Ali being homosexual.
And the homicide occurred as a result of this issue.
There are lots of corroboration on the record, and I have listed that here from various people.

record, and I have listed that here from various people, his brother's memos and what not describing the situation.

Summarize is such a relative concept. I don't know exactly.

He was convicted of second degree murder and he said his response to that is that he was broken and gun shy and he basically just gave up and he became what he called a punk. That is a black figure of speech for a man halfway between a human and homosexual.

He would wear makeup and extra large
T-shirts to look like a dress and got performing sexual
favors on other inmates and this characterized his life
until he went to prison.

He was under a lot of sexual pressure of playing the woman's role in the prison.

He carried knives. He explained to me for protection. He sometimes avoided work detail because there were people there that represented a threat to him. Prison, of course, is an unusual circumstance. It calls

for unusual behavior from people.

That is why the DSM 4 has kind of a disclaimer about diagnosing someone antisocial personality disorder based upon their behavior in certain circumstances.

I will quote it. It says concerns have been raised that the diagnosis may at times be misapplied to individuals in settings in which seemingly antisocial behavior may be part of a protective survival strategy.

- Q. Just briefly go and outline the basic time line of Abu-Ali's life and I will ask you some opinions about this?
- A. Well, he moved around to several different prisons. I have these summarizing the institutional records at the end of the social history.

He finally made it to Colorado. He was shy a few weeks of -- to shot yourself in the foot, he escaped. He did get recaptured with the incident involving "catch the bear" when he was fingerprinted.

He was finally institutionalized in a Chicago prison and released, and had a pretty stable year or two before finding his way to Nashville.

I think this is an important period of his life. Because it is the time closest to the homicide of Patrick Daniels.

He is out of prison and we see a person

who for the most part is not getting in trouble except

one shoplifting incident; working two jobs and his

employer describes him as a very conscientious employee

that supervises others, he has a relationship with a

period of his life.

6 woman, a Quaker women who helps him with his transition

She breaks her engagement with him because her friend says he acts crazy and strange.

He finds his way to Clarksville and he sees his family that he hasn't seen for many years.

This period in Chicago, he was working very hard to be a part of mainstream America, be a part of the all American dream.

- Q. Now, from the time he was incarcerated in 1969 when he was 18 years old, I believe until released in Chicago in December of 1983, he had been incarcerated the entire time except that period of a couple months when he escaped to South Dakota?
- A. Yes.
- Q. From December, 1983, when he was released in Chicago until February 19, 1967, little more than two years later, what was his récord like during that two-year period which would be the only two years of his adult life out in the free world?

A. As I said, he was working in Chicago and had two
jobs. He was working for a cleaning service where he was
very well thought of. The employer had him as a
supervisor.

He did comment that something very odd about Abu-Ali, he would see him just gazing out windows.

He had a girlfriend. He lived on his own, had his own apartment and then went to Clarksville and that didn't work out.

The parol situation didn't work out because fell felons not allowed on a military base. He was given a choice between Chicago and Nashville and he ended up in Nashville and at Opportunity House.

He chanted and was singing loudly and then toward the end of his day after he met another woman and found a job at the Publishing House, his behavior dropped out and he started settling down and doing much better.

- Q. Dr. McCoy, have you diagnosed Abu-Ali?
- 20 A. Yes.

- Q. What diagnosis have you given Abu-Ali?
- A. I believe he has a post traumatic stress disorder
 which is a common diagnosis for the kind of physical
 abuse he had.

25 And with his obsession with this and

- sensitivity to this, I also believe he warrants a primary diagnosis of borderline personality disorder.
- Certainly he has other characteristics of other personality disorders as well.
 - Q. Would you diagnose him as an antisocial personality disorder?
- A. I think he has traits. Again, I think that
 looking at the prison records and making a diagnosis
 based on that is misleading because that is a particular
 situation where antisocial behavior is required to
 survive, and I think when you look closely at the record
 there are explanations given for his behavior there.
 - I think toward the end, too, I saw memos in his chart about the fact the last three years or so of his institutionalization that his behavior had improved quite a bit.
 - Q. Dr. McCoy, have you been able to reach an opinion on the nature of the stresses that were affecting Abu-Ali during the period leading up to the homicide in this case?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 22 Q. Will you give your opinions to the Court on that?
- A. The period of time leading up to the homicide
 was a very stressful period. He had another woman to
 whom he was engaged that broke the engagement. He had

met up with Susi Bynum and in retrospect married her on
the rebound. He really wanted children more than
anything. He had hopes she would give birth to the
Messiah.

The relationship in the marriage did not go very well.

She was very -- Susi wanted a normal sexual life. Abu-Ali didn't meet her needs. He had a difficulty reaching a climax.

She had become very suspicious have him and he likewise was suspicious of her. As the gap between the two of them widened, he became much more invested in the activities of the SEGM.

As he described it to me, he became, quote, unquote, wedded to the SEGM and spending as much time on these activities as he could.

He was using marijuana to ease the tension in the marriage, using LSD at night to stay awake while out on scouting missions with the SEGM.

I think in reference to that offering, it really fulfilled a niche he had gone looking for all his life. He felt important, he felt respected, he felt he had a function. He was a part of something vitally important that would affect his people.

Q. Dr. McCoy, at the time of the homicide was Abu-Ali

overcome by the emotions of the situation?

Was he in a highly emotional, disturbed state in your opinion?

A. Yes, in my opinion, he was.

- 5 Q. Please explain why you reached that opinion?
 - A. I think because of his background the way he was raised, the feelings he had about his upbringing, about his parents, when he was in that apartment and saw the situation with the children, when he saw Devalle Miller starting to become unraveled and feared what would happen, I think he not only identified with the children and animals but he really saw the two adults present really as his mother and father.

He talked to me a lot about the extent to which the victim reminded him of these men beginning with his father and men who raped him in prison that persecuted him all his life and the women were like his mother that disregarded the safety of her children.

- Q. Dr. McCoy, during Abu-Ali's early life, his developmental years, in your opinion did he have any place where he could turn for comfort or safety or refuge?
- A. About the only thing I am aware of is he would go to the woods and he considered the animals his allies and

	659		
1	friends, and the people that I talked to that is Susi		
2	Bynum confirmed and records reflect his affinity with		
3	animals.		
4	Q. Do you have any disagreement with the opinions of		
5	Dr. Sadoff in this case?		
6	A. No, I don't.		
7	MR. MACLEAN: Thank you.		
8	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker.		
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

EXAMINATION OF DIANA MCCOY

2 BY MR. BAKER:

- 3 Q. Dr. McCoy, you talked about the petitioner's
- 4 background.
- 5 Were you aware he was expelled from school
- 6 for threatening a teacher with a knife?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 0. You are aware that he was Court marshalled for
- 9 assaulting another military member with a knife?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Are you aware of his prior criminal convictions?
- 12 A. Yes. This is all in my social history.
- 13 Q. Are you aware in the prisons that he had been
- 14 caught repeatedly with contraband, knives?
- 15 A. I mentioned that.
- 16 Q. That he had been written up in reports for lying
- 17 | to officers?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That he had been in trouble for violent behavior
- 20 | and fighting?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You know about the Stein murder in '72, another
- 23 stabbing?
- 24 A. I made a thorough review of the prison records.
- 25 Q. And you are familiar about the incident in South

1	Dakota?	
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Where there was a murder?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And this defendant wiped the scene clean from
6	finge	erprints?
7	A.	I understood he was involved in that.
8		I understand there is a problem with his
9	credi	bility. I don't know all the details of that.
10	Q.	Of course, you are aware of the murder in this
11	case	and assault with a knife?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	A stabbing.
14		You also agree with Dr. Sadoff that if the
15	facts	were not as petitioner stated then your opinion is
16	suspe	ect?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	That is all, Your Honor.
19		THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?
20		MR. MACLEAN: Yes.
21		
22		
23		
24		

.

EXAMINATION OF DIANA MCCOY

- 2 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- Q. First of all, Abu-Ali was never court marshalled,
- 4 | was he?

- 5 A. I am afraid I am not up on my military
- 6 terminology. He had a problem and he was discharged.
- 7 Q. He went through disciplinary proceedings but it
- 8 wasn't a court marshal?
- 9 A. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Dr. McCoy, in your opinion should Abu-Ali's
- 11 | background beginning with his early history and family
- 12 | background and continuing through to the point of the
- offense, should that have been presented to the jury in
- 14 | this case in mitigation?
- MR. BAKER: Objection. That is going
- 16 beyond the scope. He is asking her to make a legal
- 17 opinion.
- 18 THE COURT: I think the way the question
- 19 | was phrased, it is objectionable. But I believe it can
- 20 be rephrased and based upon her experience in working
- 21 | with juries.
- 22 Q. Based upon your experience in working with jurors
- 23 | and in working in capital cases as a mitigation expert,
- 24 | is Abu-Ali's social history as you set forth in here and
- 25 | you summarized in your report information that in your

```
1
      opinion should have been presented to the jury in the
 2
      sentencing hearing?
             Yes. In my opinion in the 20 or so cases I have
 3
      done, it is one of the most compelling social histories
 4
 5
      that I have encountered and one of the saddest stories,
      and I think one of the most graphic in explaining this
 6
      individual's development and behavior that I have
 7
      encountered.
 8
                     MR. MACLEAN:
 9
                                   Thank you.
                     THE COURT: Anything else of this
10
      witness?
11
12
                     MR. BAKER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Thank you. You may step
13
14
      down.
15
                     Mr. Baker, are you going to call a
16
      witness?
17
                     MR. BAKER: No, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: Does that conclude what we
18
19
      have wanted to do today in terms of testimony?
20
                     MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
21
                     THE COURT: What I will call the
22
      psychological, personal history component?
23
                     MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
                     THE COURT: That means Dr. Sadoff is not
24
25
      going to be recalled, is that right?
```